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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the impact evaluation of ComEd's Program Year 9 (PY9) Smart Energy Design Assistance Center (SEDAC) Public Sector Enhanced Building Optimization IPA program. It presents a summary of the energy and demand impacts for the total program and broken out by relevant measure and program structure details. Section 6 (Appendix 1) presents the impact analysis methodology. PY9 covers June 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.

## 2. Program Description

The aim of the SEDAC Program was to provide public sector customers with customized HVAC optimization as an expansion of the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity (DCEO) program. The program provided HVAC tune-ups, direct installation measures, and HVAC optimization assessments. The program was implemented by 360 Energy Group (360EG).

Targeted participants were public sector ComEd customers with demand < 100 kW with Package Rooftop Unit (RTU) or Split Systems with capacity greater than five tons that have not had preventative maintenance performed in the last three years. The program leveraged a network of qualified and preapproved mechanical contractors who performed the tune-ups and direct install measures, and implemented the customer-desired HVAC optimization strategies.

The program had 79 participants in PY9 and distributed 287 measures as shown in the following table and graph.

Table 2-1. PY9 Volumetric Findings Detail

| Participation | PY9 Results |
| :--- | ---: |
| Participants | 79 |
| Projects | 79 |
| Measures Installed | 287 |
| Units/Project | 3.6 |
| Enhanced HVAC Tune-up | 118 |
| Notched V Belt for Supply Fan | 89 |
| HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks | 26 |
| Install Programmable Thermostat | 37 |
| Demand Controlled Ventilation (DCV) <br> on Rooftop Unit (RTU) | 7 |
| Dynamic Cycle Management <br> (Variable-frequency Drive) | 8 |
| Enthalpy Economizer Optimization | 2 |
| Souce:ComEd trackg cara and |  |

Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

Figure 2-1. Percent of Measures Installed by Type


Source: Evaluation Analysis

## 3. Program Savings

Table 3-1 summarizes the incremental energy and demand savings the SEDAC Program achieved in PY9.

Table 3-1. PY9 Total Annual Incremental Savings

| Savings Category | Energy Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh})$ | Demand Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kW})$ | Peak Demand <br> Savings (kW) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ex Ante Gross Savings* | $2,254,315$ | NR | NR |
| Program Gross Realization Rate | $99 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Verified Gross Savings | $2,230,330$ | 620 | 310 |
| Program Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR) | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Verified Net Savings | $2,118,813$ | 589 | 295 |
| NR = Not Reported |  |  |  |
| * Ex ante savings summed from "PY9 Data - Project Savings.xlsx" |  |  |  |
| Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis. |  |  |  |

## 4. Program Savings by Measure

The program includes seven measures as shown in the following table. The programmable thermostats and enhanced HVAC Tune-ups contributed the most savings. Navigant calculated the total technical measure life, total persistence, and total effective useful life (EUL) by doing a weighted average of the measures' verified gross savings.

Table 4-1. PY9 Energy Savings by Measure

| End Use Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross Savings (kWh) | Verified Gross Realization Rate | Verified Gross Savings (kWh) | NTGR* | Verified Net Savings (kWh) | Technical Measure Life | Persistence | Effective Useful Life (EUL) $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HVAC | Enhanced HVAC Tune-up | 683,059 | 100\% | 683,056 | 0.95 | 648,903 | NA | NA | 3 |
| HVAC | Notched V Belt for Supply Fan | 46,503 | 100\% | 46,507 | 0.95 | 44,181 | NA | NA | 3 |
| HVAC | HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks | 471,990 | 100\% | 471,989 | 0.95 | 448,390 | 8 | 25\% | 2 |
| HVAC | Install Programmable Thermostat | 844,644 | 97\% | 820,629 | 0.95 | 779,597 | 8 | 50\% | 4 |
| HVAC | Demand Controlled Ventilation on RTU | 20,562 | 100\% | 20,562 | 0.95 | 19,534 | NA | NA | 10 |
| HVAC | Dynamic Cycle Management (VFD) | 142,354 | 100\% | 142,354 | 0.95 | 135,236 | NA | NA | 15 |
| HVAC | Enthalpy Economizer Optimization | 45,203 | 100\% | 45,233 | 0.95 | 42,971 | NA | NA | 5 |
|  | Total $\ddagger$ | 2,254,315 | 99\% | 2,230,330 | 0.95 | 2,118,813 | NA | NA | 4 |

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY9_Recommendations_2016-02-26_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-frameworkhtml.
$\dagger$ EUL is a combination of technical measure life and persistence.
Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.
$\ddagger$ Totals may not sum exactly due to rounding.
Table 4-2. PY9 Demand Savings by Measure

| End Use Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross Demand Reduction (kW) | Verified Gross Realization Rate | Verified Gross Demand Reduction <br> (kW) | NTGR* | Verified Net Demand Reduction (kW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HVAC | Enhanced HVAC Tune-up | NR | NA | 592 | 0.95 | 563 |
| HVAC | Notched V Belt for Supply Fan | NR | NA | 7 | 0.95 | 6 |
| HVAC | HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Install Programmable Thermostat | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Demand Controlled Ventilation on RTU | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Dynamic Cycle Management (VFD) | NR | NA | 21 | 0.95 | 20 |
| HVAC | Enthalpy Economizer Optimization | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
|  | Totalt | NR | NA | 620 | 0.95 | 589 |

NR = Not Reported

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY9_Recommendations_2016-02-26_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html
$\dagger$ Totals may not sum exactly due to rounding.
Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.

Table 4-3. PY9 Peak Demand Savings by Measure

| End Use Type | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross Peak Demand Reduction (kW) | Verified Gross Realization Rate | Verified Gross Peak Demand Reduction <br> (kW) | NTGR* | Verified Peak Net Demand Reduction (kW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HVAC | Enhanced HVAC Tune-up | NR | NA | 283 | 0.95 | 269 |
| HVAC | Notched V Belt for Supply Fan | NR | NA | 7 | 0.95 | 6 |
| HVAC | HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Install Programmable Thermostat | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Demand Controlled Ventilation on RTU | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
| HVAC | Dynamic Cycle Management (VFD) | NR | NA | 21 | 0.95 | 20 |
| HVAC | Enthalpy Economizer Optimization | NR | NA | 0 | 0.95 | 0 |
|  | Totalt | NR | NA | 310 | 0.95 | 295 |

NR = Not Reported
Values may not add due to rounding

* A deemed value. Source: ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY9_Recommendations_2016-02-26_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here: http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html.
$\dagger$ Totals may not sum exactly due to rounding.
Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.


## 5. Impact Analysis Findings and Recommendations

### 5.1 Impact Parameter Estimates

The implementer provided project calculators and final project trackers. The evaluation team analyzed all the program's project calculators and verified that savings and measure counts reported in the project calculators were consistent with the implementer's final trackers. Navigant relied on the following files for ex ante savings:

- Final PY9 tracking file: "PY9 Data - Project Savings.xlsx"
- Final PY9 Value Earned Report: "360EG-SEDAC_HVACBIdgOptimization_PY9-YTD- Value Earned Report_01.07.2018.V1.xlsx"
- Project calculators: "Calculators.zip"

Navigant followed algorithms outlined in the Illinois Technical Reference Manual, version 5.0 to calculate verified gross savings for the SEDAC Program. The evaluation team verified that these algorithms and appropriate deemed input parameters were correctly applied and validated any custom parameters that were used. Navigant calculated verified net savings by multiplying gross savings by a net-to-gross ratio (NTGR). The Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group (IL SAG) reviewed and deemed a NTGR value for the SEDAC Program.

Table 5-1 shows the key parameters and references used to calculate gross and net savings.

Table 5-1. PY9 Verified Gross Savings Parameters

| Gross Savings Input Parameters | Value | Deemed or <br> Evaluated? | Source |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Quantity | Varies | Evaluated | SEDAC program project files |
| NTGR | 0.95 | Deemed | IL SAG Consensus* |

* Source ComEd_NTG_History_and_PY9_Recommendations_2016-02-26_Final.xlsx, which is to be found on the IL SAG web site here:
http://ilsag.info/net-to-gross-framework.html
$\dagger$ State of Illinois Technical Reference Manual version 5.0 from http://www.ilsag.info/technical-reference-manual.html.


### 5.2 Other Impact Findings and Recommendations

## Verified Gross Impacts and Realization Rate

Finding 1. The PY9 SEDAC Program achieved $2,230,330 \mathrm{kWh}$ of verified gross energy savings, 620 kW of verified gross demand reduction, and 310 kW of verified gross peak demand reduction. The reported ex ante gross savings were $2,254,315 \mathrm{kWh}$ and the overall verified gross program realization rate for energy savings was 99 percent. The implementer did not track gross demand reduction or gross peak demand reduction.
Recommendation 1. Navigant recommends that the implementer track gross demand and gross peak demand reduction.

## Verified Net Impacts and NTGR

Finding 2. The evaluation used a deemed net-to-gross (NTG) value of 0.95 to calculate verified net savings of $2,118,813 \mathrm{kWh}$, verified net demand reduction of 589 kW and verified net peak demand reduction of 295 kW .

## Tracking System Review

Finding 3. Navigant compared the reported gross savings and installed measure quantities in the final project tracking spreadsheet "PY9 Data - Project Savings.xlsx" with individual project calculators. Navigant found four projects with inconsistent installed quantities. For example, one project completed in November 2017 reported seven thermostat units and seven DCV units in the tracking spreadsheet but its project file listed one thermostat and one DCV unit. Navigant found two projects with inconsistent gross energy savings. For example, one project completed in November 2017 reported 37,756 kWh of gross savings for an economizer measure but its project file calculated $37,786 \mathrm{kWh}$ gross savings for the same measure.
Recommendation 2. Navigant recommends that the implementer put in place quality control measures to ensure that savings and installed quantities are properly entered into the tracking system from individual project calculators.

Finding 4. Navigant compared reported gross savings in the final project tracking spreadsheet "PY9 Data - Project Savings.xlsx" with the implementer's Value Earned Report dated 01.07.2018, "360EG-SEDAC HVACBIdgOptimization_PY9-YTD- Value Earned Report_01.07.2018.V1.xIsx." Navigant found that "YTD NET" savings reported in the Value Earned Report did not factor in NTGR and were in fact gross, not net savings. Comparing gross savings, Navigant found a major discrepancy between year-to-date savings for scheduling. Scheduling savings in the project savings sheet summed to $471,990 \mathrm{kWh}$ while scheduling savings in the value earned report summed to $454,823 \mathrm{kWh}$. Navigant found smaller differences under 500 kWh between the two spreadsheets for v belt and HVAC tuneup. Navigant treated savings reported in "PY9 Data - Project Savings.xlsx" as final ex ante savings.
Recommendation 4. Navigant recommends that the implementer put in place quality control measures to ensure that savings between the project tracker and Value Earned Report spreadsheets are consistent.

Finding 5. For two thermostat projects, the implementer employed the energy use equation for a continuous fan in a low-rise office building for baseline energy use and the equation for intermittent fan for proposed energy use. This shows that the implementer changed the building thermostat from continuous fan mode to intermittent fan mode during the occupied period, which is against commercial mechanical code according to the TRM. Therefore, Navigant set the verified gross savings for these two projects at 0 . Navigant also found two other errors. The implementer did not properly adjust climate zone coefficient (CZ) value based on Fo and used the value for intermittent fan mode during occupied period for both the baseline and proposed case. Lastly, the implementer accounted for Cooling Capacity (Tons) twice in the baseline energy use.
Recommendation 5. Navigant recommends the implementer follow commercial mechanical code which advises against changing thermostats from continuous to intermittent during occupied periods to meet ventilation requirements.

## Program Participation

Finding 6. The program installed 287 measures across 79 projects in PY9. A municipality was the program's largest client with 61 projects followed by a county's park districts and another's county buildings each with five projects. Libraries made up the largest type of project with 27 projects followed by emergency response buildings such as fire departments with 24 projects.

## 6. Appendix 1. Impact Analysis Methodology

Energy and demand savings were estimated using Illinois TRM v5.0. The Illinois TRM deems most input parameters for program measures and are provided below.

### 6.1 Installed Programmable Thermostats

Thermostat savings represent 37 percent of the total verified gross savings and have a realization rate of 96 percent. The realization rate was reduced due to two projects. The equation for thermostat savings from TRM v5.0 Section 4.4.18 is as follows:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{kWh}=[\text { Baseline Energy Use (kWh/Ton) - Proposed Energy Use (kWh/Ton)] * Cooling Capacity (Tons) }
$$

where the TRM provides equations for energy use based on building type and a continuous or intermittent fan mode during the occupied period (Fo). The equations for energy use are functions of the climate zone coefficient (CZ), degrees of cooling setback (Tc), degrees of heating setback (Th), fan mode during
unoccupied period (Fu) and weekly hours during occupied mode (Ws). The TRM also makes the following note: "Commercial mechanical code requires continuous fan operation during occupied periods to meet ventilation requirement" ${ }^{1}$.

### 6.2 Enthalpy Economizer Optimization

Economizer savings represent two percent of the total verified gross savings and have a realization rate of $100 \%$. The implementer provided data and calculators for the two economizer projects. Navigant verified the method as reasonable.

### 6.3 HVAC Tune-Up

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta k W h=\frac{k B t u}{h r} *\left(\frac{1}{E E R_{\text {before }}}-\frac{1}{E E R_{\text {after }}}\right) * E F L H \\
& \Delta k W=\frac{k B t u}{h r} *\left(\frac{1}{E E R_{\text {before }}}-\frac{1}{E E R_{\text {after }}}\right) * C F
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { kBtu/hr } & =\text { Capacity of cooling equipment } \\
E E R_{\text {before }} & \text { = Energy efficiency ratio of equipment prior to tune-up } \\
E E R_{\text {after }} & =\text { Energy efficiency ratio of equipment after tune-up } \\
E F L H & =\text { Equivalent full load hours for cooling } \\
C F & =\text { Summer peak coincidence factor }
\end{array}
$$

Table 6-1. HVAC Tune-up Custom and Deemed Values Comparison

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemed/Custom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actual | $\mathrm{kBtu} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | EERbefore | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | EERaater | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Varies by Climate Zone | EFLH | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.1 | Deemed |
| $47.8 \%$ | CF | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.1 | Deemed |

### 6.4 Notched V Belt for Supply Fan

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta k W h=k W_{\text {connected }} * \text { Hours } * E S F \\
& \Delta k W=k W_{\text {connected }} * E S F \\
& k W_{\text {connected }}=H P * 0.746 * \frac{L F}{\text { Motor Efficiency }}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]Where:

| $k W_{\text {connected }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $=$ Electrical demand of HVAC equipment |
| Hours | $=$ Annual hours of operation |
| ESF | = Energy savings factor |
| HP | $=$ Nominal horsepower |
| 0.746 | =kWh/Btu conversion factor |
| LF | $=$ Load factor |
| Motor Efficiency | $=$ Motor efficiency |

Table 6-2. V-Belts Custom and Deemed Values Comparison

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemed/ Custom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actual | kW connected | Calculated | Custom |
| Varies by Building Type | Hours | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.30 | Deemed |
| $2 \%$ | ESF | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.30 | Deemed |
| Actual | HP | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| $80 \%$ | LF | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.30 | Deemed |
| Varies by Motor Size | Motor Efficiency | IL TRM v5.0, 4.4.30 | Deemed |

### 6.5 HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks and Install Programmable Thermostat

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta k W h=[\text { Baseline Usage }- \text { Proposed Usage }] * \text { Capacity } \\
& \Delta k W=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

| Baseline Usage | = Per-ton baseline energy usage, $k$ Wh/ton |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Usage | = Per-ton proposed energy usage, kWh/ton |
| Capacity | = Cooling system capacity, tons |
| CZ | = Climate zone coefficient |
| Fu | = Fan mode during unoccupied period |
| Fo | = Fan mode during occupied period |
| Th | = Degrees of heating setback, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| TC | = Degrees of cooling setback, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Ws | = Weekly hours thermostat is in occupied moder |

Table 6-3. Thermostat Custom and Deemed Values

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemed/ Custom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Varies by building type and Fo | Baseline Usage | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.18 and 4.4.25 | Calculated |
| Varies by building type and Fo | Proposed Usage | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.18 and 4.4.25 | Calculated |
| Actual | Capacity | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Varies | CZ | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Fu | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Fo | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Th | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Tc | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Ws | Program Tracking Data | Custom |

### 6.6 Demand Controlled Ventilation on Rooftop Unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k W h=\text { Conditioned Space } / 1000 * S F_{\text {cooling }} \\
& \Delta k W=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Conditioned Space } & =\text { Square footage of conditioned space controlled by sensor } \\
\text { SF }_{\text {cooling }} & =\text { Cooling savings factor }
\end{array}
$$

Table 6-4. Demand Controlled Ventilation Custom and Deemed Values

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemed/ Custom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actual | Conditioned Space | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | SF | cooling | Program Tracking Data |

### 6.7 Variable-Frequency Drive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta k W h=\Delta k W h_{\text {fan }} \times\left(1+I E_{\text {energy }}\right) \\
& k W h_{\text {Base }}=\left(0.746 \times H P \times \frac{L F}{\eta_{\text {motor }}}\right) \times R H R S_{\text {Base }} \times \sum_{0 \%}^{100 \%}\left(\% F F \times P L R_{\text {Base }}\right) \\
& k W h_{\text {Retrofit }}=\left(0.746 \times H P \times \frac{L F}{\eta_{\text {motor }}}\right) \times R H R S_{\text {base }} \times \sum_{0 \%}^{100 \%}\left(\% F F \times P L R_{\text {Retrofit }}\right) \\
& \Delta k W h_{\text {fan }}=k W h_{\text {Base }}-k W h_{\text {Retrofit }} \\
& \Delta k W=\Delta k W_{\text {fan }} \times\left(1+I E_{\text {energy }}\right) \\
& k W_{\text {Base }}=\left(0.746 \times H P \times \frac{L F}{\eta_{\text {motor }}}\right) \times P L R_{\text {Base }} \\
& k W_{\text {Retrofit }}=\left(0.746 \times H P \times \frac{L F}{\eta_{\text {motor }}}\right) \times P L R_{\text {Retrofit }} \\
& \Delta k W_{\text {fan }}=k W_{\text {Base }}-k W_{\text {Retrofit }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:

| $k W h_{\text {Base }}$ | $=$ Baseline annaul energy consumption |
| :--- | :--- |
| $k W h_{\text {Retrofit }}$ |  |
| $\Delta k W h_{\text {fan }}$ | $=$ Retrofit annual energy consumption |
| $H P$ |  |
| IF Fan-only annual energy savings |  |
| $\eta_{\text {motor }}$ | $=$ Nominal hosepower of controlled motor |
| $R H R S_{\text {Base }}$ | $=$ Installed motor efficiency |
| $\% F F$ |  |
| $P L R$ | Annual operating hours for fan motor |
| $I E_{\text {energy }}$ |  |

Table 6-5. Variable-Frequency Drive Custom and Deemed Values

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemedl Custom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | $k W h_{\text {Base }}$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Calculated |
| Actual | $k W h_{\text {Retrofit }}$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Calculated |
| Actual | $\Delta k W h_{\text {fan }}$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Calculated |
| Actual | HP | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| 0.65 | LF | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Deemed |
| Actual | $\eta_{\text {motor }}$ | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Varies by building type | RHRS ${ }_{\text {Base }}$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Deemed |
| Varies by control type | $\sum_{0 \%}^{100 \%}\left(\% F F \times P L R_{\text {Base }}\right)$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Deemed |
| Varies by control type | $\sum_{0 \%}^{100 \%}\left(\% F F \times P L R_{\text {Retrofit }}\right)$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Deemed |
| 15.7\% | $1 E_{\text {energy }}$ | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Deemed |

### 6.8 Economizer Repair and Optimization

```
\(\Delta k W h=[\) Baseline Usage - Proposed Usage \(] *\) Capacity
\(\Delta k W=0\)
```

Where:

| Baseline Usage | $=$ Per-ton baseline energy usage, $k W h / t o n$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proposed Usage | $=$ Per-ton proposed energy usage, $\mathrm{kWh} /$ ton |
| Capacity | $=$ Cooling system capacity, tons |
| CZ | = Climate zone coefficient |
| Fu | = Fan mode during unoccupied period |
| Fo | Fan mode during occupied period |
| Th | $=$ Degrees of heating setback, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Tc | = Degrees of cooling setback, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Ws | = Weekly hours thermostat is in occupied mode |

Table 6-6. Economizer Custom and Deemed Values

| Value | Variable | Source | Deemed/ Custom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Varies by building type and changeover type | Baseline Usage | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Calculated |
| Varies by building type and changeover type | Proposed Usage | IL TRM v5.0, Section 4.4.35 | Calculated |
| Actual | Capacity | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Varies | CZ | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Fu | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Fo | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Th | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Tc | Program Tracking Data | Custom |
| Actual | Ws | Program Tracking Data | Custom |

## 7. Appendix 2. Total Resource Cost Detail

Table 7-1 includes variables for the Total Resource Cost (TRC) test. It only includes analysis inputs available at the time of finalizing the PY9 SEDAC impact evaluation report. Additional required data (e.g., measure costs, program level incentive and non-incentive costs) are not included in this table and will be provided to the evaluation team at a later date. EULs are not final and are subject to change.

Table 7-1. Total Resource Cost Savings Summary

| End Use Type | Research Category | Units | Quantity | Effective Useful Life | Ex Ante Gross <br> Savings (kWh) | Ex Ante Gross <br> Peak Demand <br> Reduction (kW) | Verified Gross <br> Savings (kWh) | Verified Gross <br> Peak Demand <br> Reduction (kW) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HVAC | Enhanced HVAC Tune-up | Each | 118 | 3 | 683,059 | NR | 683,056 | 283 |
| HVAC | Notched V Belt for Supply Fan | Each | 89 | 3 | 46,503 | NR | 46,507 | 7 |
| HVAC | HVAC Scheduling/Setbacks | Each | 26 | 8 | 471,990 | NR | 471,989 | 0 |
| HVAC | Install Programmable Thermostat | Each | 37 | 8 | 844,644 | NR | 820,629 | 0 |
| HVAC | Demand Controlled Ventilation on RTU | Each | 7 | 10 | 20,562 | NR | 20,562 | 0 |
| HVAC | Dynamic Cycle Management (VFD) | Each | 8 | 15 | 142,354 | NR | 142,354 | 21 |
| HVAC | Enthalpy Economizer Optimization | Each | 2 | 5 | 45,203 | NR | 45,233 | 0 |

Source: ComEd tracking data and Navigant team analysis.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Page 231 of Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency Version 5.0, available at: http://www.ilsag.info/technical-reference-manual.html.

