**Illinois EE Stakeholder Advisory Group**

**Fuel Conversion Working Group**

**Policy Questions – Request for Responses (6/25/2021)**

**Instructions for Responding to Policy Questions:**

* Interested parties are requested to respond to policy questions no later than **Friday, July 9 –** send responses to Celia@CeliaJohnsonConsulting.com.
* Please provide responses **within this Word document.**
* The SAG Facilitator will organize responses to questions and circulate in advance of Meeting #6, scheduled on Thursday, July 15.

**Policy Questions for Discussion During July 15 Meeting**

* 1. Are savings constrained by the 10% limit in (b)25?
		1. Should discussion of this issue be paused due to pending energy bill? *Note: “Pausing” means keeping as a source calculation (current IL-TRM).*

Yes, AIC believes discussion of this issue should be paused due to proposed legislation.

* 1. Should eligibility be constrained to measures that provide customers monetary savings (either bill savings or total lifecycle cost savings)?

The definition of “monetary savings” must first be clarified in order to determine whether or how this should constrain eligibility for fuel conversion measures. For example, whether non-energy impacts and other TRC benefits are considered monetary savings. We suggest pausing this discussion of this issue pending further policy direction.

* 1. Can the gas utilities claim kWh equivalent savings?
	2. Evaluation consideration: If SAG decides that gas conversion is allowed for fuel switching measures, can the same be allowed for other measures that have both fuel components?

Discussion of this issue should be paused due to proposed legislation.

* 1. If proposed legislation that adopts a site conversion for fuel switching in the electric EE statute is passed, should CHP also be calculated at site for consistency? *Note: Question raised by ICC Staff after 6/21 meeting.*

Discussion of this issue should be paused due to proposed legislation.