## **COMED PROGRAMS NTG APPROACH FOR CY2020**

## **Final**

# October 1, 2019

### **Table of Contents**

Business Programs	
Legacy Programs	
Business Standard Incentive	
Business Custom Incentive	3
Data Centers	
Industrial Systems Optimization (Compressed Air in EPY4)	7
Retro-Commissioning	8
Business New Construction Service	
BILD and MidStream Incentives	12
Small Business Energy Savings	14
Strategic Energy Management (SEM)	16
Energy Advisor Monitoring-based Commissioning (PowerTakeoff)	16
Business Energy Analyzer (Agentis Behavioral Program)	
CHP	
Operational Savings	17
LED Street Lighting	
Small Business Energy Efficiency Kits	18
Public Housing Authority	
Voltage Optimization	
New Programs	18
Residential Programs	19
Legacy Programs	19
Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts	
Fridge Freezer Recycling Rewards	
Multifamily Market Rate	
Home Energy Assessments (Single Family Retrofit)	29
Heating, Cooling and Weatherization Rebates	
Residential New Construction	37
Elementary Energy Education	38
Energy Star Rebate (Appliances)	39
NTC Middle School Take Home Kits	
Regression Based EM&V Analysis	42
Income Eligible Programs	42
Products Discount	42
Third-Party Programs	44
Agricultural Program	44
Grocery	44
Nonprofit Organizations	44
Public Buildings in Distressed Communities	44
School Kits, Elementary Education	44
Small Business Kits	44
Telecommunication Optimization	
Pilots	45
Commercial Geothermal Advancement (CSA)	45
Adsorbent Air Cleaner	
HVAC SAVE	

Holiday Light Exchange	45
Programs No Longer Active	46
Advanced Power Strips for Commercial	46
AirCare Plus (>100kW)	
Alltemp Advanced Refrigerant Pilot	
Complete System Replacement (HEER)	
Direct To Consumer Kits	
PlotWatt Quick Serve Restaurant Optimization	
Q-Coefficient Thermal Mass Energy Efficiency Pilot	48
Q-Sync Motor Pilot	
Schnucks VFD	
Smart Building Operations Pilot	48
Small Commercial HVAC Tune-Up (AirCare Plus <=100kW)	
Weidt Group New Construction (Third Party)	
PY6 Third-Party Programs	
IPA Programs for PY8	49
IPA Programs for PY9	

# **Business Programs**

## **LEGACY PROGRAMS**

	Business Standard Incentive
EPY1	NTG 0.67
	Free-Ridership 33%
	Participant Spillover 0% (qualitative evidence observed, not quantified)
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 95 interviews completed covering 101 projects from a
	population of 455 projects.
EPY2	NTG 0.74
EF 12	Free-Ridership 27%
	Participant Spillover 1%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 90 interviews completed covering 114 projects from a
	population of 1,739 projects.
	Enhanced method. Ten trade allies called for 11 participants and their responses factored in
ED) (0	to the customer free ridership calculation.
EPY3	NTG 0.72
	Free-Ridership 28%
	Participant Spillover 0% (qualitative evidence observed, not quantified)
	Method: Customer self-report. 108 interviews completed covering 292 projects from a
	population of 3,794 projects.
	Enhanced method. Two trade allies and three account managers were called for five
	participants and their responses factored in to the customer free ridership calculation.
EPY4	Deemed using PY2 values.
	PY4 Research NTG 0.70
	Free-Ridership 31%
	Participant Spillover 1%
	Method: Customer self-report. 110 interviews completed covering 166 projects from a
	population of 4,603 projects.
	Enhanced method. Two trade allies called for two participants and their responses factored
	in to the customer free ridership calculation.
	NTGR (Free-Ridership only): All lighting =0.70 (90/±5%); Lighting, no T12s reported in base
	case 0.66 (90/±9%); Lighting, T12s reported in base case 0.80 (90/±14%) Non-Lighting =
	0.63 (90/±16%).
EPY5	SAG Consensus:
	Lighting: 0.74
	Non-Lighting: 0.62
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
	Lighting: 0.70
	Non-Lighting: 0.63
EPY7	Lighting
	NTG: 0.81
	Free Ridership: Measured and equal to 0.26
	Justification: EPY5 ComEd Standard Program research, 63 participants
	3
	Total Recommended Spillover = 0.07
	Participant and Non-Participant Spillover Identified by Participating Standard Program Trade
	Allies: Measured and equal to 0.05
	Justification: EPY5 ComEd Standard Program research, participating trade ally sample 55
	and the same and t

#### **Business Standard Incentive**

Participant and Non-Participant Spillover Identified by Non-Participating Standard Program Trade Allies: Not measured for ComEd: a value of 0.02 is recommended Justification: Based on GPY2 results from Nicor Gas (0.02), and Peoples Gas and North

Shore Gas (0.02).

Non-Lighting NTG: 0.77

Free Ridership: Measured and equal to 0.31

Justification: EPY5 ComEd Standard Program research, 64 participants

Total Recommended Spillover = 0.08

Participant and Non-Participant Spillover Identified by Participating Standard Program Trade

Allies: Measured and equal to 0.06

Justification: EPY5 ComEd Standard Program research, participating trade ally sample 10.

Participant and Non-Participant Spillover Identified by Non-Participating Standard Program

Trade Allies: Not measured for ComEd: a value of 0.02 is recommended

Justification: Based on GPY2 results from Nicor Gas (0.02), and Peoples Gas and North

Shore Gas (0.02).

#### EPY8 Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):

NTG Lighting: 0.74

NTG Non-Lighting: 0.63

Free-Ridership, Lighting: 0.27

Free-Ridership, Non-Lighting: 0.38

SO: 0.01

Free Ridership was estimated in PY6 as 0.27 for lighting

Free Ridership = 0.38 for non-lighting

Both based on customer self-report data collected through phone interviews (n=59).

In PY6, trade allies and business customers were interviewed in a separate study to estimate spillover broadly across the C&I market.

The results of the cross-cutting C&I spillover study will be reported separately.

#### EPY9 Recommendation (based upon PY7 research):

NTG Lighting: 0.70 NTG Non-Lighting: 0.69 Free-Ridership, Lighting: 0.31 Free-Ridership, Non-Lighting: 0.32

Spillover, Lighting: 0.01 Spillover, Non-Lighting: 0.01

NTG Research Source:

FR = PY7 Participant Customers and Trade Allies

SO = PY6 C&I NTG study

#### CY2018 Recommendation (based upon PY7 and PY8 research):

NTG Lighting: 0.71 NTG Non-Lighting: 0.70 Free-Ridership, Lighting: 0.31 Free-Ridership, Non-Lighting: 0.32

Spillover, Lighting: 0.02 Spillover, Non-Lighting: 0.02

	Business Standard Incentive
	NTG Research Source:
	FR = PY7 Participant Customers and Trade Allies
	SO = PY8 TA and Contractor Self-Report
CY2019	Recommendation (based upon PY9 research):
	NTG Lighting: 0.83
	NTG Non-Lighting: 0.78
	Free-Ridership, Lighting: 0.19
	Free-Ridership, Non-Lighting: 0.24
	Spillover, Lighting: 0.02
	Spillover, Non-Lighting: 0.02
	NTG Research Source:
	FR = PY9 Participating Customer Surveys
	SO = PY9 Participating Customer Surveys
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	Recommendation (based upon PY9 research):
	NTG Lighting: 0.83
	NTG Non-Lighting: 0.78
	Free-Ridership, Lighting: 0.19
	Free-Ridership, Non-Lighting: 0.24
	Spillover, Lighting: 0.02
	Spillover, Non-Lighting: 0.02
	NTO B
	NTG Research Source:
	FR = PY9 Participating Customer Surveys
	SO = PY9 Participating Customer Surveys

	Business Custom Incentive
EPY1	NTG 0.72
	Free-Ridership 28%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-reports. 24 surveys completed from a population of 88.
EPY2	<b>NTG</b> 0.76
	Free-Ridership 24%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-reports. 20 surveys completed from a population of 345.
EPY3	NTG 0.56 for kWh and 0.46 for kW
	Free-Ridership 44%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-reports. 67 surveys completed from a population of 887.
EPY4	Deemed using PY2 = 0.76
	PY4 Research NTG 0.61 for kWh and 0.64 for kW
	Free-Ridership 39%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-reports. 63 surveys completed from a population of 367.
EPY5	SAG Consensus:
	• 0.56
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
	0.61 kWh (deemed by SAG for PY6)
	0.64 kW (deemed by SAG for PY6)
	Values for kWh and kW are derived from PY4 evaluation research results and are based on
	the SAG-approved values.
EPY7	Custom NTG: 0.64

#### **Business Custom Incentive**

Free-Ridership: 0.36

Participants Spillover: Negligible Nonparticipants Spillover: Negligible

Data Centers NTG: 0.48 Free-Ridership 0.52

Participants Spillover: Negligible Nonparticipants Spillover: Negligible

Source: Participant self-report telephone survey. The spillover effects were examined in this evaluation and their magnitude was found to be quite small as discussed below in the spillover section. Therefore, a quantification of spillover was not included in the calculation of NTGR for EPY5.

Notes: In PY5, Data Centers was combined with Custom, while in PY6, Data Centers was managed separately from with Custom.

Interviews were completed with 5 of 11 Data Center projects.

#### EPY8 Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):

Custom NTG: 0.67

Custom Free Ridership: 0.33 Custom Spillover: 0.005

Custom: The above values are from the PY6 research results. NTG research methods in PY6 consisted of participant and trade allies survey data collection and analysis (n=32). NTG research methods in PY6 combined participant and service provider survey results.

The existence of participant spillover was examined in PY6 but no significant spillover activity was reported by participants, and, therefore, quantification was not warranted.

#### EPY9 Custom NTG: 0.58

Custom Free Ridership: 0.42 Custom Spillover: Negligible

#### NTG Research Source:

Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor research

Spillover: PY7 Participant self-report data

## CY2018 **Custom NTG kWh: 0.58**

Custom NTG kW: 0.70

Custom Free Ridership kWh: 0.42 Custom Free Ridership kW: 0.30 Custom Spillover: Negligible

#### NTG Research Source:

Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor research

Spillover: PY7 Participant self-report data

The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but the analysis will be performed and combined with PY9 findings.

## CY2019 Custom NTG kWh: 0.56

Custom NTG kW: 0.58

Custom Free Ridership kWh: 0.44 Custom Free Ridership kW: 0.42 Custom Spillover: Negligible

	<b>Business Custom Incentive</b>
	NTG Research Source: Free-Ridership: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys Spillover: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but deferred analysis until PY9.
	The recommended values are based on the combined PY8/9 results.
CY2020	Custom NTG, Private Sector kWh: 0.70 Custom NTG, Private Sector kW: 0.63 Custom NTG, Public Sector kW: 0.63 Custom NTG, Public Sector kW: 0.63 Custom NTG, Public Sector-DCEO kWh: 0.24 Custom NTG, Public Sector-DCEO kW: 0.23  Custom Free Ridership, Private Sector kWh: 0.30 Custom Free Ridership, Private Sector kW: 0.37 Custom Free Ridership, Public Sector kWh: 0.30 Custom Free Ridership, Public Sector kW: 0.37 Custom Free Ridership, Public Sector-DCEO kW: 0.76 Custom Free Ridership, Public Sector-DCEO kW: 0.77
	Custom Spillover: Negligible
	NTG Research Source: Free-Ridership: CY2018 Participating customer surveys Spillover: CY2018 Participating customer surveys *Participating public sector projects surveyed were exclusively legacy DCEO, due to this, the private sector values are recommended for future public sector projects.

	Data Centers
EPY7	Data Centers NTG: 0.48
	Free-Ridership 0.52
	Participants Spillover: Negligible
	Nonparticipants Spillover: Negligible
	See EPY7 Custom Program
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):
	Data Center NTG kWh: 0.60
	Data Center NTG kW: 0.57
	Data Center Free Ridership kWh: 0.40
	Data Center Free Ridership kW:0.43
	Data Center Spillover: Negligible
	NTGR results were based on self-reported data from surveys of a census of PY6 projects.
	For PY6, the net program impacts were quantified solely on the estimated level of Free-
	Ridership. Information regarding participant spillover was also collected, but ultimately did
	not support a finding of any spillover – spillover was very small.
EPY9	Data Center NTG: 0.68
	Data Center Free Ridership: 0.36
	Data Center Spillover: Negligible

	Data Centers
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
	Spillover: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
CY2018	Data Center NTG kWh and kW: 0.68
	Data Center Free Ridership kWh and kW: 0.32
	Data Center Spillover: Negligible
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
	Spillover: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
	The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but the analysis will be performed
	and combined with PY9 findings.
CY2019	Data Center Co-Locations: New Construction NTG kWh and kW: 0.20
0.20.0	Data Center Co-Locations: New Construction Free Ridership kWh and kW: 0.80
	Data Center Co-Locations Spillover: Negligible
	D. C. C. D. C. NTOLWI LLW 0.70
	Data Center Co-Locations: Retrofit NTG kWh and kW: 0.72 Data Center Co-Locations: Retrofit Free Ridership kWh and kW: 0.28
	Data Center Co-Locations Retroit Free Ridership RWH and RW. 0.26  Data Center Co-Locations Spillover: Negligible
	Data Contor Co Locations opiniovor. Hogingibio
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations NTG kWh and kW: 0.71
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations Free Ridership kWh and kW: 0.29
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations Spillover: Negligible
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys
	Spillover: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys
	The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but deferred analysis until PY9.
CY2020	The recommended values are based on the combined PY8/9 results.  Data Center Co-Locations, New Construction NTG kWh: 0.44
012020	Data Center Co-Locations, New Construction NTG kW: 0.34
	Data Center Co-Locations, New Construction Free Ridership kWh: 0.56
	Data Center Co-Locations, New Construction Free Ridership kW: 0.66
	Data Center Co-Locations Spillover: Negligible
	Data Center Co-Locations, Retrofit NTG kWh: 0.78
	Data Center Co-Locations, Retrofit NTG kWii. 0.76  Data Center Co-Locations, Retrofit NTG kW: 0.82
	Data Center Co-Locations, Retrofit Free Ridership kWh: 0.22
	Data Center Co-Locations, Retrofit Free Ridership kw: 0.18
	Data Center Co-Locations Spillover: Negligible
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations NTG kWh and kW: 0.67
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations NTG kWn and kW: 0.87  Data Center Non-Co-Locations Free Ridership kWh and kW: 0.33
	Data Center Non-Co-Locations Free Ridership RWH and RW. 0.33
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: CY2018 participating customers survey
	Spillover: CY2018 participating customers survey

	Industrial Systems Optimization (Compressed Air in EPY4)
EPY1	Program did not exist
EPY2	Program did not exist
EPY3	Program did not exist
EPY4	Retroactive application of NTG of 0.67 for kWh and 0.72 for kW (EPY4 Compressed Air)
EP14	Free-Ridership 33% kWh and 0.28 kW
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 7 surveys completed from a population of 9.
EPY5	SAG Consensus:
	• 0.67
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
	• 0.67
EPY7	NTG: 0.68
	Free-Ridership: 0.33
	Participant Spillover: 0.01
	Nonparticipant Spillover: Negligible
	Free Ridership and participant spillover was measured in a participant survey on 35 projects.
	Interviews were completed with 5 of 11 Data Center projects.
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):
	NTG, kWh: 0.74
	Free Ridership, kWh: 0.26
	Spillover, kWh: Negligible
	NTG, kW: 0.83
	Free Ridership, kW: 0.17
	Spillover, kW: Negligible
	NTG research methods in PY6 consisted of participant and technical service provider survey
	data collection and analysis (n=17).
	( · · · · )
	The net program impacts were quantified solely on the estimated level of Free-Ridership.
	Information regarding participant spillover was also collected, but ultimately did not support a
	finding of any spillover.
EPY9	Industrial Systems NTG: 0.80
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership: 0.20
	Industrial Systems Spillover: Negligible
	NTO Bearing Courses
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data Spillover: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
CY2018	Industrial Systems NTG kWh: 0.80
012010	Industrial Systems NTG kW: 0.81
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kWh: 0.20
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kW: 0.19
	Industrial Systems Spillover: Negligible
	NTO Becomb Course
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
	Spillover: PY7 Participant and vendor self-report data
	The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but the analysis will be performed
	and combined with PY9 findings.
L	and combined with 10 infamilys.

	Industrial Systems Optimization (Compressed Air in EPY4)
CY2019	Industrial Systems NTG kWh: 0.77
	Industrial Systems NTG kW: 0.78
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kWh: 0.23
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kW: 0.22
	Industrial Systems Spillover: Negligible
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys
	Spillover: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys
	The evaluation team performed telephone surveys in PY8, but deferred analysis until PY9.
	The recommended values are based on the combined PY8/9 results.
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	Industrial Systems NTG kWh: 0.77
	Industrial Systems NTG kW: 0.78
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kWh: 0.23
	Industrial Systems Free Ridership kW: 0.22
	Industrial Systems Spillover: Negligible
	NTG Research Source:
	Free-Ridership: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys
	Spillover: PY8 and PY9 Participating customer surveys

	Retro-Commissioning
EPY1	NTG 0.8
CFII	Free-Ridership 0%
	Spillover 0%
	Method: Program <i>ex ante</i> assumption.
	Customer self-report. Two completed surveys from a population of four participants
	bracketed the assumed NTG. Basic method.
EPY2	NTG 0.916
	Free-Ridership 8.4%
	Spillover 0%
	Method: Customer self-report. Five surveys completed from an attempted census of a
	population of thirteen. Basic method.
EPY3	NTG 0.71
	Free-Ridership 28.7%
	Spillover 0%
	Method: Customer self-report. Eight surveys completed from an attempted census of a
	population of 34 participants. Basic method.
EPY4	Deemed NTG of 0.916 from EPY2
	Research NTG 1.04
	Free-Ridership 0.097
	Spillover 0.136
	<b>Method</b> : Program <i>ex ante</i> assumption and stipulated for EPY4. NTG based on EPY2
	research. EPY3 research rejected due to small ratio of completed surveys.
EPY5	SAG Consensus:
	• 0.71
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
	• 1.04
EPY7	NTG: 1.04
	There was no new NTG research in EPY5. The most recent NTG research is from PY4.

	Potro Commissioning
	Retro-Commissioning  Free Bidership 240 The DV4 Free Bidership retic is an equally weighted every set of
	<b>Free-Ridership: 0.10.</b> The PY4 Free-Ridership ratio is an equally weighted average of savings-weighted participant and service provider Free-Ridership scores.
	Participant spillover: 0.14. Source: Participant and trade ally surveys.
	(Includes spillover from trade allies that account for 94% of program participation)
	<b>Nonparticipant spillover: Negligible.</b> There is no evidence of non-participant spillover. Service providers are dropped from the program if they are not generating projects. If they are not generating projects in the program, they are probably not generating them outside the program.
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):
	NTG: 0.95 (electric)
	Free Ridership: 0.09 (electric) Spillover: 0.04 (electric)
	Spillover and Free-Ridership were calculated from self-report interviews with participants and service providers (n=18). The final EPY6 Free-Ridership ratio is an equally weighted
	average of savings-weighted participant and RSP Free-Ridership. Interviewed service providers account for 92% of electric savings.
	NTG research was not conducted for the gas companies.
EPY9	NTG: 0.95 (electric) Free Ridership: 0.09 (electric)
	Spillover: 0.04 (electric)
	op.meren etc. (etcente)
	NTG Source:
CY2018	Free-Ridership and Spillover: PY6 NTG Research  NTG: 0.95 (electric)
012010	Free Ridership: 0.09 (electric)
	Spillover: 0.04 (electric)
	NTO Courses
	NTG Source: Free-Ridership and Spillover: PY6 NTG Research
	Due to limited sample size of PY8 NTG research, EPY8 results will be included in EPY9
	research and analysis.
CY2019	NTG: 0.94 (electric)
	Free Ridership: 0.06 (electric) Spillover: 0.00
	NTG Source:
	Free-Ridership and Spillover: PY9 participating customer surveys and PY9 service provider
	surveys Note: Applies to all program paths.
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 0.94 (electric)
	Free Ridership: 0.06 (electric)
	Spillover: 0.00
	NTG Source:
	Free-Ridership and Spillover: PY9 participating customer surveys and PY9 service provider
	Surveys Note: Applies to all program paths
	Note: Applies to all program paths.

	Business New Construction Service
EPY1	NTG was not evaluated for EPY1 because program began in EPY2.
EPY2	NTG 0.59
	Free-Ridership 41%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 14 projects were assessed from a population of 16.
	Enhanced method. NTG scores were adjusted for standard design national retail stores.
EPY3	NTG 0.65 (0.69 for Systems Track and 0.54 for Comprehensive Track)
	Free-Ridership 35%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 13 interviews with individuals representing 15 projects out of
	population of 37 projects.
	Enhanced method. NTG scores were adjusted for standard design national retail stores.
EPY4	Compressive Track – Retroactive application of NTG of 0.54
	Systems Track used PY2 value of 0.59
	NTG 0.57 (based on weighted avg. of 0.59 for Systems Track and 0.54 for Comprehensive
	Track)
	EPY4 Research Comprehensive Track 0.54
	EPY4 Research Systems Track 0.59
	Free-Ridership 43%
	Spillover 0%
	Method: EPY3 deemed value for Systems Track projects. Customer self-report for
	Comprehensive Track projects. Interviews with individuals representing 5 of 6
	Comprehensive Track projects.
	Enhanced method. NTG scores were adjusted for standard design national retail stores and
EPY5	LEED projects.  SAG Consensus:
EFIS	• 0.65
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
LFTO	• 0.52
EPY7	Full Program NTG: 0.59
	Comprehensive NTG: 0.59
	Systems Projects NTG: 0.64
	dystems i rojects itro. 0.04
	Free-Ridership 0.43
	Spillover (all types) 0.05
	Source.
	The NTG from estimate is from the EM&V EPY4 participant survey.
	Spillover is an EM&V estimate based on our literature review. In 50 participant interviews
	from EPY2-4 we found 2 spillover projects. Some of those interviews were early in the
	program's life when spillover is less likely. We also looked at existing literature on past
	studies and a wide range of spillover values. For example, in September of 2012, National
	Grid Rhode Island published a study: "2011 Commercial and Industrial Programs Free-
	Ridership and Spillover Study." For commercial new construction, they found 78%
	participant spillover and 0% non-participant spillover. Southern California Gas recently did a
	study to estimate spillover for its 2013 and 2014 Savings By Design program by looking at
	past studies. They only found a couple of older California studies relevant to commercial
	new construction. The 2003 BEA reported 11% participant spillover and 1% non-participant
	spillover. A 2002 study by the same evaluator showed 13% participant spillover and 5% non-
	participant spillover. Finally, they also looked at the NYSERDA New Construction Program
	Impact Evaluation Report from 2007-2008, which found participant spillover of 20% and non-
	participant spillover of 61%. This study has been questioned and we understand that
	NYSERDA is reevaluating its validity.

Г				
	<b>Business New Constru</b>			
	reasonable to expect that a me credited to the program. Given review, we believe a spillover a estimate. That spillover is probabuilders who have had exposur who had a building go through between participant and nonparticipant.	aningful amount of the range of spillor mount of 5% is pro- ably occurring thro e to the program a the program. Given tricipant spillover.	spillover is yer amount be about a real observed a real observed a less on that mix, yer and, to a less on that mix, yer and, to a less on that mix, yer and a less on that mix, yer and a less on that mix, yer and a less on the at mix and a less on the	s we found in our literature alistic and probably conservative ion of architects, engineers, and
EPY8	Recommendation (based upo			a ha provided leter
	Full Program NTG: 0.80 – Pre	ilminary, updated	i number t	o be provided later
	Free-Ridership: 0.20 Spillover: 0.00			
	The researched NTGRs are be evaluation team conducts interpasses the reservation phase, a	views with program	n participan	ts both after each project
EPY9	Full Program NTG: 0.77 Free-Ridership: 0.23 Spillover: 0.00			
	NTG Research Source: Free-Ridership: Participant and Spillover: NTG real time resear			
	provider survey results.			
CY2018	Full Program NTG: 0.60 Free-Ridership: 0.40 Spillover: 0.00			
	NTG Research Source: Free-Ridership: PY8 Participan Spillover: NTG real time resear provider survey results.			
CY2019	Full Program NTG: 0.68 Free-Ridership: NA Spillover: NA			
	•			
	NTG Research Source:	Year of Research	Electric	
		EPY6/GPY3	0.80	
		EPY7/GPY4	0.77	
		EPY8/GPY5	0.60	
		EPY9/GPY6	0.54	
		4-Year Average	0.68	
		Average		
	Average of four most recent vo	are of NTG record	- ch as nor 9	SAG consensus
CY2020	Average of four most recent year Full Program NTG: 0.59	ars or ivid lesean	on, as per s	DAG MISEIISUS
	Free-Ridership: NA			

NTG Research Source:			
	Year of Research	Electric	
	PY7	0.77	
	PY8	0.60	
	PY9	0.54	
	CY2018	0.45	
	Recommended Value (4-Year Average)	0.59	
	Source: Navigant team and	alysis	

	BILD and MidStream Incentives
EPY1	N/A No Program
EPY2	N/A No Program
EPY3	N/A Pilot Program – no data collection
EPY4	Retroactive application of NTG of 0.63
	Free-Ridership 39%
	Spillover 2%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 51 surveys completed from a population of about 5,000
	(contact information available for only a small subset of participants).
	11 Trade ally surveys also conducted resulting in a NTG of 0.56 but this result was not
ED) (=	factored in to the customer free ridership calculation.
EPY5	SAG Consensus:
ED)/O	• 0.74
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
ED)/7	• 0.63
EPY7	NTG CFL: 0.64 (EPY4 and EPY5 weighted average. EPY5 CFL NTG is 0.66)
	NTG LED/HID: 0.70 NTG Linear FL: 0.56
	NTG Other: 0.67
	NTG Other. 0.07
	Free Ridership: CFLs 0.41; LEDs 0.38; Linear Fluorescents 0.47; other 0.40.
	1100 Madrompi di 20 0.11, 2250 0.00, 2modi i ladiosconia d. 11, otiloi d. 10.
	Participant Spillover: CFLs 0.07; LEDs 0.08; Linear Fluorescents 0.03; Other 0.07
	Nonparticipant Spillover: Negligible.
	There are very few (perhaps as few as 1 or 2) midstream lighting programs offered around
	the country and the others are very small and new, have not yet been evaluated, and thus
	provide no research on nonparticipant spillover. Given how this program is administered it is
	likely that nonparticipant spillover would be very small.
	Source: PY5 participant and distributor self-report surveys.
	Notes: In PY5, Midstream Incentive Lighting was renamed BILD.
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):
	NTG CFL: 0.68

#### **BILD and MidStream Incentives**

NTG LED/HID: 0.77 NTG Linear FL: 0.61 NTG Other: 0.68

#### Research NTG ratios calculated from PY6 participants:

PY6 NTG CFL: 0.68 Free Ridership CFL: 0.39 Spillover CFL: 0.07

PY6 NTG LED/HID: 0.77 Free Ridership: 0.30 Spillover LED/HID: 0.07

PY6 NTG Linear FL: 0.61 Free Ridership: 0.45 Spillover Linear FL: 0.07

PY6 NTG Other: 0.67 Free Ridership: 0.40 Spillover: 0.07

In PY6, two primary methods were used to estimate the NTGR:

- 1. Customer self-report approach based on the end-user telephone surveys of 282 participants and in-depth interviews with 9 BILD end-user participants.
- 2. Supplier self-reports based on in-depth interviews with program lighting distributors.

#### EPY9

NTG CFL: 0.64 Spillover, CFL: 0.10 Free-Ridership, CFL: 0.46

NTG LED: 0.78 Spillover, LED: 0.10 Free-Ridership, LED: 0.32

NTG Linear FL: 0.75 Spillover, Linear FL: 0.10 Free-Ridership, Linear FL: 0.35

NTG Other: 0.78 Spillover, Other: 0.10 Free-Ridership, Other: 0.32

#### **NTG Research Sources:**

PY7 Research – Free-Ridership and Spillover: Customer self-report research via telephone and web surveys, plus web surveys sent to all participating distributors.

Note: Recommended values are PY7 Researched values (not three year averages).

#### CY2018

NTG LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.78 Spillover, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.10 Free-Ridership, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.32

NTG Linear FL: 0.75 Spillover, Linear FL: 0.10 Free-Ridership, Linear FL: 0.35

LED Exit Signs, Linear LED, Battery Chargers, and all "Other": NTG of the default value of 0.80 until research can be done.

	BILD and MidStream Incentives
	NTG Research Sources: For LED Lamps and Fixtures and for Linear FL: PY7 Research – Free-Ridership and Spillover: Customer self-report research via telephone and web surveys, plus web surveys sent to all participating distributors. Note: Recommended values are PY7 Researched values (not three year averages).
CY2019	NTG LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.83 Spillover, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.14 Free-Ridership, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.31
	NTG Linear FL: 0.67 Spillover, Linear FL: 0.14 Free-Ridership, Linear FL: 0.47
	LED Exit Signs, Linear LED, Battery Chargers, and all "Other": NTG of the default value of 0.80 until research can be done.
	NTG Research Sources: For LED Lamps and Fixtures and for Linear FL (Free-Ridership and Spillover): Customer self-report research via telephone and web surveys, plus web surveys sent to all participating distributors.
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019 NTG LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.83 Spillover, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.14 Free-Ridership, LED Lamps and Fixtures: 0.31
	NTG Linear FL: 0.67 Spillover, Linear FL: 0.14 Free-Ridership, Linear FL: 0.47
	LED Exit Signs, Linear LED, Battery Chargers, and all "Other": NTG of the default value of 0.80 until research can be done.
	NTG Research Sources: For LED Lamps and Fixtures and for Linear FL (Free-Ridership and Spillover): Customer self-report research via telephone and web surveys, plus web surveys sent to all participating distributors.

	Small Business Energy Savings
EPY1	No Program
EPY2	No Program
EPY3	No Program
EPY4	Retroactive application of NTG of 0.95
	Free-Ridership 5%
	Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 84 NTG surveys completed from a population of 181. Basic method of NTG analysis was used. No spillover was found. Customer participant self-reported Free-Ridership was 17 percent for ComEd. Individual trade ally responses to Free-Ridership questions were weighted by their respective fuel-specific program savings contributions and combined for a fuel-specific overall Free-Ridership rate. This approach resulted in an evaluation estimate of 5 percent Free-Ridership for electric measures and was used to calculate the NTG of 0.95 for this ComEd program.
EPY5	SAG Consensus: 0.90

	Cmall Duciness Energy Covings
ED)/0	Small Business Energy Savings
EPY6	SAG Consensus: 0.95
EPY7	NTG: 0.95
	No new NTG research in PY5.
	Free Ridership: 5%. Customer self-report survey.
	Participant Spillover: 0% Customer and trade ally self-report survey.
	Nonparticipant Spillover: 0% Trade ally survey
	Three small participant spillover projects were included in the ComEd NTGR, but the impact
	(about 0.003 added) was not significant at the two-digit level. Trade allies provided
	anecdotal evidence of non-participant spillover for electric measures, but they did not
	provide enough information to quantify it.
EPY8	Recommendation (based on average of PY7 Participant Survey & PY4 TA Interviews):
	NTG: 0.91
	Free-Ridership: 0.11
	(based upon average of PY7 Participant Survey of FR 0.16 and PY4 TA Interviews FR 0.05)
	Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based upon PY7 SO research)
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.0
EPY9	NTG: 0.89
	Free-Ridership: 0.11
	Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based on PY7 SO Research)
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.0
	NTG Research Source:
	PY 7 Research – Free-Ridership and Spillover: Participant and TA self-report, real-time
	approach
	Free-Ridership: 0.11 – (based upon average of PY7 Participant Survey of FR 0.16 and PY4
	TA Interviews FR 0.05)
	Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based upon PY7 SO research)
0)/00/10	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.0
CY2018	NTG: 0.91
	Free-Ridership: 0.11
	Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based on PY7 SO Research)
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.0
	NTC Becomes Sources
	NTG Research Source:
	PY 7 Research – Free-Ridership and Spillover: Participant and TA self-report, real-time
	approach  From Bidgraphins 0.11 (begoed upon everyons of DV7 Portisinant Survey of ED 0.16 and DV4)
	Free-Ridership: 0.11 – (based upon average of PY7 Participant Survey of FR 0.16 and PY4
	TA Interviews FR 0.05)  Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based upon PY7 SO research)
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.0
CY2019	NTG: 0.92
012013	Free-Ridership: 0.10 - (based upon 46/54 participant/TA weighting from TRM v7 method
	applied to PY7 research)
	Participant Spillover: 0.02 (based on PY7 SO Research)
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.02 (based of 1 1 7 50 Research)
	NTG Research Source: Participant and TA self-report (real time) - FR & SO are based
	upon PY7 Participant Surveys and updated TA interviews (PY8)
CY2020	NTG: 0.97
	Free-Ridership: 0.077
	Participant Spillover: 0.005
	Nonparticipant spillover: 0.04
	NTG Research Source: Participant self-report free ridership and spillover surveys.
L	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

	Strategic Energy Management (SEM)
EPY9	NTG: 1.0
	EM&V impact analysis (regression) will create net savings, not adjusted gross therefore EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively.
CY2018	NTG: 0.95 Free Ridership: 0.09 Spillover: 0.04
	NTG Source: Free-Ridership and Spillover: PY6 RCx NTG Research
	Determined to be more similar to RCx, with project-based impact analysis, than to a program amenable to regression analysis.
CY2019	NTG: 1.0
	NTG Source:  No program-specific research available yet. The program approach is substantially more hands-on and long lasting and internal-capability building than RCx, which implies a higher NTG ratio than RCx (which is 0.94).
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 1.0
	NTG Source:  No program-specific research available yet. The program approach is substantially more hands-on and long lasting and internal-capability building than RCx, which implies a higher NTG ratio than RCx (which is 0.94).

	Energy Advisor Monitoring-based Commissioning (PowerTakeoff)
EPY9	NTG: 1.00
	Based upon ComEd program detail outlining behavioral program and assumes impact analysis is based on regression analysis.
CY2018	NTG: NA
	Based upon ComEd program detail outlining behavioral program and assumes impact analysis is based on regression analysis.
CY2019	NTG: 1.00
	NTG Source:
	NTG SAG Consensus which acknowledges that the program is similar to RCx except that
	participants are customers who have consistently demonstrated having taken no EE actions.
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 1.00
	NTG Source:
	NTG SAG Consensus which acknowledges that the program is similar to RCx except that participants are customers who have consistently demonstrated having taken no EE actions.

	Business Energy Analyzer (Agentis Behavioral Program)
EPY8	NTG: NA
	EM&V impact analysis (regression) will create net savings, not adjusted gross therefore
	EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively.
EPY9	NTG: NA

	Business Energy Analyzer (Agentis Behavioral Program)
	EM&V impact analysis (regression) will create net savings, not adjusted gross therefore EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively.
CY2018	NTG: NA
	EM&V impact analysis (regression) will create net savings, not adjusted gross therefore EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively.
CY2019	NTG: 0.94
	Free Ridership: 0.06
	Spillover: 0.00
	NTG Source:
	Free-Ridership and Spillover: RCx PY9 Research
	The program is similar to RCx and the impact analysis will NOT produce net savings.
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 0.94
	Free Ridership: 0.06
	Spillover: 0.00
	NTO O
	NTG Source:
	Free-Ridership and Spillover: RCx PY9 Research
	The preserve is similar to DCv and the impact analysis will NOT preduce not coving
	The program is similar to RCx and the impact analysis will NOT produce net savings.

	CHP
EPY8	NTG: 0.68
	Based upon PY6 Custom Program
EPY9	NTG: Project-specific NTG values to be determined by evaluation early in each project. If that is not possible, the default of 0.8 NTG will be used.
	Background:
	0.8 is the rounded average of PY7 Custom research NTG and NYSERDA's 0.9 NTG.
CY2018	Program not active in PY10.
CY2019	Recommending the use of an ex-post value.
CY2020	Recommending the use of an ex-post value.

	Operational Savings
CY2018	NTG: 0.91
	Similar to RCx.
CY2019	NTG: 0.94
	Free-Ridership: 0.06
	Spillover: 0.00
	Source: RCx PY9 Research
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 0.94
	Free-Ridership: 0.06
	Spillover: 0.00
	Source: RCx PY9 Research

	LED Street Lighting
CY2018	NTG: 1.0
CY2019	NTG: 1.0, Will conduct primary NTG research in CY2018 on municipally-owned lights
CY2020	NTG Municipality-Owned: 0.81
	FR MunicipalityOwned: 0.19
	PSO Municipality-Owned: Not studied
	NTG ComEd-Owned Poles: 1.0
	Sources:
	FR based on CY2018 EM&V Research ComEd-Owned Poles based on SAG approved value for CY2018.

	Small Business Energy Efficiency Kits
CY2018	NTG: 0.90
	NTG Source: Similar to Ameren SB (0.89), rounded up
CY2019	NTG: 0.89
	NTG Source: Ameren SB
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 0.89
	NTG Source: Ameren SB

	Public Housing Authority	
CY2019	NTG: 1.0	
CY2020	NTG: 1.0	

	Voltage Optimization
CY2019	NTG: NA
CY2020	NTG: NA

## **NEW PROGRAMS**

The new Business programs are listed in the Third-Party Programs section.

# Residential Programs

## **LEGACY PROGRAMS**

	Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts
EPY1	NTG 0.69
	Free-Ridership 38%
	Spillover 7%
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. Based on phone surveys with 100 coupon participants and
	56 identified participants identified in a general population survey.
EPY2	NTG 0.58
	Free-Ridership 48%
	Spillover 6%
	Method: Average of two customer self-report methods (based on general population survey
	[201 completes] and in-store intercept surveys [381 completes]). A supplier self-report
	method (22 surveys) and a revealed preference demand model method were also employed
EPY3	and resulted in lower NTGR estimates but were believed to be less accurate methods.  NTG 0.71
EPIS	Free-Ridership 31%
	Spillover 2%
	Method: A customer self-report method based on in-store intercept surveys [496
	completes]. A supplier self-report method (13 surveys) and a multi-state regression model
	was also employed and resulted in lower NTGR estimates but were believed to be less
	accurate methods.
EPY4	Deemed using PY2 values
	EPY4 Research NTG 0.54 Total, 0.55 Standard, 0.44 Specialty, 0.54 Other – Fixture/LEDs
	Free-Ridership 47% Standard, 58% Specialty, 48% Other – Fixture/LEDs
	Spillover 2%
	Method: Customer self-report method based on in-store intercept surveys (719 intercept
	surveys).
PY5	SAG Consensus:
	Standard CFL: 0.72
	Specialty CFL: 0.80
	CFL Fixtures: 0.79
EPY6	SAG Consensus:
	Standard CFL: 0.54
	Specialty CFL: 0.80
>-	CFL Fixtures: 0.54
EPY7	NTG (based upon 3 year weighted average):
	Standard CFL: 0.60
	Specialty CFL: 0.55 CFL Fixtures: 0.75
	LED Bulbs: 0.48
	LED Fixtures: 0.54
	Coupon: 0.55
	Topon vive
	<b>Source</b> : EPY5 in-store intercept surveys. 3 year average NTG for Standard and Specialty
	CFLs. EM&V estimate for CFL Fixtures, LED Bulbs, and LED Fixtures. Rationale: They are
	higher priced and less common products so the barrier to adoption is higher, meaning the
	incentive has relatively more impact on the purchase decision than for the more common
	standard and specialty CFLs.
	Participant Spillover: 0.01 all bulb types. Source: EPY5 in-store intercept surveys.

## Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts

**Nonparticipant Spillover:** 0.003 all bulb types. Source: EPY5 in-store intercept surveys. 477 nonparticipants interviewed.

Table E-1. 3-Year Average Standard and Specialty NTGR for ComEd

Dragram Vacr	Standard (	CFLs	Specialty CFLs	
Program Year	Bulbs	NTGR	Bulbs	NTGR
EPY3	9,893,196	71%	1,217,723	71%
EPY4	11,419,752	55%	1,097,670	44%
EPY5	9,633,227	55%	1,197,896	48%
3-year Weighted Average for EPY7	-	60%	-	55%

Source: Navigant team analysis.

Table 11 – PY5 FR, Spillover and NTGR Estimates Compared to Prior Program Years (From NTG Memo)

Net Impact Parameters	Population	PY5	PY4	PY3	PY2
	Standard CFLs	0.47	0.47		
Free-Ridership	Specialty CFLs	0.53	0.58		
	All Program Bulbs	0.48	0.48	0.31	0.46
	Standard CFLs	0.02	0.02		
Spillover	Specialty CFLs	0.02	0.02		
	All Program Bulbs	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
	Standard CFLs	0.54	0.55		
NTGR	Specialty CFLs	0.48	0.44		
	All Program Bulbs	0.54	0.54	0.71	0.60

EPY8 Recommendation (based upon PY6 research):

NTG Standard CFL: 0.59 NTG Specialty CFL: 0.54 NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.56 NTG LED Bulbs: 0.73 NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73 NTG Coupon: As above

**PY6 NTG Research:** NTG Standard CFL: 0.59

Free Ridership Standard CFL: 0.41 Spillover Standard CFL: 0.01

PY6 NTG Specialty CFL: 0.54 Free Ridership Specialty CFL: 0.47 Spillover Specialty CFL: 0.01

PY6 NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.54 (no research in PY6

CFL Fixtures FR: none CFL Fixtures SO: none

	Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts
	PY6 NTG LED Bulbs: 0.73
	FR LED Bulbs: 0.44
	SO LED Bulbs: 0.17
	DVO NTO 1 ED EL 4 DE DE
	PY6 NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73
	FR LED Fixtures: 0.44
EPY9	SO LED Fixtures: 0.17  NTG Standard CFL: 0.57
EPT9	NTG Standard CFL: 0.57  NTG Specialty CFL: 0.43 (from previous research)
	NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.56 (from previous research)
	NTG LED Bulbs – Omnidirectional: 0.58
	NTG LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.60
	NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73 (from previous research)
	NTG Coupon: As above (from previous research)
	PY8 NTG Research:
	NTG Standard CFL: 0.57
	Free Ridership Standard CFL: 0.45
	Participant Spillover Standard CFL: 0.005
	Nonparticipant Spillover Standard CFL: 0.008
	PY6 NTG Specialty CFL: 0.43
	Free Ridership Specialty CFL: 0.59
	Spillover Specialty CFL: 0.02
	PY6 NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.56* (no research in PY7, PY8 SAG Consensus Value)
	CFL Fixtures FR: none
	CFL Fixtures SO: none
	DV0 NTC LED Bulba Compi Directionals 0.50
	PY8 NTG LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.58 FR LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.49
	Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.009
	Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.065
	The state of the s
	PY8 NTG LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.60
	FR LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.42
	Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.009
	Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.014
	DVO NTO LED Firtures 0.70
	PY6 NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73 FR LED Fixtures: 0.44
	SO LED Fixtures: 0.44
	OO LED I Mules. U.17
	NTG Research Source:
	PY8 In-store intercept survey, results weighted on projected sales.
	*Note: The CFL fixtures NTG ratio is from the PY8 SAG consensus value and is consistent
	with Standard & Specialty CFLs, "fixtures" is discontinued in PY7

## Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts

#### CY2018

NTG Standard CFL: 0.54 NTG Specialty CFL: 0.43 NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.56

NTG LED Bulbs - Omnidirectional: 0.58 NTG LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.58

NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73 NTG Coupon: As above

### **PY8 NTG Research:**

NTG Standard CFL: 0.54

Free Ridership Standard CFL: 0.47 Participant Spillover Standard CFL: 0.004 Nonparticipant Spillover Standard CFL: 0.010

PY6 NTG Specialty CFL: 0.43 Free Ridership Specialty CFL: 0.59 Spillover Specialty CFL: 0.02

PY6 NTG CFL Fixtures: 0.56\* (no research in PY7, PY8 SAG Consensus Value)

CFL Fixtures FR: none CFL Fixtures SO: none

PY8 NTG LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.58

FR LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.49

Participant spillover LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.009 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.058

PY8 NTG LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.58 FR LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.45

Participant spillover LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.009 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.026

PY6 NTG LED Fixtures: 0.73 FR LED Fixtures: 0.44 SO LED Fixtures: 0.17

#### NTG Research Source:

PY8 In-store intercept survey, results weighted on verified savings.

#### CY2019

NTG Standard CFL: Not active CY2019 NTG Specialty CFL: Not active CY2019 NTG CFL Fixtures: Not active CY2019 NTG LED Fixtures: Not active CY2019 NTG Coupon: Not active CY2019

NTG LED Bulbs - Omnidirectional: 0.67 NTG LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.61 NTG LED Bulbs - Specialty: 0.53\*

#### PY9 NTG Research:

PY9 NTG LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.67

FR LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.41

Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs - Omni-Directional: 0.06

PY9 NTG LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.61

	Residential Lighting – Smart Lighting Discounts
	FR LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.47 Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.06
	PY9 NTG LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.53 FR LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.55 Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.06
	NTG Research Source: PY9 In-store intercept survey, results weighted on verified savings.
CY2020	* = subject to revision as per TRM v7 and EISA.  NTG Standard CFL: Not active CY2019  NTG Specialty CFL: Not active CY2019  NTG CFL Fixtures: Not active CY2019  NTG LED Fixtures: Not active CY2019  NTG Coupon: Not active CY2019  NTG LED Bulbs - Omnidirectional: 0.52  NTG LED Bulbs - Directional: 0.52  NTG LED Bulbs - Specialty: 0.59
	CY2018 Research: CY2018 NTG LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.52 FR LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.55 Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Omni-Directional: 0.05
	CY2018 NTG LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.52 FR LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.55 Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Directional: 0.05
	CY2018 NTG LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.59 FR LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.48 Participant spillover LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.02 Nonparticipant spillover LED Bulbs – Specialty: 0.05
	NTG Research Source: CY2018 in-store intercepts. Note that the evaluation team developed a single estimate for participant spillover and a single estimate for non-participant spillover across all LED types.

	Fridge Freezer Recycling Rewards
EPY1	NTG 0.70 for refrigerators, 0.83 for freezers, 1.0 for Room AC units
	Free-Ridership 30% for refrigerators, 17% for freezers, 0% for Room AC units
	Spillover 0% for all measure types
	<b>Method</b> : Customer self-report. 100 surveys completed (70 refrigerator respondents, 30
	freezers), from attempted calls with 498 respondents
EPY2	NTG 0.73 for refrigerators, 0.82 for freezers, 0.72 for Room AC units
	Free-Ridership 27% for refrigerators, 18% for freezers, 28% for Room AC units
	Spillover 0% for all measure types

	Fridge Freezer	Recyclin	na Rew	ards			
	Fridge Freezer Recycling Rewards  Method: Customer self-report. 152 surveys completed – 114 Refrigerator, 38 Freezer, 30						
	Room AC Recyclers, from attempted calls with 744 respondents						
EPY3	NTG 0.67 for refrigerators, 0.75 for freezers, 0.70 for Room AC units						
				% for freezers, 30% for Room AC units			
	Spillover 0% for all n			1 / 1 / 454 D ( ) 54 E 00 D			
				ys completed – 151 Refrig., 51 Freezer, 30 Room			
EPY4	AC Recyclers, from a			refrigerators, 0.77 for freezers, and 0.58 for Room			
LF 14	AC units	alues IVI	<b>G</b> 0.73 101	reingerators, 0.77 for freezers, and 0.56 for Room			
		of 0.77 fc	or refrige	rators and freezers, 0.58 for Room AC.			
				% for freezers, 42% for Room AC units			
	Spillover 0% for all n			,			
	Method: Customer a	nd participa	ating retai	ler self-reports. Weighted average from combining			
				ompleted with participating customers –150 Refrig.,			
		AC Recyc	lers, from	attempted calls with 2,225 respondents			
EPY5	SAG Consensus:						
	Refrigerators						
	• Freezers: 0.7	-					
EPY6	Room AC: 0.  SAG Consensus:	7 U					
EFIO	Refrigerators	0.73					
	• Freezers: 0.8						
	• Room AC: 0.						
EPY7	NTG:	<u> </u>					
			D 4 "				
	Unit Type Non-	Retailer	Retailer				
	Refrigerator	79%	17%				
	Freezer	59%	21%				
	Room ACs	50%					
	Source: EPY5 participant surveys, participating retailer surveys, nonparticipating retailer						
	surveys						
	Participant Spillove	Participant Spillover: Negligible					
	Nonparticipant spill						
	No spillover primary research done in EPY5. A literature review of other research does not						
	support meaningful spillover.						
	Note: ODC-Ameren a						
EPY8	Recommendation (b						
	NTG Fridge, Retailer: 0.29 without Vendor #1 NTG Fridge, Non-Retailer: 0.77						
	NTG Fridge, Non-Retailer: 0.77  NTG Fridge, Weighted Average Retailer and Non Retailer: 0.53						
	NTG Freezer, Retailer: 0.30 NTG without Vendor #1						
	NTG Freezer, Non-Retailer: 0.58						
	NTG Freezer, Weighted Average Retailer and Non Retailer: 0.57						
	, ,	,	<b>9</b>				
	NTG Room ACs: 0.5						
	, ,						
	NTG Room ACs: 0.5 NTG Room AC, Non FR Fridge, Retailer:	-Retailer: 0.71					
	NTG Room ACs: 0.5 NTG Room AC, Non FR Fridge, Retailer: FR Fridge, Non-Reta	-Retailer: 0.71 iler: 0.23	0.50				
	NTG Room ACs: 0.5 NTG Room AC, Non FR Fridge, Retailer:	-Retailer: 0.71 iller: 0.23 I Average:	0.50				

s, in-
s, in- J
s, in- J
ort a
_

	Fridge Freezer Recycling Rewards
	SO is negligible for this program.  NTG Research Source: PY9 Retailer and participant surveys
CY2020	
	NTG Fridge: 0.40
	NTG Freezer: 0.52
	NTG Room ACs: 0.50
	FR Fridge: 0.60
	FR Freezer: 0.48
	FR Room ACs: 0.50
	SO is negligible for this program.  CY 2018 NTG Weighted average of Retailer & Non-Retailer participant surveys

	Multifamily Market Rate
EPY1	NTG 0.80
	Free-Ridership n/a
	Spillover n/a
	Method: ComEd planning documents. (No EMV NTG analysis).
EPY2	Program NTG 0.88
	Measure Specific:
	CFLs NTG 0.81
	CFLs Free Ridership 27%
	CFLs Spillover 18%
	Water Efficient Showerheads NTG 0.93
	Water Efficient Showerheads Free Ridership 9%
	Water Efficient Showerheads Spillover 2%
	Water Efficient Aerators NTG 0.94
	Water Efficient Aerators Free Ridership 6%
	Water Efficient Aerators Spillover 0%
	<b>Method</b> : Participant Self-Report. CATI telephone survey with 75 participating tenants (90/9).
EPY3	Program NTG 0.90
	Measure Specific:
	CFLs NTG 0.81
	CFLs Free Ridership 20%
	CFLs Spillover 1%
	Water Efficient Showerheads NTG 0.93
	Water Efficient Showerheads Free Ridership 7%
	Water Efficient Showerheads Spillover 0%
	Water Efficient Aerators NTG 0.94
	Water Efficient Aerators Free Ridership 6%
	Water Efficient Aerators Spillover 0%
	Method: Participant self-report. CATI telephone survey with 140 participating tenants
	(90/10).
EPY4	Deemed using EPY2 values:
	Program NTG 0.83
	Measure Specific:
	CFLs NTG 0.81
	Water Efficiency Measures (Aerators + Showerheads) NTG 0.93
	Verification Method: Applied EPY2 evaluation findings according to NTG Framework.
	EPY4 Research Findings:
	Program NTG 0.97
	CFLs NTG 0.98
	Water Efficiency Measures (Aerators + Showerheads) NTG 0.92

	Multifamily Market Rate						
	Water Efficient Showerheads NTG	0.91					
	Water Efficient Aerators NTG 0.93						
	Research Method: Participant self-report. CATI telephone survey with participating						
ED)/E	decision-makers (37 property manag	ers)					
EPY5	SAG Consensus:	1 001					
	Multi-Family – Lighting	0.81	_				
>/-	Multi-Family – Water Measures	0.93					
EPY6	SAG Consensus:	1 000	1				
	Multi-Family – CFLs	0.98					
	Multi-Family – Showerhead	0.92					
EPY7	Multi-Family – Common Areas   Evaluation used EPY4 research fin	0.80					
	Participant spillover: Comprehension No participant spillover is likely for an theory.	G 0.94 NTG 1.00 ble thermose we spillover by measure articipant sp ry.	tats and water temperature turndown) is in the estimated NTG. Other measures: s given the program approach and program willover is likely for any measures given the				
	measures, and Navigant's research i unlikely to install these measures wit ComEd Small Business Energy Savii For EPY7 CFL direct install Free-Rid research finding NTGR of 0.98, base	ndicates the hout the exemps programership, Navership, Navership, Py4 value	rigant recommends the PY4 evaluation y self-report data from participating property es for each of the water efficient measures				
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY						
	NTG Direct Install CFLs and LED L	ighting: 0. head, bath					
	research for other measures, thus the – see detail above for EPY7.		on secondary research. There was no EPY6 n team recommends using the EPY7 values				
EPY9	NTG Direct Install CFLs: 0.98 NTG Hot Water Measures (showerhe NTG Unit Measures: 0.95 NTG Common Areas Measures: 0.95 NTG Thermostat: 0.90	•	erators, kitchen aerator): 0.92, 0.94 and 1.00				

	Minister with Manhat Data
	Multifamily Market Rate
	FR DI CFL: 0.02
	FR Hot Water Measures: 0.08, 0.06 & 0.0, showerhead, bath & kitchen aerators,
	respectively FR Unit: 0.05
	FR Common Areas: 0.05
	FR Thermostats (based upon evaluation secondary research)
	SO Was not found in this program.
	oo was not round in this program.
	NTG Source:
	PY7 SAG consensus values (no new research)
CY2018	NTG Direct Install CFLs: 0.98
	NTG Hot Water Measures (showerhead, bath aerators, kitchen aerator): 0.92, 1.00 and 1.00
	NTG Unit Measures: 0.95
	NTG Common Areas Measures: 0.95
	NTG Thermostat: 0.90
	FR DI CFL: 0.02
	FR Hot Water Measures: 0.08, 0.00 & 0.0, showerhead, bath & kitchen aerators,
	respectively
	FR Unit: 0.05
	FR Common Areas: 0.05
	FR Thermostats (based upon evaluation secondary research)
	SO Was not found in this program.
	NTG Source:
	For faucet aerators: TRM version 6.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators be
	set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water
	flow rate. For all other measures: PY7 SAG consensus values (no new research)
CY2019	NTG Direct Install CFLs: Not active CY2019
	NTG Direct Install LED: 0.84
	NTG Hot Water Measures (showerhead, bath aerators, kitchen aerator): 1.00
	NTG Programmable and Reprogram Thermostat: 0.90
	NTG Other Unit Measures: 0.95
	NTG Common Areas: 0.95
	FR Hot Water Measures: 0.0
	FR Unit: 0.05
	FR Common Areas: 0.05
	FR Thermostats (based upon evaluation secondary research)
	SO was not found in this program.
	30 was not found in this program.
	NTG Source:
	For DI LED: HEA PY9 participating customer survey
	For faucet aerators and showerheads: TRM version 7.0 specifies that the free ridership for
	faucet aerators and showerheads be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the
	TRM specified baseline average water flow rate.
	For all other: PY7 SAG consensus values (no new research)
CY2020	NTG Direct Install CFLs: Not active CY2019
	NTG LED Linear (Common Area): 0.96
	NTG LED Omnidirectional: 0.67
	NTG LED Specialty: 0.82
	NTG Controls (In Unit): 0.83
	NTG Fluorescent Delamping (Common Area):0.83

Multifamily Market Rate
NTG Hot Water Measures (showerhead, bath aerators, kitchen aerator): 1.03 NTG Programmable Thermostat (Direct Install): 0.86 NTG Programmable Thermostat (Comprehensive): 0.85 NTG Reprogram Thermostat: 0.86 NTG Advanced Power Strip (Tier 1): 0.94 NTG Advanced Power Strip (Tier 2): 0.83 NTG DWH Pipe Insulation: 0.83 NTG Other Measures, Direct Installed in Units: 0.83 NTG Common Areas: 0.83
FR LED Linear (Common Area): 0.07 FR LED Omnidirectional: 0.36 FR LED Specialty: 0.21 FR Controls (In Unit): 0.20 FR Fluorescent Delamping (Common Area):0.20 FR Hot Water Measures (showerhead, bath aerators, kitchen aerator): 0 FR Programmable Thermostat (Direct Install): 0.17 FR Programmable Thermostat (Comprehensive): 0.18 FR Reprogram Thermostat: 0.17 FR Advanced Power Strip (Tier 1): 0.09 FR Advanced Power Strip (Tier 2): 0.20 FR DWH Pipe Insulation: 0.20 FR Other Measures, Direct Installed in Units: 0.20 FR Common Areas: 0.20
SO All Measures: 0.03
NTG Source: For LED FR: PY9 and CY2018 participating customer surveys For faucet aerators and showerheads FR: TRM version 7.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators and showerheads be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water flow rate. For Programmable Thermostat FR: PY9 and CY2018 participating customer surveys For all other: Savings weighted average of measures studied in PY9 and CY2018 participating customer surveys
For All Measures SO: PY9 and CY2018 participating customer surveys

	Home Energy Assessments (Single Family Retrofit)
EPY1	NTG 0.80
	Free-Ridership 0.20
	Spillover NA
	<b>Method</b> : ComEd Program Assumption. The EPY1 evaluation did not estimate the net to gross ratio. The value of 80% is drawn from the program plan presented in ComEd's 2008-2010 Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Plan (November 15, 2007). Page D-2 of the ComEd plan provides a footnote stating the net to gross ratio of 80% is drawn from the California Energy Efficiency Policy Manual, version 2 (2003).
EPY2	NTG 0.87
	Free-Ridership 26%
	Spillover 3.5%
	Method: Customer self-reports. 130 surveys completed from a population of 760.
	Measure NTG FR SO

	Home F	neray Ac	CACC		ite /Sin/	nie Fami	ly Retrofi	TI	
	Kitchen Ae		0.97	3%	0.0%	jie i aiiii	ly itelion	(t)	
	Bathroom /		0.97	3%	0.0%				
	Showerhea		0.93	8%	0.5%				
	Pipe Insula		1.02	7%	9.0%				
	Total Direc		0.87	26%	3.5%				
EPY3	NTG 0.74	t IIIStaii	J.01	20%	3.5%				
	Spillover ( Method: 0 measures)	Customer sel	nstall-c	nly pa stall-o	articipant si	irveys com		weatherizatio a population o	
	Compact FI Bulbs	uorescent	0.68		1% 3%				
	Air Sealing		0.99		3%				
	Attic Insulat	ion	0.99		9%				
		c Insulation	0.98		9% 9%				
		c insulation	0.98		9%_ I%				
	Sloped Insu		0.96		1%				
	Knee Wall I		0.96		1%				
	Crawl Spac		0.96		1%				
	Duct Insula				7% 3%				
	Rim Joist In		0.99						
			0.96		1%				
		anair Duata	0 02						
EPY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr	epair Ducts ve application ee-Ridershi	<b>p</b> * 18%	27 <b>ITG</b> * ( % (Pre	liminary)	ninary)			
EPY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft	ve application ree-Ridership coillover* 1% of the report ha	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin	27 ITG* ( % (Pre ninary en subr	0.83 (Prelin liminary) ') mitted yet, thu	s these value		reatherization	
EPY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridership billover* 1% of the report ha Customer sel	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelings not been f-repor	1TG* ( % (Pre ninary en subr ts. 54	0.83 (Prelin eliminary) r) mitted yet, thu full-particip	s these value pant (direct	Install and w	reatherization 320 full-partic	
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridership billover* 1% of the report ha Customer sel	0.74  on of N  p* 18% (Prelin  s not bee  f-repor  npletee	1TG* ( % (Pre ninary en subr ts. 54	0.83 (Prelin eliminary) r) mitted yet, thu full-particip	s these value pant (direct	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridership collover* 1% of the report has Customer self surveys con	0.74  on of N  p* 18% (Prelint  s not been f-report  mpleted	1TG* ( 6 (Preninary 15 Subrem 15 Sub	0.83 (Prelin Iliminary) (1) nitted yet, thu full-particip n a populati Free	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridership collover* 1% of the report ha Customer sellor surveys con Measure	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpleted	ITG* (6 (Pre ninary subrts. 54 d from	0.83 (Prelin diminary) r) mitted yet, thu full-particip a a populati Free Ridership*	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridershi cillover* 1% of the report ha Customer sel surveys cor Measure 9 Watt CFL	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpleted	ITG* (6 (Preminary subminary submina	0.83 (Prelin deliminary) (1) nitted yet, thu full-particip a a populati Free Ridership*	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridershi cillover* 1% of the report had customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor npleted	ITG* (% (Preminary en subrits. 54 di from TG*)	0.83 (Prelin Iliminary) (1) mitted yet, thu full-particip a a populati Free Ridership* 0.25	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover* 0.04 0.04	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridershi coillover* 1% of the report had customer sell surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpleted	ITG* (6 (Preninaryen subrts. 54 d from TG*) 0.79 0.79	0.83 (Prelin diminary) r) mitted yet, thu full-particip a a populati Free Ridership* 0.25 0.25	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover* 0.04 0.04 0.04	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C	ve application ree-Ridershi coillover* 1% of the report had customer sell surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpleted	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary en subrits. 54 di from trg*) 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79	0.83 (Prelin diminary) d) mitted yet, thu full-particip a a populati Free Ridership* 0.25 0.25 0.25	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover* 0.04 0.04 0.04	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct-	ve application ree-Ridershi coillover* 1% of the report ha customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe CFL Low Flow	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpletee	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary en subrits. 54 di from 1TG* 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79	0.83 (Prelinder) (Indicated) (Prelinder) (	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081  Spillover*  0.04  0.04  0.04  0.04  0.04	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)	ye application ree-Ridershi collover* 1% of the report ha customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe CFL Low Flow Shower Head	0.74  on of N  p* 18% (Prelin  s not bee  f-repor  npletec	27 ITG* (6 (Pre ninary en subr ts. 54 d from TG* 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.93	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1979)  nitted yet, thut full-participe a population Free Ridership*  0.25  0.25  0.25  0.25  0.25  0.25  0.25	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*  0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct- Install	ye application ree-Ridershi collover* 1% of the report ha customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe CFL Low Flow Shower Head Kitchen Aerate Bathroom	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor npletec	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary subminary subm	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1971)  0.85 (0.25 (0.25 (0.25 (0.25 (0.25 (0.25 (0.25 (0.07 (0.01 (0.0	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081  Spillover*  0.04  0.04  0.04  0.04  0.00  0.00	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct- Install	ye application ree-Ridershi collover* 1% of the report ha customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe CFL Low Flow Shower Head Kitchen Aerator Hot Water Temperature	0.74  on of N  p* 18% (Prelin  s not beef-repor  npletec	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary en subrits. 54 difrom 176* 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 1.00	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1978)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1978)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of Section 1978)  0.85 (0.2	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*  0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.01	Install and w		
FPY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct- Install	ve application ree-Ridershi coillover* 1% of the report ha customer sel surveys cor Measure  9 Watt CFL 14 Watt CFL 19 Watt CFL 23 Watt CFL 9 Watt Globe CFL Low Flow Shower Head Kitchen Aerate Bathroom Aerator Hot Water Temperature Setback	0.74  on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor npletec	27 ITG* (6 (Preninaryen subrits. 54 difrom 176* 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.88	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.84 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.25 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.26 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.89 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.80 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.81 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.82 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 197	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*  0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.01 0.01	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct- Install	ye application ree-Ridership collover* 1% of the report has customer self surveys cores where the surveys cores with the report has customer self surveys cores where the surveys cores with the surveys cores with the surveys cores with the surveys cores with the surveys with the	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelins not beef-repormpleted) or	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary en subrits. 54 di from	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.84 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.25 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.26 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.89 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.80 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.81 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.82 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 197	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*  0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.01 0.01	Install and w		
PY4	Overall Retroactiv Overall Fr Overall Sp *A final draft Method: C measures)  Direct- Install	ve application ree-Ridership illover* 1% of the report had customer self surveys cores where the surveys cores with the report had customer self surveys with the report had customer self-surveys with the report had custome	0.74 on of N p* 18% (Prelin s not bee f-repor mpleted	27 ITG* (6 (Preminary en subrits. 54 difrom 176* 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.79 0.88 0.88	0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.83 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.84 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.25 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.26 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.88 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.89 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.80 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.81 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.82 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.85 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.86 (Prelinder Interest of State 1971)  0.87 (Prelinder Interest of State 197	s these value pant (direct on of 1,081 Spillover*  0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.00 0.01 0.01	Install and w		

	Home En	ergy Asse	ssmen	ts (Si	ingle	Fami	ly Retro	ofit)	
		oor Insulation Other)	0.76	0.2	24	0.00			
		uct Insulation Sealing	0.80		-	-			
	Ai	r Sealing	0.84	0.	16	0.00			
	Overall Program		0.83	0.1	18	0.01			
EDV.		A final draft of the	e report has	not beer	n submitt	ed yet, th	us these val	ues may cha	ange.
EPY5 EPY6	Sag Consens	sus:					EPY5	EPY6	
	Lighting						0.89	0.79	]
	Single Famil	y with Gas _ S	Showerhe	ad			0.94	0.75	
	Single Famil	y with Gas_ K	itchen Ae	rator			0.94		
	Single Famil	y with Gas _ I	Bath Aera	tor			0.94		
	Single Famil	y with Gas _ \	Water Hea	ater Te	mp Set	:back	0.94		
	Single Famil	y with Gas _ I	Pipe Insul	ation			0.94		
	Weatheriza	tion Measure	S				0.80	0.80	
	Attic Insulat	ion					0.80		
	Wall Insulat	ion					0.80		
	Floor Insula	tion (other)					0.80		
	Duct Sealing	5					0.80		
	Air Sealing						0.80		
	Source: Part Weatherization Supporting I	on free ridersh	nip, trade a	ally val					
	Direct Instal			0.03	0.80	]			
	Weatherizat			0.11	1.02				
	Program Wi			0.05	0.85	•			
EPY8	Recommendation (based upon PY7 NTG recommended values): NTG CFL: 0.79 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Hot Water Measures with gas: 0.75 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Direct Install Measures: 0.80 – (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Weatherization Measures: 1.02 – (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Thermostat: 0.90 – (secondary 2010 MA and VT research)  FR CFL: NA FR Hot Water: NA FR Direct Install: 0.23 FR Weatherization: 0.10 FR Thermostat: NA MA/VT secondary research  SO CFL: na SO Hot Water: NA								

	Home Energy Assessments (Single Family Retrofit)
	SO Weatherization: 0.11
	SO Thermostat: NA MA/VT secondary research
	EPY6 research on thermostat NTG was based on secondary research. There was no EPY6 research for other measures, thus the evaluation team recommends using the EPY7 values – see detail above for EPY7.
EPY9	NTG CFL: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Hot Water Measures with gas: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Direct Install Measures: 0.80 – (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Weatherization Measures: 1.01 – (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Thermostat: 0.90 – (secondary 2010 MA and VT research)
	FR CFL: NA FR Hot Water: NA FR Direct Install: 0.23 FR Weatherization: 0.10 FR Thermostat: NA
	SO CFL: NA SO Hot Water: NA SO Direct Install: 0.03 SO Weatherization: 0.11 SO Thermostat: NA
	NTG Source: PY6 SAG consensus value (no new research)
CY2018	NTG Lighting: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Showerheads: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Faucet Aerators: 1.03 – (TRM version 6.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water flow rate.) NTG Other Direct Install Measures: 0.80 – (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5
	research)  NTG Programmable Thermostat and Programmable Thermostat Education: 0.90 –  (secondary 2010 MA and VT research)  NTG Advanced Power Strips: 0.95 – (based on MF Elevate and PY6 Desktop Power
	Management) NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA. The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.
	FR Lighting: NA FR Showerheads: 0.23 FR Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.00 FR Other Direct Install: 0.23 FR Thermostat: 0.23 FR Advanced Power Strips: NA
	SO Lighting: NA SO Showerheads: 0.03 SO Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.03 SO Other Direct Install: 0.03 SO Thermostat: 0.03 SO Advanced Power Strips: NA

## **Home Energy Assessments (Single Family Retrofit)** NTG Source: For faucet aerators: TRM version 6.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water For other measures: PY6 SAG consensus value (no new research) NTG Pipe Insulation: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) CY2019 NTG Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 1.04 NTG Other Direct Install Measures: 0.81 - (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Programmable Thermostat and Programmable Thermostat Education: 0.90 -(secondary 2010 MA and VT research) NTG Advanced Power Strips: 0.85 – (based on PY9 participant survey for FR and PY8 participant survey for SO) NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA. The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number. NTG LEDs - Copay: 0.92 NTG LEDs - Free: 0.84 FR Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.00 FR Other Direct Install: 0.23 FR Thermostat: NA FR Advanced Power Strips: 0.19 FR LEDs - Copay: 0.12 FR LEDs - Free: 0.20 SO Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.04 SO Other Direct Install: 0.04 SO Thermostat: NA SO Advanced Power Strips: 0.04 SO LEDs - Copay: 0.04 SO LEDs - Free: 0.04 NTG Source: Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator FR: TRM version 7.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators and showerheads be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water flow rate.LED and APS FR: PY9 participant survey Thermostat: 2010 MA VT Evaluation Research Other Direct Install FR: PY6 SAG consensus value (no new research) SO: PY8 participant survey **Unchanged from CY2019** CY2020 NTG Pipe Insulation: 0.80 – (used in PY6 Report based upon PY4 research) NTG Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 1.04 NTG Other Direct Install Measures: 0.81 - (from PY7 Recommendation based upon PY5 research) NTG Programmable Thermostat and Programmable Thermostat Education: 0.90 -(secondary 2010 MA and VT research) NTG Advanced Power Strips: 0.85 – (based on PY9 participant survey for FR and PY8 participant survey for SO) NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA. The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number. NTG LEDs - Copay: 0.92 NTG LEDs - Free: 0.84 FR Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.00

## **Home Energy Assessments (Single Family Retrofit)**

FR Other Direct Install: 0.23

FR Thermostat: NA

FR Advanced Power Strips: 0.19

FR LEDs – Copay: 0.12 FR LEDs – Free: 0.20

SO Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator: 0.04

SO Other Direct Install: 0.04

SO Thermostat: NA

SO Advanced Power Strips: 0.04

SO LEDs – Copay: 0.04 SO LEDs – Free: 0.04

#### NTG Source:

Showerhead and Kitchen and Bathroom Faucet Aerator FR: TRM version 7.0 specifies that the free ridership for faucet aerators and showerheads be set at zero when estimating gross savings using the TRM specified baseline average water flow rate.LED and APS FR: PY9 participant survey

Thermostat: 2010 MA VT Evaluation Research

Other Direct Install FR: PY6 SAG consensus value (no new research)

SO: PY8 participant survey

## **Heating, Cooling and Weatherization Rebates**

#### CY2018

## **Heating and Cooling**

NTG Central AC: 0.69

Free-Ridership Central AC: 0.43

TA Spillover (Participant) Central AC: 0.12

NTG Source for Central AC:

Free-Ridership: PY8 participant self-report survey

TA Spillover (Participant): PY7 SAG consensus value for CSR

PY7 SAG consensus value for non-participant spillover for CSR is not applicable here because those savings are likely now captured by the new stand-alone CAC program. Navigant interviewed participating trade allies as part of the CSR evaluation and found the non-participant spillover was from ComEd customers who needed and got a new high efficiency CAC but did not need or get a new furnace, thus they did not do a "complete system replacement" and were not eligible for the incentive. The trade allies reported a substantial share of sales in high efficiency CAC that did not get an incentive because the customer did not do a CSR. We counted that as spillover. Now, however, with the Heating, Cooling, and Weatherization Program, ComEd customers can get an incentive when they replace just the CAC, and thus the NPSO we found for the old CSR program is probably being captured by the new program.

NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA

The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.

NTG Air Source Heat Pump: 0.57, based upon 2013 Navigant research for Duke. NTG Ductless Mini-Split: 0.68, based upon average for 5 utilities cited in 2016 study for Wisconsin Focus on Energy.

NTG ECM Furnace Motor – with Furnace Upgrade: 0.68, based upon GPY5 Navigant research for Nicor Gas

NTG ECM Furnace Motor – without Furnace Upgrade: 0.80, default value

### **Heating, Cooling and Weatherization Rebates**

NTG Geothermal Heat Pump: 0.59, based upon 2013 Ameren IL Study, Res Home Rebate Program

NTG Heat Pump Water Heater: 0.76, based upon 2013 Navigant research for Duke

"2013 EM&V Report for the Home Energy Improvement Program" Duke Energy, July 2015. <a href="http://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/ViewFile.aspx?ld=b94770a2-2d4a-427d-9c50-b09fd11096ed">http://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/ViewFile.aspx?ld=b94770a2-2d4a-427d-9c50-b09fd11096ed</a>

"Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Market Assessment and Savings Review Report" for Wisconsin Focus on Energy, December 30, 2016.

https://focusonenergy.com/sites/default/files/research/Focus%20EERD%20DMSHP%2 0Final%20Report\_30Dec2016.pdf

#### Weatherization

NTG: 1.01

Free-Ridership: 0.10 Participant Spillover: 0.11

NTG Source:

Free-Ridership: PY7 SAG consensus value for the Home Energy Assessments program, which was based on participant surveys in EPY4 and EPY5 and trade ally surveys in EPY5.

#### CY2019

# **Heating and Cooling**

NTG Central AC: 0.65

Free-Ridership Central AC: 0.43 Participant Spillover Central AC: 0.08

NTG Source for Central AC:

Free-Ridership: PY8 participant self-report survey Spillover: PY8 participant self-report survey

NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA

The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.

NTG Air Source Heat Pump: 0.57, based upon SAG consensus value.

NTG Ductless Mini-Split: 0.68, based upon SAG consensus value.

NTG ECM Furnace Motor – with Furnace Upgrade: 0.68, based upon SAG consensus value.

NTG ECM Furnace Motor – without Furnace Upgrade: 0.80, based upon SAG consensus value.

NTG Geothermal Heat Pump: 0.59, based upon SAG consensus value.

NTG Heat Pump Water Heater: 0.76, based upon SAG consensus value.

"2013 EM&V Report for the Home Energy Improvement Program" for Duke Energy, July 2015. <a href="http://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/ViewFile.aspx?ld=b94770a2-2d4a-427d-9c50-b09fd11096ed">http://starw1.ncuc.net/NCUC/ViewFile.aspx?ld=b94770a2-2d4a-427d-9c50-b09fd11096ed</a>

"Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Market Assessment and Savings Review Report" for Wisconsin Focus on Energy, December 30, 2016.

https://focusonenergy.com/sites/default/files/research/Focus%20EERD%20DMSHP%20Final%20Report 30Dec2016.pdf

## **Heating, Cooling and Weatherization Rebates**

#### Weatherization

NTG: 1.01

Free-Ridership: 0.10 Participant Spillover: 0.11

Attic insulation and Air Sealing Only NTG: N/A

NTG Source:

Free-Ridership: PY7 SAG consensus value for the Home Energy Assessments program, which was based on participant surveys in EPY4 and EPY5 and trade ally surveys in EPY5.

Spillover: SAG consensus value

#### CY2020

#### **Heating and Cooling**

NTG Central AC: 0.83 NTG Ductless Mini-Split: 0.63 NTG ECM Furnace Motor: 0.78

FR Central AC: 0.25

FR Ductless Mini-Split: 0.45 FR ECM Furnace Motor: 0.30

SO Participant Central AC: 0.08 SO Ductless Mini-Split: 0.08 SO ECM Furnace Motor: 0.08

NTG Source for Central AC, Ductless Mini Split, and Furnace Motor

Free-Ridership: CY2018 participating customers survey Spillover: CY2018 participating customers survey

NTG Advanced Thermostat: NA

The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.

NTG Air Source Heat Pump: 0.57, based upon SAG consensus value. NTG Geothermal Heat Pump: 0.59, based upon SAG consensus value.

#### Weatherization

NTG Attic Insulation + Air Sealing Only: NA NTG Air Sealing (without Attic Insulation): 0.78

NTG Duct Sealing: 0.88

FR Attic Insulation + Air Sealing Only: NA FR Air Sealing (without Attic Insulation): 0.24

FR Duct Sealing: 0.14

SO Attic Insulation + Air Sealing Only: NA SO Air Sealing (without Attic Insulation): 0.02

SO Duct Sealing: 0.02

NTG Source for Attic Insulation and Duct Sealing: PY9 and CY2018 participating customer surveys

NTG Wall Insulation: 0.80 FR Wall Insulation: 0.22 SO Wall Insulation: 0.02

NTG Source for Wall Insulation: Savings-weighted average of PY9 and CY2018

participating customer survey

	Residential New Construction
EPY1	No Program
EPY2	No Program
EPY3	No Program
EPY4	NTG not evaluated. Program just launched. No impact evaluation. No kWh savings
EPY5	SAG Consensus: Retrospective evaluation
EPY6	SAG Consensus
	• 0.80
EPY7	NTG: 0.80
	Free-Ridership 0.20
	Participants Spillover: negligible
	Nonparticipants Spillover: negligible
	Source: Planning value used in each prior year. There are no evaluation NTG has been
EPY8	conducted yet. The program is so young it is unlikely to be creating meaningful spillover.
EPY8	Recommendation (Secondary research: National Grid, CPS Energy, CPUC and Market Effects):
	NTG: 1.0
	141 G. 1.0
	Based upon secondary research including MA Res NC (NTG=1.18), National Grid RI
	(NTG=1.0), CPS Energy Savers (NTG=1.0), CPUC (NTG=-0.80) and market effects IEPEC
	paper.
EPY9	NTG: 0.65
	Free-Ridership 0.39
	Participant Spillover: 0.04
	DVZ NTO Decemb Course
	PY7 NTG Research Source:
CY2018	Research of participants, builders and raters  NTG: 0.65
012010	Free-Ridership 0.39
	Participant Spillover: 0.04
	PY7 NTG Research Source:
	Research of participants, builders and raters
CY2019	NTG: 0.65
	Free-Ridership 0.39
	Participant Spillover: 0.04
	DVZ NTO Decemb Course
	PY7 NTG Research Source:
CY2020	Research of participants, builders and raters Unchanged from CY2019
012020	NTG: 0.65
	Free-Ridership 0.39
	Participant Spillover: 0.04
	PY7 NTG Research Source:
	Research of participants, builders and raters

	Elementary Ene	rgy Educa	tion				
EPY4	Measure	Research Findings Nicor Gas- only FR	Research Findings Nicor Gas- only SO	Research Findings Nicor Gas-only NTG	Research Findings Nicor Gas- ComEd FR	Research Findings Nicor Gas- ComEd SO	Research Findings Nicor Gas- ComEd NTG
	Showerheads	39%	7%	68%	22%	19%	96%
	Kitchen Aerators	33%	2%	69%	18%	14%	97%
	Bathroom Aerators	35%	7%	71%	22%	9%	87%
	CFLs	NA	NA	NA	53%	31%	78%
EPY5	Retroactive applications Free-Ridership 18-53 Spillover 7-19% Method: Customer sell SAG Consensus	%					.,
EPY6	• 0.76 SAG Consensus • 0.76						
EPY7	NTG: 0.76 Free-Ridership: See Participant spillover: Nonparticipant spillo Source: EPY4 participant No material changes to	see EPY4 tal ver: negligib ant survey. No	<b>le</b> o new evalua	tion resear	ch in EPY5	5.	
EPY8	Recommendation (Avalues): CFL NTG: 0.83 Showerheads NTG: 1 Aerators NTG: 1.04 Based upon averaging	.05				T I EEE PIO	gram
EPY9	Recommendation – S CFL NTG: 1.0 Showerheads NTG: 1 Aerators NTG: 1.0	SAG Consens					
	NTG Source: NTG values of 1.0 bas	ed upon SAG	consensus				
	Researched Values: PY7 Research of particular values are the average values: CFL NTG: 0.67 Showerheads NTG: 0.4 Aerators NTG: 0.92	e of NIPSCO,					ram
	CFL FR: 0.51 Showerheads FR: 0.29 Aerators FR: 0.20	9					
	CFL SO: 0.18						

	Elementary Energy Education
	Showerheads SO: 0.11
	Aerators SO: 0.12
CY2018	Recommendation:
	LED bulbs NTG: 1.0
	Showerheads NTG: 1.0
	Aerators NTG: 1.0
	Water Heater Setback NTG: 1.0
	Shower Timer NTG: 1.0
	NTG Source:
	NTG values of 1.0 based upon PY7 SAG consensus
CY2019	Recommendation:
	LED bulbs NTG: 0.84
	Showerheads NTG: 1.0
	Aerators NTG: 1.0
	Water Heater Setback NTG: 1.0 Shower Timer NTG: 1.0
	Shower Timer NTG: 1.0
	LED bulbs FR: 0.20
	LED bulbs SO: 0.04
	NTG Source:
	LED: Based on HEA PY9 and PY8 participant customer research.
CY2020	All Others: NTG values of 1.0 based upon PY7 SAG consensus.  Unchanged from CY2019
C12020	Recommendation:
	LED bulbs NTG: 0.84
	Showerheads NTG: 1.0
	Aerators NTG: 1.0
	Water Heater Setback NTG: 1.0
	Shower Timer NTG: 1.0
	LED hulho ED. 0.20
	LED bulbs FR: 0.20 LED bulbs SO: 0.04
	LLD Duibs SO. 0.04
	NTG Source:
	LED: Based on HEA PY9 and PY8 participant customer research.
	All Others: NTG values of 1.0 based upon PY7 SAG consensus.

	Energy Star Rebate (Appliances)
EPY8	Clothes Washer = 0.68 based upon ComEd PY5 Evaluation Report
	Refrigerator = 0.86 based upon MA 2012 Home Energy Services Evaluation
	Air Purifier = 0.78 based upon Ameren IL Residential EE Products PY5
	Learning Thermostats = 0.90 Navigant researched value for Residential Programs
	Freezers = 0.86 based upon MA 2012 Home Energy Services Evaluation for refrigerators.
	Heat Pump Water Heater = 0.86 based upon Ameren IL Res EE Products PY5
	Clothes Dryer = 0.68 based upon ComEd Clothes Washer PY5 Evaluation Report

	Engrave Cton Dobato (Appliance)
	Energy Star Rebate (Appliances)
EPY9	Clothes Washer = 0.68 – based upon ComEd PY5 Evaluation Report
	Refrigerator = 0.86 – based upon MA 2012 Home Energy Services Evaluation
	Air Purifier = 0.78 – based upon Ameren IL Residential EE Products PY5
	<b>Learning Thermostats</b> = 0.90 – Navigant researched value for Residential Programs
	<b>Freezers = 0.86</b> – based upon MA 2012 Home Energy Services Evaluation for refrigerators.
	<b>Heat Pump Water Heater = 0.86</b> – based upon Ameren IL Res EE Products PY5
	Clothes Dryer = 0.68 – based upon ComEd Clothes Washer PY5 Evaluation Report
	<b>Dehumidifier = 0.78</b> – based upon Ameren PY4 researched value of 0.78
	Advanced Power Strips = 0.86 – Ameren primary research in PY4
	<b>Dishwasher = 0.92</b> – based upon recent CO study; will be provided to SAG once it is public
	<b>Pool Pump = 1.00</b> – based upon recent CO study; will be provided to SAG once it is public
	Bathroom Exhaust Fan = 0.80 – default value (secondary research didn't support a
	recommendation)
	Water Cooler = 0.80 – default value (secondary research didn't support a recommendation)
	Window AC = 0.80 – default value (secondary research didn't support a recommendation)
	NTG Source:
	Based upon EPY8 Recommendations for existing measures and secondary research for
	new measures.
CY2018	Clothes Washer = 0.58
	Refrigerator = 0.57
	Air Purifier = 0.74
	Freezers = 0.54
	Heat Pump Water Heater = 0.74
	Clothes Dryer = 0.62
	Bathroom Exhaust Fan = 0.66
	Water Cooler = 0.83
	Window AC = 0.63
	Debumidifier 0.70 based upon America DV4 researched value of 0.70
	<b>Dehumidifier = 0.78</b> – based upon Ameren PY4 researched value of 0.78
	Advanced Power Strips = 0.86 – Ameren primary research in PY4
	Dishwasher = 0.80 – default value
	Pool Pump = 0.80 – default value
	<b>Learning Thermostats</b> = NA. The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression
	analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.
	NTC Source:
	NTG Source:
CY2019	Based upon EPY8 participant self-report survey unless noted otherwise.  NTG Clothes Washer: 0.62
012019	NTG Clothes Washer: 0.62 NTG Refrigerator: 0.61
	NTG Air Purifier: 0.78
	NTG Freezers: 0.58
	NTG Heat Pump Water Heater: 0.78
	NTG Clothes Dryer: 0.66
	NTG Bathroom Exhaust Fan: 0.70
	NTG Bathroom Exhaust Fan: 0.70  NTG Water Cooler: 0.87
	NTG Water Cooler: 0.87 NTG Window AC: 0.67
	THE THIRDW AC. U.UI
	<b>Dehumidifier = 0.78</b> – based upon Ameren PY4 researched value of 0.78
	Advanced Power Strips = 0.86 – Ameren primary research in PY4
	Dishwasher = 0.80 – default value
	Pool Pump = 0.80 – default value
	Advanced Thermostats = NA. The savings value in the IL TRM is based on regression
	analysis on consumption data and thus is a net savings number.

	Energy Star Rebate (Appliances)
	FR Clothes Washer: 0.42
	FR Refrigerator: 0.43
	FR Air Purifier: 0.26 FR Freezers: 0.46
	FR Heat Pump Water Heater: 0.26
	FR Clothes Dryer: 0.38
	FR Bathroom exhaust fan: 0.34
	FR Water cooler: 0.17
	FR Window AC: 0.37
	SO: 0.04 (clothes washer, refrigerator, air purifier, freezers, heat pump water heater, clothes dryer, bathroom exhaust fan, water cooler, window AC)
	NTG Source:
	SO based upon EPY8 participant self-report survey; FR based upon EPY8 unless noted otherwise.
CY2020	NTG Clothes Washer: 0.63
	NTG Refrigerator: 0.65 NTG Air Purifier: 0.79
	NTG Freezers: 0.63
	NTG Clothes Dryer: 0.67
	NTG Bathroom Exhaust Fan: 0.66
	NTG Water Cooler: 0.67 NTG Window AC: 0.72
	NTG WINDOW AC: 0.72
	NTG Dehumidifier = 0.67 – based upon Ameren PY4 researched value of 0.78 NTG Advanced Power Strips = 0.76 – Ameren primary research in PY4 NTG Pool Pump = 0.80 – TRM default value
	Advanced Thermostats = NA. TRM v7 yields net savings and does not require NTG adjustment.
	FR Clothes Washer: 0.41
	FR Refrigerator: 0.39
	FR Air Purifier: 0.25
	FR Freezers: 0.41
	FR Clothes Dryer: 0.37 FR Dehumidifier: 0.37
	FR Bathroom exhaust fan: 0.38
	FR Water cooler: 0.37
	FR Window AC: 0.32
	FR Advanced Power Strip: 0.28
	SO: 0.04 (clothes washer, refrigerator, air purifier, freezers, heat pump water heater, clothes dryer, bathroom exhaust fan, water cooler, window AC)
	NTG Source:
	FR based on CY2018 participating customers survey, unless otherwise noted
	SO based upon EPY8 participant self-report survey

	NTC Middle School Take Home Kits
PY8	CFL NTG: 0.83 Based upon EEE
	Showerheads: 1.05
	Aerators: 1.04
	Power Strips: 0.95

	NTC Middle School Take Home Kits
	Hot Water Temp Gauge: 0.93
	Flow Rate Test Bags: 0.93
	Based upon EEE
PY9	NTG = 1.0 for all measures
	CFL
	Showerheads
	Aerators
	Power Strips
	Hot Water Temp Gauge Cards
	Flow Rate Test Bags
	Based on SAG consensus for EEE
CY2019	LEDs NTG = 0.84
	For all other measures, NTG = 1.0:
	Showerheads
	Aerators
	Power Strips
	Flow Rate Test Bags
	For LEDa NTC hand on HEA DVO participating quotamor quayous
	For LEDs, NTG based on HEA PY9 participating customer surveys
CY2020	For all other measures, NTG based on SAG consensus for EEE
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019 LEDs NTG = 0.84
	For all other measures, NTG = 1.0:
	Showerheads
	Aerators  Power String
	Power Strips
	Flow Rate Test Bags
	For LEDs, NTG based on HEA PY9 participating customer surveys
	For all other measures, NTG based on SAG consensus for EEE

## **Regression Based EM&V Analysis**

EM&V impact analysis (regression) will estimate net savings, not adjusted gross therefore EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively for the following programs:

- Home Energy Report (RCT regression evaluation)
- Seasonal Savings (RED regression evaluation)
- Connected Savings Wi-Fi Thermostat Optimization (Weatherbug)
- Smart Meter Connected Devices

#### **INCOME ELIGIBLE PROGRAMS**

	Products Discount
CY2020	LED Bulb, LED Bulb- Directional, LED Fixture:
	Big Box, DIY, and Warehouse stores:
	NTG= 0.62
	FR= 0.45
	Participant SO=0.02
	Nonparticipant SO=0.05
	All other stores: NTG = 1.0
	Source Big Box, DIY, and Warehouse stores:

## **Products Discount**

CY2018 in-store intercept program at participating retailers with sufficient daily sales volume (DIY and Bix Box stores).

Source all other stores: Draft Illinois Policy Manual statement on Income Eligible programs

NTG=1.0 for:

Advanced Power Strips

Air Purifiers

Room Air Conditioners

Source: Draft Illinois Policy Manual statement on Income Eligible programs

# Third-Party Programs

	Agricultural Program
CY2020	NTG
0.2020	Lighting Measures: 0.83, based on Standard PY9 Research
	Non-Lighting Measures: 0.78, based on Standard PY9 Research
	Custom Measures: 0.70 kWh, 0.63 kW, based on Custom CY2018 Research
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Grocery
CY2020	NTG: 0.97
	Based upon ComEd SBES CY2019
	Nonprofit Organizations
CY2020	NTG: 0.97
	Based upon ComEd SBES CY2019
	Public Buildings in Distressed Communities
CY2020	NTG: 0.97
	Based upon ComEd SBES CY2019
	School Kits, Elementary Education
CY2020	NTG LED Bulb: 0.84, based upon Residential Lighting HEA PY9 participating customer
	surveys
	NTG, All Other Measures: 1.00 based upon ComEd EEE CY2019
	Small Business Kits
CY2020	NTG: 0.97
	Based upon ComEd SBES CY2019
	Telecommunication Optimization
CY2020	NTG Co-Location: New Construction, kWh: 0.44
	NTG Co-Location: New Construction, kW: 0.34
	NTG Co-Location: Retrofit kWh: 0.78
	NTG Co-Location: Retrofit kW: 0.76
	1410 00 Eddation: Netront RW. 0.02
	NTG Non-Co-Location kWh: 0.67
	NTG Non-Co-Location kW: 0.67
	NTG Source: ComEd Data Centers CY2019
	NTG Lighting Measures: 0.83, based on Standard PY9 research
	NTG Other Standard Measures: 0.78, based on Standard PY9 research

# **Pilots**

	Commercial Geothermal Advancement (CSA)
CY2020	NTG: 0.80
	Based upon CY2020 Evaluation Plan
	Adsorbent Air Cleaner
CY2020	NTG: 1.0
	Based upon innovation equipment available only via ComEd Pilot
	HVAC SAVE
CY2020	NTG: 0.8
	Based Upon TRM Default
	Holiday Light Exchange
CY2020	NTG: 0.8
	Based Upon TRM Default

# Programs No Longer Active

	Advanced Power Strips for Commercial
CY2018	NTG: 0.90
	Secondary research, assuming DI.

	AirCare Plus (>100kW)
CY2018	NTG: 0.90
	PY7 Secondary Research
CY2019	NTG: 0.90
	PY7 Secondary Research
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019
	NTG: 0.90
	PY7 Secondary Research

	Alltemp Advanced Refrigerant Pilot
CY2018	NTG: 0.89
	Similar to SBES, high-end delivery system.

	Complete System Replacement (HEER)			
EPY1	CSR program not offered in EPY1			
EPY2	CSR program not offered in EPY1			
EPY3	CSR program not offered in EPY1			
EPY4	Retroactive application of NTG of 59%			
	Free-Ridership: 41%			
	Spillover: 0%			
	Method: Customer self-report.			
EPY5	SAG consensus: Retrospective evaluation			
EPY6	SAG consensus:			
	• 0.59			
EPY7	NTG: 0.99			
	Free Ridership: Participant 0.41; Trade ally 0.25; Average = 0.33			
	(EPY4 participant survey and EPY5 participating trade ally surveys)			
	Participant Spillover: 0.12 from participating trade ally survey			
	Nonparticipant Spillover: 0.20 from nonparticipant trade ally survey.			
	Ameren HVAC. Very similar values for spillover. (0.1 and 0.22). Free-Ridership varies from			
	44% to 69%.			
	The everall program NTC was calculated by everaging the EDV4 participant and the EDV5			
	The overall program NTG was calculated by averaging the EPY4 participant and the EPY5			
	trade ally Free-Ridership rates, and then adding the EPY4 participant spillover, and EPY5 participating trade ally and non-participating trade ally spillover, as follows:			
	participating trade any and non-participating trade any spinover, as follows.			
	(ED   ED )			
	$NTG_{Program} = 1 - \frac{(FR_{Part.} + FR_{TA})}{2} + SO_{Part.} + SO_{Part.TA} + SO_{Non-Part.TA}$			
	2			
	Where NTGProgram = Program NTG			
	FRPart. = Participant Free-Ridership			
	FR <sub>TA</sub> = Trade Ally Free-Ridership			
	SO <sub>Part.</sub> = Participant Spillover			

SO<sub>PartTA</sub> = Participating TA Spillover

#### **Complete System Replacement (HEER)** SO<sub>Non-PartTA</sub> = Non-Participating TA Spillover Finding: The NTG rate found in this evaluation is 99% combining participant free ridership (0.41), trade ally free ridership (0.25), and spillover (0.12 participating trade ally and 0.20 nonparticipating trade ally). Participating Trade Ally Free Ridership and Spillover Sales Weighted **Sales Weighted** Ν Free-Ridership **Spillover Highest Volume Trade Allies** 0.21 0.12 13 Medium Volume Trade Allies 0.34 0.10 18 Lowest Volume Trade Allies 0.35 0.20 18 **All Participating Trade Allies** 0.25 0.12 49 Source: Evaluation Team analysis. **Non-Participant Trade Ally Spillover** Non-Part TA SO Non-Part TA SO **Program Savings** Savings (kWh) Rate 598.288 0.20 3,011,855 EPY8 Recommendation (based upon PY7 NTG recommended values): NTG: 0.99 Free Ridership with Gas Participant: 0.41 Free Ridership with Gas TA: 0.25 TA Spillover (Participant): 0.12 TA Spillover (Non-Participant): 0.20 There was no additional NTG research conducted for EPY6. The recommended value is the same as the PY7 recommendation. EPY9 NTG: 0.99 Free-Ridership with Gas Participant: 0.41 Free-Ridership with Gas TA: 0.25 TA Spillover (Participant): 0.12 TA Spillover (Non-Participant): 0.20 NTG Source: PY7 SAG consensus value (no new research) Program replaced in PY7 with Heating, Cooling, and Weatherization Rebates CY2018

	Direct To Consumer Kits	
EPY8	NTG = 0.94 based upon Ameren MO, Home Energy Kits (May 2014)	
EPY9	NTG = 0.94	
	NTG Source:	
	Based upon EPY8 Recommendations due to no new research in PY7.	
CY2018	Program not active in PY10.	

	PlotWatt Quick Serve Restaurant Optimization	
CY2018	NTG: NA	
	EM&V impact analysis (regression) will create net savings, not adjusted gross therefore	
	EM&V does not calculate a NTG ratio that could be applied prospectively.	

	Q-Coefficient Thermal Mass Energy Efficiency Pilot
CY2018	NTG: 0.91
	Similar to RCx.

	Q-Sync Motor Pilot			
CY2018	NTG: 0.89			
	Similar to SBES, high-end delivery system.			
CY2019	NTG: 0.92			
	Free-Ridership: 0.10			
	Spillover: 0.02			
	Non-Participant Spillover: 0.00			
	Occupanting the District of Co. House ODEO high and deliver and the			
	Source Free-Ridership and Spillover: SBES, high-end delivery system			
CY2020	No longer active			

	Schnucks VFD
CY2020	NTG: 0.70
	No longer active

	Smart Building Operations Pilot
CY2020	No longer active

	Small Commercial HVAC Tune-Up (AirCare Plus <=100kW)		
EPY8	NTG: 0.90		
	Based on Multi-Family research. Research was 0.92; conservatively recommended 0.90		
EPY9	NTG: 0.90		
	PY8 SAG Consensus		
CY2018	NTG: 0.90		
	PY8 SAG Consensus		
CY2019	NTG: 0.90		
	PY8 SAG Consensus		
CY2020	Unchanged from CY2019		
	NTG: 0.90		
	PY8 SAG Consensus		

	Weidt Group New Construction (Third Party)
CY2018	NTG: 0.77
	Based upon New Construction.
CY2019	NTG: 0.68
	Based upon Non-Residential New Construction
CY2020	No longer active

**PY6 Third-Party Programs**The calculated NTG values from PY6 and evaluator recommendations are as follows:

• Willdan Sustainable Schools (ended in PY6): 0.95, FR: 0.05

RLD C&I Thermostats (ended in PY6): 1.0

• RSG Computer (ended in PY6): 0.95, FR: 0.05

• One Change (ended in PY6): 0.60, FR: 0

# **IPA Programs for PY8**

IPA Program:	PY8 NTG	Reasoning
Home Energy Reports		Regression-based impact
Small Business Energy Savings	0.95	Based upon past research on this program
Great Energy Stewards	NA	Regression-based impact
Small Comm. HVAC Tune-Up	0.90	Secondary research by Navigant last year
CUB Energy Saver	NA	Regression-based impact
Elevate All-Electric Heat Multifamily	See Below	See values below
CLEAResult Schools DI	0.95	Based upon Willdan
Matrix Demand-Based Fan Control	0.89	Ameren recommendation based upon Ameren SBDI evaluation, covers wide range of building types.
LED Street Lighting	1.00	Participants have no ability to implement without ComEd's assistance
Matrix K through 12 Private Schools	0.95	Based upon Willdan
Sodexo DCV	0.87	National Grid, RI Tech. Resource Manual 2014, p. B-7
Multi-Family Elevate DI CFL Common Areas	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate CFL Non-Common Areas	0.98	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate CFL Public Event	0.62	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Power Strip DI	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Programmable Thermostat	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Power Strip Public Event	0.86	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Water Measures	0.93	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elev. Wall Mounted Occupancy Sensor	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate T12	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Insulation	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources
Multi-Family Elevate Comprehensive Non-CFL	0.95	Evaluation research using secondary sources

# **IPA Programs for PY9**

IPA Program:	PY9 NTG	Reasoning
CLEAResult Schools DI	0.95	Based upon Willdan Sustainable Schools PY6
LED Street Lighting	1.00	Evaluation
Matrix Demand-Based Fan Control	0.89	Ameren SBDI research
Matrix K through 12 Private Schools DI	0.95	based upon Willdan Sustainable Schools PY6
Sodexo DCV – Demand Control Ventilation	0.87	National Grid – RI Tech Resource Manual 2014, page B-7
Pulse Energy <100 kW	1.00	
Root 3	0.95	Based upon PY6 RCx
Home Energy Reports	NA	Regression analysis so NTG=NA
CUB Energy Saver	NA	Regression analysis so NTG=NA
Great Energy Stewards	NA	Regression analysis so NTG=NA
Multi-Family Elevate DI CFL Common Areas	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate CFL Public Event	0.62	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate CFL Non-Common Areas	0.98	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Power Strip DI	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Power Strip Public Event	0.86	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Programmable Thermostat	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Water Measures	0.93	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Wall Mounted Occupancy Sensor	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate T12	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Insulation	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Multi-Family Elevate Comprehensive Non-CFL	0.95	Based on Multi-Family research
Bidgely	NA	Regression-based impact
Meter Genius	NA	Regression-based impact
Luminaire Level Lighting Control	0.90	Similar to SBES and this is a high- end delivery system
Community Based CFL Distribution	1.0	Low Income delivery, similar to low income kits
Assisted & Sr. Living	0.95	Similar to ComEd MF Comprehensive
Rural Small Biz EE Kits	0.90	Similar to Ameren SB (0.89), rounded up
Agricultural EE Lighting	0.90	Similar to Ameren SB (0.89), rounded up
Agricultural EE Non-Lighting	0.90	Similar to Ameren SB (0.89), rounded up
Lit Signage	0.90	Similar to Ameren SB (0.89), rounded up
Efficient Products (STEP)	0.96	Expansion of DCEO program with 0.96 NTG
SEDEC – Enhanced Building Optimization	0.95	Based upon ComEd RCx PY7 NTG Research
Low-Income Kits	1.0	Low income delivery, similar to low income kits

IPA Program:	PY9	Reasoning
	NTG	
Low-Income MF	1.0	Low income delivery, similar to low income kits
Root 3	0.95	Similar to RCs, based upon RCx for PY9