

2021 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency Version 9.0

Volume 3: Residential Measures

FINAL

September 25, 2020

**Effective:
January 1, 2021**

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

VOLUME 1: OVERVIEW AND USER GUIDE

VOLUME 2: COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL MEASURES

VOLUME 3: RESIDENTIAL MEASURES..... 6

5.1 Appliances End Use..... 6

5.1.1	ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner	6
5.1.2	ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers	9
5.1.3	ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier.....	16
5.1.4	ENERGY STAR Dishwasher	20
5.1.5	ENERGY STAR Freezer.....	25
5.1.6	ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 Refrigerator.....	29
5.1.7	ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner.....	34
5.1.8	Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling	39
5.1.9	Room Air Conditioner Recycling.....	44
5.1.10	ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryer.....	47
5.1.11	ENERGY STAR Water Coolers	51
5.1.12	Ozone Laundry	54
5.1.13	Income Qualified: ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner	59

5.2 Consumer Electronics End Use..... 63

5.2.1	Advanced Power Strip – Tier 1.....	63
5.2.2	Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual.....	67

5.3 HVAC End Use..... 71

5.3.1	Air Source Heat Pump	71
5.3.2	Boiler Pipe Insulation	86
5.3.3	Central Air Conditioning	90
5.3.4	Duct Insulation and Sealing	97
5.3.5	Furnace Blower Motor	111
5.3.6	Gas High Efficiency Boiler	116
5.3.7	Gas High Efficiency Furnace.....	120
5.3.8	Ground Source Heat Pump.....	125
5.3.9	High Efficiency Bathroom Exhaust Fan.....	139
5.3.10	HVAC Tune Up (Central Air Conditioning or Air Source Heat Pump)	142
5.3.11	Programmable Thermostats.....	146
5.3.12	Ductless Heat Pumps	151
5.3.13	Residential Furnace Tune-Up	163

5.3.14	Boiler Reset Controls	166
5.3.15	ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan	169
5.3.16	Advanced Thermostats	174
5.3.17	Gas High Efficiency Combination Boiler	183
5.3.18	Furnace Filter Alarm – Provisional Measure	187
5.3.19	Thermostatic Radiator Valves – Provisional Measure	188
5.4	Hot Water End Use	191
5.4.1	Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation	191
5.4.2	Gas Water Heater.....	195
5.4.3	Heat Pump Water Heaters.....	200
5.4.4	Low Flow Faucet Aerators	207
5.4.5	Low Flow Showerheads.....	217
5.4.6	Water Heater Temperature Setback	224
5.4.7	Water Heater Wrap.....	228
5.4.8	Thermostatic Restrictor Shower Valve	231
5.4.9	Shower Timer.....	238
5.4.10	Pool Covers	243
5.4.11	Drain Water Heat Recovery.....	247
5.5	Lighting End Use	252
5.5.1	Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8	252
5.5.2	ENERGY STAR Specialty Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8.....	252
5.5.3	ENERGY STAR Torchiere—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8	252
5.5.4	Exterior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8..	252
5.5.5	Interior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8..	252
5.5.6	LED Specialty Lamps	253
5.5.7	LED Exit Signs	266
5.5.8	LED Screw Based Omnidirectional Bulbs	271
5.5.9	LED Fixtures	281
5.5.10	Holiday String Lighting	289
5.5.11	LED Nightlights	294
5.5.12	Connected LED Lamps.....	299
5.6	Shell End Use	304
5.6.1	Air Sealing.....	304
5.6.2.	Basement Sidewall Insulation	318

5.6.3.	Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace	326
5.6.4.	Wall Insulation	334
5.6.5.	Ceiling/Attic Insulation	342
5.6.6.	Rim/Band Joist Insulation	351
5.6.7.	Low-E Storm Window	359
5.7	Miscellaneous	364
5.5.1	High Efficiency Pool Pumps.....	364
5.5.2	Low Flow Toilets.....	369
5.5.3	Level 2 Electric Vehicle Charger	372

VOLUME 4: CROSS-CUTTING MEASURES AND ATTACHMENTS

Volume 3: Residential Measures

5.1 Appliances End Use

5.1.1 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner

DESCRIPTION

An air purifier (cleaner) meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is purchased and installed in place of a model meeting the current federal standard.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as an air purifier meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR as provided below.

- Must produce a minimum 30 Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) for Smoke¹ to be considered under this specification. Minimum Performance Requirement is expressed in Smoke CADR/Watt and it shall be greater than or equal to the Minimum Smoke CADR/Watt Requirement shown in the table below:

CADR Range	CADR/W
$30 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 100$	1.9
$100 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 150$	2.4
$150 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 200$	2.9
$200 \leq \text{Smoke CADR}$	2.9

- “Partial On Mode” Requirements are to be calculated as per Section 3.4.1 of the Energy Star Eligibility Criteria²
- UL Safety Requirement: Models that emit ozone as a byproduct of air cleaning must meet UL Standard 867 (ozone production must not exceed 50ppb)

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a conventional unit³ that does not meet ENERGY STAR Efficiency Requirements.⁴

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 9 years.⁵

¹ Measured according to the latest ANSI/AHAM AC-1 (AC-1) Standard

² ENERGY STAR® Product Specification for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria Version 2.0, effective October 17, 2020.

³ As defined as the average of non-ENERGY STAR products found in EPA research, 2011, ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator. See file "ENERGY STAR appliance_calculator.xlsx".

⁴ ENERGY STAR® Product Specification for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria Version 2.0.

⁵ ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator citing Appliance Magazine, Portrait of the U.S. Appliance Industry 1998.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is dependent on the Air Purifier size in CADR of Smoke.⁶

Product Size	Smoke CADR/W	Average Purchase Cost (\$)	Average Incremental Cost (\$)
$30 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 100$	1.90	\$82.49	\$8.44
$100 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 150$	2.40	\$140.43	\$22.33
$150 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 200$	2.90	\$349.00	\$92.34
$200 \leq \text{Smoke CADR}$	2.90	\$264.49	\$44.50

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{Annual Electrical Savings}$$

Where

Annual Electrical Savings = Electrical Savings in kWh, for the specific CADR range

Annual Unit Energy Savings are outlined in the table below:⁷

CADR Range	Electrical Savings (kWh)
$30 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 100$	39
$100 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 150$	95
$150 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 200$	173
$200 \leq \text{Smoke CADR}$	328

Assumptions considered for the table above are:

The baseline used to calculate savings was a Smoke CADR/W equivalent just under the ENERGY STAR V1.2 at a Dust CADR/W of 1.9. Calculations assume (1) Smoke CADR/W is equal to the Dust CADR/W divided by Dust CADR and multiplied by Smoke CADR. The measure lifetime Energy Cost Savings are calculated based on a lifetime of 9 years, per Appliance Magazine, Portrait of the U.S. Appliance Industry 1998.

⁶ ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package (October 11, 2019). See file “ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package_GH 05122020_VEIC.xlsx”

⁷ ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package (October 11, 2019). See file “ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package_GH 05122020_VEIC.xlsx”

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure

Hours = Average hours of use per year

= 5844 hours⁸

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 66.7%⁹

CADR Range	ΔkW
$30 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 100$	0.005
$100 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 150$	0.011
$150 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 200$	0.020
$200 \leq \text{Smoke CADR}$	0.037

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.¹⁰

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESAP-V04-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

⁸ Consistent with ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator assumption of 16 hours per day (16 * 365.25 = 5844).

⁹ Assumes that the purifier usage is evenly spread throughout the year, therefore coincident peak is calculated as 5844/8766 = 66.7%.

¹⁰ Some types of room air cleaners require filter replacement or periodic cleaning, but this is likely to be true for both efficient and baseline units and so no difference in cost is assumed.

5.1.2 ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a clothes washer meeting the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications. Note if the DHW and dryer fuels of the installations are unknown (for example through a retail program) savings should be based on a weighted blend using RECS data (the resultant values (kWh, therms and gallons of water) are provided). The algorithms can also be used to calculate site specific savings where DHW and dryer fuels are known.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes washer must meet the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications, as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard sized clothes washer meeting the minimum federal baseline as of January 2018.¹¹

Efficiency Level	Top Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft
Federal Standard	≥ 1.57 IMEF, ≤ 6.5 IWF	≥ 1.84 IMEF, ≤ 4.7 IWF
ENERGY STAR	≥ 2.06 IMEF, ≤ 4.3 IWF	≥ 2.76 IMEF, ≤ 3.2 IWF
CEE Tier 2	≥ 2.92 IMEF, ≤ 3.2 IWF	

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 14 years¹²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an ENERGY STAR unit is assumed to be \$84 and for a CEE Tier 2 unit it is \$141.¹³

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R01 - Residential Clothes Washer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 3.8%.¹⁴

¹¹ DOE Energy Conservation Standards for Clothes Washers, Appliance and Equipment Standard, 10 CFR Part 430.32(g)

¹² Based on DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool.

¹³ Cost estimates are based on Navigant analysis for the Department of Energy (see IL_TRM_CW Analysis_06202019.xlsx). This analysis looked at incremental cost and shipment data from manufacturers and the Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers and attempts to find the costs associated only with the efficiency improvements. The ENERGY STAR level in this analysis was made the baseline (as it is now equivalent), the CEE Tier 2 level was extrapolated based on equal rates. Note these assumptions should be reviewed as qualifying product becomes available.

¹⁴ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

1. Calculate clothes washer savings based on the Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF).

The Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF) includes unit operation, standby, water heating, and drying energy use: "IMEF is the quotient of the capacity of the clothes container, C, divided by the total clothes washer energy consumption per cycle, with such energy consumption expressed as the sum of the machine electrical energy consumption, M, the hot water energy consumption, E, the energy required for removal of the remaining moisture in the wash load, D, and the combined low-power mode energy consumption".¹⁵

The hot water and dryer savings calculated here assumes electric DHW and Dryer (this will be separated in Step 2).

$$\text{IMEFsavings}^{16} = \text{Capacity} * (1/\text{IMEFbase} - 1/\text{IMEFeff}) * \text{Ncycles}$$

Where

Capacity	= Clothes Washer capacity (cubic feet) = Actual. If capacity is unknown assume 3.50 cubic feet ¹⁷
IMEFbase	= Integrated Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit = 1.75 ¹⁸
IMEFeff	= Integrated Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit = Actual. If unknown assume average values provided below.
Ncycles	= Number of Cycles per year = 295 ¹⁹

IMEFsavings is provided below based on deemed values:²⁰

Efficiency Level	IMEF	IMEF Savings (kWh)
Federal Standard	1.75	0.0
ENERGY STAR	2.23	126.0

¹⁵ Definition provided on the ENERGY STAR website.

¹⁶ IMEFsavings represents total kWh only when water heating and drying are 100% electric.

¹⁷ Based on the average clothes washer volume of all units that pass the new Federal Standard on the California Energy Commission (CEC) database of Clothes Washer products accessed on 05/03/2018. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁸ Weighted average IMEF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database (products accessed on 05/03/2018).

¹⁹ Weighted average of clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, state of Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or Multifamily homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

²⁰ IMEF values are the weighted average of the new ENERGY STAR specifications. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 products in the CEC database. See "IL TRM_CW Analysis_06202019.xlsx" for the calculation.

Efficiency Level	IMEF	IMEF Savings (kWh)
CEE Tier 2	2.92	235.8

2. Break out savings calculated in Step 1 for electric DHW and electric dryer

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = [\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{IMEF}_{\text{base}} * \text{Ncycles} * (\% \text{CW}_{\text{base}} + (\% \text{DHW}_{\text{base}} * \% \text{Electric_DHW}) + (\% \text{Dryer}_{\text{base}} * \% \text{Electric_Dryer}))] - [\text{Capacity} * 1/\text{IMEF}_{\text{eff}} * \text{Ncycles} * (\% \text{CW}_{\text{eff}} + (\% \text{DHW}_{\text{eff}} * \% \text{Electric_DHW}) + (\% \text{Dryer}_{\text{eff}} * \% \text{Electric_Dryer}))]$$

Where:

%CW = Percentage of total energy consumption for Clothes Washer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%DHW = Percentage of total energy consumption used for water heating (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%Dryer = Percentage of total energy consumption for dryer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

	Percentage of Total Energy Consumption ²¹		
	%CW	%DHW	%Dryer
Baseline	8.1%	26.5%	65.4%
ENERGY STAR	5.8%	31.2%	63.0%
CEE Tier 2	13.9%	9.6%	76.5%

%Electric_DHW = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	%Electric_DHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ²²

%Electric_Dryer = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be electric

Dryer fuel	%Electric_Dryer
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	38% ²³

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

²¹ The percentage of total energy consumption that is used for the machine, heating the hot water or by the dryer is different depending on the efficiency of the unit. Values are based on a weighted average of top loading and front loading units based on data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool. See “IL TRM_CW Analysis_06202019.xlsx” for the calculation.

²² Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

²³ Default assumption for unknown is based on percentage of homes with electric dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

	ΔkWh								
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer	Electric DHW Unknown Dryer	Gas DHW Unknown Dryer	Unknown DHW Electric Dryer	Unknown DHW Gas Dryer	Unknown DHW Unknown Dryer
ENERGY STAR	126.0	114.6	32.5	21.0	68.3	56.8	116.3	22.8	58.6
CEE Tier 2	235.8	113.9	120.9	-1.0	164.9	43.0	132.9	18.0	61.9

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{water}} = \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$E_{\text{water total}} = \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)}$$

$$= 5,010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{24}$$

$$= 2,937 \text{ for measures installed in Cook County}^{25,26}$$

Using defaults provided:

$$\text{ENERGY STAR} \quad \Delta kWh_{\text{water}} = 1,259/1,000,000 * 5,010 \text{ (2937 in Cook County)}$$

$$= 6.3 \text{ kWh (3.7 in Cook County)}$$

$$\text{ENERGY STAR Most Efficient} \quad \Delta kWh_{\text{water}} = 2,157/1,000,000 * 5,010 \text{ (2937 in Cook County)}$$

$$= 10.8 \text{ kWh (6.3 in Cook County)}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/\text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

$$\Delta kWh = \text{Energy Savings as calculated above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this}$$

²⁴ This factor include 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

²⁵ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

²⁶ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

calculation.

Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer
= 295 hours²⁷

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.
= 0.038²⁸

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

	ΔkW								
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer	Electric DHW Unknown Dryer	Gas DHW Unknown Dryer	Unknown DHW Electric Dryer	Unknown DHW Gas Dryer	Unknown DHW Unknown Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0162	0.0148	0.0042	0.0027	0.0088	0.0073	0.0150	0.0029	0.0075
CEE Tier 3	0.0304	0.0147	0.0156	-0.0001	0.0212	0.0055	0.0171	0.0023	0.0080

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Break out savings calculated in Step 1 of electric energy savings (MEF savings) and extract Natural Gas DHW and Natural Gas dryer savings from total savings:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = [(\text{Capacity} * 1 / \text{IMEFbase} * \text{Ncycles} * ((\% \text{DHWbase} * \% \text{Natural Gas_DHW} * \text{R_eff}) + (\% \text{Dryerbase} * \% \text{Gas_Dryer}))) - (\text{Capacity} * 1 / \text{IMEFeff} * \text{Ncycles} * ((\% \text{DHWeff} * \% \text{Natural Gas_DHW} * \text{R_eff}) + (\% \text{Dryereff} * \% \text{Gas_Dryer})))] * \text{Therm_convert}$$

Where:

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm
= 0.03412

R_eff = Recovery efficiency factor
= 1.26²⁹

%Natural Gas_DHW = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	%Natural Gas_DHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ³⁰

%Gas_Dryer = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be Natural Gas

²⁷ Based on a weighted average of 295 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour (2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region, data for the state of Illinois)

²⁸ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

²⁹ To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (see ENERGY STAR Waste Water Recovery Guidelines). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

³⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

Dryer fuel	%Gas_Dryer
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	62% ³¹

Other factors as defined above.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

	Δ Therms								
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer	Electric DHW Unknown Dryer	Gas DHW Unknown Dryer	Unknown DHW Electric Dryer	Unknown DHW Gas Dryer	Unknown DHW Unknown Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	0.5	3.2	3.7	2.0	2.5	0.4	3.6	2.4
CEE Tier 3	0.0	5.2	3.9	9.2	7.7	7.7	4.4	8.3	6.8

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = \text{Capacity} * (\text{IWF}_{\text{base}} - \text{IWF}_{\text{eff}}) * \text{Ncycles}$$

Where

Δ Water (gallons) = Water saved, in gallons

IWF_{base} = Integrated Water Factor of baseline clothes washer

= 5.29³²

IWF_{eff} = Water Factor of efficient clothes washer

= Actual. If unknown assume average values provided below.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive water savings for each efficiency level are presented below:

Efficiency Level	IWF ³³	Δ Water (gallons per year)
Federal Standard	5.29	0.0
ENERGY STAR	4.04	1,295
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient	3.20	2,157

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

³¹ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on percentage of homes with gas dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

³² Weighted average IWF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database (products accessed on 05/03/2018).

³³ IWF values are the weighted average of the new ENERGY STAR specifications. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 products in the CEC database (products accessed on 05/03/2018). See "IL TRM_CW Analysis_06202019.xlsx" for the calculation.

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESCL-V08-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.1.3 ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier

DESCRIPTION

A dehumidifier meeting the minimum qualifying efficiency standard established by the current ENERGY STAR Version 5.0 (effective 10/31/2019) and ENERGY STAR Most Efficient 2020 Criteria (effective 01/01/2020) is purchased and installed in a residential setting in place of a unit that meets the minimum federal standard efficiency.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the new dehumidifier must meet the ENERGY STAR standards as defined below:

Equipment Specification	Product Capacity	ENERGY STAR Criteria	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient Criteria
	(Pints/Day)	(L/kWh)	(L/kWh)
Portable Dehumidifier	≤ 25	≥1.57	≥1.70
	>25 and ≤ 50	≥1.80	≥1.90
	>50 and < 155	≥3.30	≥3.40

Qualifying units shall be equipped with an adjustable humidistat control or shall require a remote humidistat control to operate. The Whole – Home option for Dehumidifiers was not included, due to the extremely limited availability of Qualified products on the market. As of May 5, 2020, there are zero models.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for this measure is defined as a new dehumidifier that meets the Code of Federal Regulations appliance federal efficiency standards. As of June 13, 2019, those are as defined below for Dehumidifiers:

Equipment Specification	Capacity (pints/day)	Federal Standard Criteria (L/kWh)
Portable Dehumidifier	≤25	≥1.30
	>25 and ≤ 50	≥1.60
	>50 and <155	≥2.80

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 12 years.³⁴ Analysis period is the same as the lifetime.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost is the difference in cost between a baseline and an ENERGY STAR qualified unit. Please see the table below for cost assumptions used:

³⁴ EPA Research, 2012; ENERGY STAR Appliance Calculator, Dehumidifier Section

Equipment Specification	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR <i>Most Efficient</i>
Portable Dehumidifier	\$10 ³⁵	\$75 ³⁶

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R12 - Residential - Dehumidifier

COINCIDENCE FACTORThe coincidence factor is assumed to be 37% .³⁷**Algorithm****CALCULATION OF SAVINGS****ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (((\text{Avg Capacity} * 0.473) / 24) * \text{Hours}) * (1 / (\text{L/kWh}_{\text{Base}}) - 1 / (\text{L/kWh}_{\text{Eff}}))$$

Where:

Avg Capacity	= Average capacity of the unit (pints/day)
	= Actual, if unknown assume capacity in each capacity range as provided in table below, or if capacity range unknown assume average.
0.473	= Constant to convert Pints to Liters
24	= Constant to convert Liters/day to Liters/hour
Hours	= Run hours per year
	= 1632 ³⁸
L/kWh	= Liters of water per kWh consumed, as provided in tables above

Annual kWh usage and savings, for each capacity class and product type, are presented in the four tables below:

Portable Dehumidifiers					Annual kWh		
Capacity Range	Capacity Used ³⁹	Federal Standard Criteria	ENERGY STAR Criteria	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient	Federal Standard	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient
(pints/day)	(pints/day)	(≥ L/kWh)	(≥ L/kWh)	(≥ L/kWh)			
≤25	20	1.30	1.57	1.70	495	410	378
>25 and ≤50	37.5	1.60	1.80	1.90	754	670	635
>50 and <155	102.5	2.80	3.30	3.40	1177	999	970
Average⁴⁰	38.9	1.54	1.75	1.86	813	714	673

³⁵ Based on incremental costs sourced from the 2016 ENERGY STAR Appliance Calculator and weighted by capacity based on ENERGY STAR qualified products, accessed on May 2019.

³⁹ Capacity Used in calculations for each bin is an average. See next footnote regarding overall average for Portable Dehumidifiers

Portable Dehumidifier		Energy Savings (Δ kWh)	
Capacity Range (pints/day)	Capacity Used (pints/day)	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient
≤ 25	20	85	116
>25 and ≤ 50	37.5	84	119
>50 and <155	102.5	178	208
Average	38.9	99	140

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Annual operating hours

$$= 1632 \text{ hours}^{41}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.37^{42}$$

Summer coincident peak demand results for each capacity class are presented below:

Portable Dehumidifier Capacity Range (pints/day)	Annual Summer Peak Savings (Δ kW)	
	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient
≤ 25	0.019	0.027
>25 and ≤ 50	0.019	0.027
>50 and <155	0.041	0.047
Average	0.023	0.032

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

³⁷ Assume usage is evenly distributed day vs. night, weekend vs. weekday and is used between April through the end of September (4392 possible hours). 1632 operating hours from ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator. Coincidence peak during summer peak is therefore $1632/4392 = 37.2\%$

³⁸ ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator; 24-hour operation over 68 days of the year.

³⁹ Capacity Used in calculations for each bin is an average. See next footnote regarding overall average for Portable Dehumidifiers

⁴⁰ Weighted Overall average based on ENERGY STAR Products List 2020 for Dehumidifiers, accessed May 2020. See sheet *ESTAR-2020-5* in file "ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier TRM Analysis_2020.xlsx"

⁴¹ Based on 68 days of 24 hour operation; ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator

⁴² Assume usage is evenly distributed day vs. night, weekend vs. weekday and is used between April through the end of September (4392 possible hours). 1632 operating hours from ENERGY STAR Dehumidifier Calculator. Coincidence peak during summer peak is therefore $1632/4392 = 37.2\%$

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDH-V08-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

5.1.4 ENERGY STAR Dishwasher

DESCRIPTION

A standard or compact residential dishwasher meeting ENERGY STAR standards is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a standard or compact dishwasher meeting the ENERGY STAR standards presented in the table below.

ENERGY STAR Requirements (Version 6.0, Effective January 29, 2016)

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year	Maximum gallons/cycle
Standard (≥ 8 place settings + six serving pieces)	270	3.5
Standard with Connected Functionality ⁴³	283	
Compact (< 8 place settings + six serving pieces)	203	3.1

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline reflects the minimum federal efficiency standards for dishwashers effective May 30, 2013, as presented in the table below.

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year	Maximum gallons/cycle
Standard	307	5.0
Compact	222	3.5

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 11 years.⁴⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for standard and compact dishwashers is provided in the table below:⁴⁵

Dishwasher Type	Baseline Cost	ENERGY STAR Cost	Incremental Cost
Standard	\$255.63	\$331.30	\$75.67

⁴³ The new ENERGY STAR specification “establishes optional connected criteria for dishwashers. ENERGY STAR certified dishwashers with connected functionality offer favorable attributes for demand response programs to consider, since their peak energy consumption is relatively high, driven by water heating. ENERGY STAR certified dishwashers with connected functionality will offer consumers new convenience and energy-saving features, such as alerts for cycle completion and/or recommended maintenance, as well as feedback on the energy use of the product”. See ‘ENERGY STAR Residential Dishwasher Final Version 6.0 Cover Memo.pdf’. Calculated as per Version 6.0 specification; “ENERGY STAR Residential Dishwasher Version 6.0 Final Program Requirements.pdf”. Note that the potential for demand response and additional peak savings from units with Connected Functionality have not been explored. This could be a potential addition in a future version.

⁴⁴ Measure lifetime from California DEER. See file California DEER 2014-EUL Table - 2014 Update.xlsx.

⁴⁵ Costs are based on data from U.S. DOE, Final Rule Life-Cycle Cost (LCC) Spreadsheet. See file Residential Dishwasher Analysis_Nov2017.xlsx for cost calculation details.

Dishwasher Type	Baseline Cost	ENERGY STAR Cost	Incremental Cost
Compact	\$290.13	\$308.62	\$18.49

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R02 - Residential Dish Washer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor is assumed to be 2.6%.⁴⁶

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{47} = ((\text{kWh}_{\text{Base}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}) * (\% \text{kWh}_{\text{op}} + (\% \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} * \% \text{Electric_DHW})))$$

Where:

kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year
Standard	307
Compact	222

$\text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}$ = ENERGY STAR kWh annual consumption

Dishwasher Type	Maximum kWh/year
Standard	270
Standard with Connected Functionality	283
Compact	203

$\% \text{kWh}_{\text{op}}$ = Percentage of dishwasher energy consumption used for unit operation
 $= 1 - 56\%^{48}$
 $= 44\%$

$\% \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}}$ = Percentage of dishwasher energy consumption used for water heating
 $= 56\%^{49}$

$\% \text{Electric_DHW}$ = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

⁴⁶ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

⁴⁷ The Federal Standard and ENERGY STAR annual consumption values include electric consumption for both the operation of the machine and for heating the water that is used by the machine.

⁴⁸ ENERGY STAR Appliance Calculator.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

DHW fuel	%Electric_DHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁵⁰

Dishwasher Type	ΔkWh		
	With Electric DHW	With Gas DHW	With Unknown DHW
ENERGY STAR Standard	37.0	16.3	19.6
ENERGY STAR Standard with Connected Functionality	24.0	10.6	12.7
ENERGY STAR Compact	19.0	8.4	10.1

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{water}} = \Delta\text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$E_{\text{water total}} = \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)}$$

$$= 5,010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{51}$$

$$= 2,937 \text{ for measures installed in Cook County}^{52,53}$$

Using defaults provided:

Standard	$\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{water}}$	$= 252/1,000,000 * 5,010$ (2,937 for Cook County) $= 1.3 \text{ kWh}$ (0.7 for Cook County)
Compact	$\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{water}}$	$= 67/1,000,000 * 5,010$ (2,937 for Cook County) $= 0.3 \text{ kWh}$ (0.2 for Cook County)

⁵⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁵¹ This factor include 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

⁵² Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

⁵³ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS⁵⁴

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Annual kWh savings from measure as calculated above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this calculation.

Hours = Annual operating hours⁵⁵
= 353 hours

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor
= 2.6%⁵⁶

Dishwasher Type	ΔkW		
	With Electric DHW	With Gas DHW	With Unknown DHW
ENERGY STAR Standard	0.0027	0.0012	0.0014
ENERGY STAR Standard with Connected Functionality	0.0018	0.0008	0.0009
ENERGY STAR Compact	0.0014	0.0006	0.0007

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (kWh_{\text{Base}} - kWh_{\text{ESTAR}}) * \%kWh_{\text{heat}} * \% \text{Natural Gas_DHW} * R_{\text{eff}} * 0.03412$$

Where

$\%kWh_{\text{heat}}$ = % of dishwasher energy used for water heating
= 56%

$\% \text{Natural Gas_DHW}$ = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	$\% \text{Natural Gas_DHW}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁵⁷

R_{eff} = Recovery efficiency factor
= 1.26⁵⁸

0.03412 = factor to convert from kWh to Therm

⁵⁴ Note that the potential for demand response and additional peak savings from units with Connected Functionality have not been explored. This could be a potential addition in a future version.

⁵⁵ Assuming 2.1 hours per cycle and 168 cycles per year therefore 353 operating hours per year. 168 cycles per year is based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data.

⁵⁶ End use data from Ameren representing the average DW load during peak hours/peak load.

⁵⁷ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁵⁸ To account for the different efficiency of electric and natural gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (see ENERGY STAR Waste Water Heat Recovery Guidelines). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

Dishwasher Type	Δ Therms		
	With Electric DHW	With Gas DHW	With Unknown DHW
ENERGY STAR Standard	0.00	0.89	0.75
ENERGY STAR Standard with Connected Functionality	0.00	0.58	0.49
ENERGY STAR Compact	0.00	0.46	0.38

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = \text{Water}_{\text{Base}} - \text{Water}_{\text{EFF}}$$

Where

$\text{Water}_{\text{Base}}$ = water consumption of conventional unit

Dishwasher Type	$\text{Water}_{\text{Base}}$ (gallons) ⁵⁹
Standard	840
Compact	588

$\text{Water}_{\text{EFF}}$ = annual water consumption of efficient unit:

Dishwasher Type	$\text{Water}_{\text{EFF}}$ (gallons) ⁶⁰
Standard	588
Compact	521

Dishwasher Type	Δ Water (gallons)
ENERGY STAR Standard	252
ENERGY STAR Compact	67

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDI-V06-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

⁵⁹ Assuming maximum allowed from specifications and 168 cycles per year based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data.

⁶⁰ Assuming maximum allowed from specifications and 168 cycles per year based on a weighted average of dishwasher usage in Illinois derived from the 2009 RECs data.

5.1.5 ENERGY STAR Freezer

DESCRIPTION

A freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard (NAECA). Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, AV is the freezer Adjusted Volume and is calculated as $1.73 \times \text{Total Volume}$):

Product Category	Volume (cubic feet)	Assumptions after September 2014	
		Federal Baseline Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁶¹	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁶²
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	7.75 or greater	$5.57 \times \text{AV} + 193.7$	$5.01 \times \text{AV} + 174.3$
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	7.75 or greater	$8.62 \times \text{AV} + 228.3$	$7.76 \times \text{AV} + 205.5$
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	7.75 or greater	$7.29 \times \text{AV} + 107.8$	$6.56 \times \text{AV} + 97.0$
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$8.65 \times \text{AV} + 225.7$	$7.79 \times \text{AV} + 203.1$
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$10.17 \times \text{AV} + 351.9$	$9.15 \times \text{AV} + 316.7$
Compact Chest Freezers	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$9.25 \times \text{AV} + 136.8$	$8.33 \times \text{AV} + 123.1$

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR, as defined below and calculated above:

Equipment	Volume	Criteria
Full Size Freezer	7.75 cubic feet or greater	At least 10% more energy efficient than the minimum federal government standard (NAECA).
Compact Freezer	Less than 7.75 cubic feet and 36 inches or less in height	At least 20% more energy efficient than the minimum federal government standard (NAECA).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a model that meets the federal minimum standard for energy efficiency. The standard varies depending on the size and configuration of the freezer (chest freezer or upright freezer, automatic or manual defrost) and is defined in the table above.

⁶¹ See Department of Energy Federal Standards.

⁶² See Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR specification.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 22 years.⁶³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$35.⁶⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R04 - Residential Freezer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 95%.⁶⁵

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{kWh}_{\text{Base}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}$$

Where:

kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

$\text{kWh}_{\text{ESTAR}}$ = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

For example for a 7.75 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost purchased after September 2014:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= (5.57 * (7.75 * 1.73) + 193.7) - (5.01 * (7.75 * 1.73) + 174.3) \\ &= 268.4 - 241.5 \\ &= 26.9 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

If volume is unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	Volume Used ⁶⁶	Assumptions after September 2014		
		kWh _{BASE}	kWh _{ESTAR}	kWh Savings
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	27.9	349.2	314.2	35.0
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	27.9	469.0	422.2	46.8
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	27.9	311.4	280.2	31.2
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	10.4	467.2	420.6	46.6

⁶³ Based on 2011 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document, as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

⁶⁴ Based on review of data from the Northeast Regional ENERGY STAR Consumer Products Initiative; "2009 ENERGY STAR Appliances Practices Report", submitted by Lockheed Martin, December 2009.

⁶⁵ Based on eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren.

⁶⁶ Volume is based on ENERGY STAR Calculator assumption of 16.14 ft³ average volume, converted to Adjusted volume by multiplying by 1.73.

Product Category	Volume Used ⁶⁶	Assumptions after September 2014		
		kWh _{BASE}	kWh _{ESTAR}	kWh Savings
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	10.4	635.9	572.2	63.7
Compact Chest Freezers	10.4	395.1	355.7	39.4

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure

Hours = Full Load hours per year

$$= 5890^{67}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor

$$= 0.95^{68}$$

For example, for a 7.75 cubic foot Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost:

$$\Delta kW = 26.9 / 5890 * 0.95$$

$$= 0.0043 \text{ kW}$$

If volume is unknown, use the following default values:

Product Category	Assumptions after September 2014
	kW Savings
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0057
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	0.0076
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	0.0050
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	0.0075
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	0.0103
Compact Chest Freezers	0.0064

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

⁶⁷ Calculated from eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren by dividing total annual load by the maximum kW in any one hour.

⁶⁸ Based on eShapes Residential Freezer load data as provided by Ameren.

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESFR-V03-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.1.6 ENERGY STAR and CEE Tier 2 Refrigerator

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to:

- a) Time of Sale: the purchase and installation of a new refrigerator meeting either ENERGY STAR or CEE TIER 2 specifications.
- b) Early Replacement: the early removal of an existing residential inefficient Refrigerator from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, Adjusted Volume is calculated as the fresh volume + (1.63 * Freezer Volume):

Product Category	Existing Unit	Assumptions after September 2014	
	Based on Refrigerator Recycling algorithm	Federal Baseline Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁶⁹	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁷⁰
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	Use Algorithm in 5.1.8 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling measure to estimate existing unit consumption	$6.79AV + 193.6$	$6.11 * AV + 174.2$
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost		$7.99AV + 225.0$	$7.19 * AV + 202.5$
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost		$8.07AV + 233.7$	$7.26 * AV + 210.3$
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service		$8.51AV + 297.8$	$7.66 * AV + 268.0$
5. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service		$8.85AV + 317.0$	$7.97 * AV + 285.3$
5A Refrigerator-freezer—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service		$9.25AV + 475.4$	$8.33 * AV + 436.3$
6. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service		$8.40AV + 385.4$	$7.56 * AV + 355.3$
7. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service		$8.54AV + 432.8$	$7.69 * AV + 397.9$

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

⁶⁹ See Department of Energy Federal Standards.

⁷⁰ See Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR specification.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a refrigerator meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 (defined as requiring $\geq 10\%$ or $\geq 15\%$ less energy consumption than an equivalent unit meeting federal standard requirements respectively). The ENERGY STAR standard varies according to the size and configuration of the unit, as shown in table above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: baseline is a new refrigerator meeting the minimum federal efficiency standard for refrigerator efficiency. The current federal minimum standard varies according to the size and configuration of the unit, as shown in table above. Note also that this federal standard will be increased for units manufactured after September 1, 2014.

Early Replacement: the baseline is the existing refrigerator for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 17 years.⁷¹

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.⁷²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of Sale: The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$40 for an ENERGY STAR unit⁷³ and \$140 for a CEE Tier 2 unit.⁷⁴

Early Replacement: The measure cost is the full cost of removing the existing unit and installing a new one. The actual program cost should be used. If unavailable, assume \$451 for ENERGY STAR unit and \$551 for CEE Tier 2 unit.⁷⁵

The avoided replacement cost (after 4 years) of a baseline replacement refrigerator is \$413.⁷⁶ This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R05 - Residential Refrigerator

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

A coincidence factor is not used to calculate peak demand savings for this measure, see below.

⁷¹ [Based on 2011 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document](#), as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

⁷² Standard assumption of one third of effective useful life.

⁷³ From ENERGY STAR calculator linked above.

⁷⁴ Based on weighted average of units participating in Efficiency Vermont program and retail cost data provided in Department of Energy, "TECHNICAL REPORT: Analysis of Amended Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerator-Freezers", October 2005.

⁷⁵ ENERGY STAR full cost is based upon IL PHA Efficient Living Program data on sample size of 910 replaced units finding average cost of \$430 plus an average recycling/removal cost of \$21. The CEE Tier 2 estimate uses the delta from the Time of Sale estimate.

⁷⁶ Calculated using incremental cost from Time of Sale measure and applying inflation rate of 1.91%.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:**

Time of Sale: $\Delta\text{kWh} = \text{UEC}_{\text{BASE}} - \text{UEC}_{\text{EE}}$

Early Replacement:

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years) = $\text{UEC}_{\text{EXIST}} - \text{UEC}_{\text{EE}}$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 11 years) = $\text{UEC}_{\text{BASE}} - \text{UEC}_{\text{EE}}$

Where:

$\text{UEC}_{\text{EXIST}}$ = Annual Unit Energy Consumption of existing unit as calculated in algorithm from 5.1.8 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling measure.

UEC_{BASE} = Annual Unit Energy Consumption of baseline unit as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

UEC_{EE} = Annual Unit Energy Consumption of ENERGY STAR unit as calculated in algorithm provided in table above.

For CEE Tier 2, unit consumption is calculated as 15% lower than baseline.

If volume is unknown, use the following defaults, based on an assumed Adjusted Volume of 25.8:⁷⁷

Assumptions after standard changes on September 1st, 2014:

Product Category	Existing Unit $\text{UEC}_{\text{EXIST}}$ 78	New Baseline UEC_{BASE}	New Efficient UEC_{EE}		Early Replacement (1 st 6 years) ΔkWh		Time of Sale and Early Replacement (last 11 years) ΔkWh	
			ENERGY STAR	CEE T2	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	1027.7	368.6	331.6	313.3	696.1	714.5	36.9	55.3
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost	1027.7	430.9	387.8	366.3	640.0	661.5	43.1	64.6
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost	814.5	441.7	397.4	375.4	417.2	439.1	44.3	66.2
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	1241.0	517.1	465.4	439.5	775.6	801.4	51.7	77.6

⁷⁷ Volume is based on the ENERGY STAR calculator average assumption of 14.75 ft³ fresh volume and 6.76 ft³ freezer volume.

⁷⁸ Estimates of existing unit consumption are based on using the 5.1.8 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling algorithm and the inputs described here: Age = 10 years, Pre-1990 = 0, Size = 21.5 ft³ (from ENERGY STAR calc and consistent with AV of 25.8), Single Door = 0, Side by side = 1 for classifications stating side by side, 0 for classifications stating top/bottom, and 0.5 for classifications that do not distinguish, Primary appliances = 1, unconditioned = 0, Part use factor = 0.

Product Category	Existing Unit UEC _{EXIST} ⁷⁸	New Baseline UEC _{BASE}	New Efficient UEC _{EE}		Early Replacement (1 st 6 years) ΔkWh		Time of Sale and Early Replacement (last 11 years) ΔkWh	
			ENERGY STAR	CEE T2	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2
5. Refrigerator-Freezers-- automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	814.5	545.1	490.7	463.3	323.9	351.2	54.4	81.8
5A Refrigerator-freezer— automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	814.5	713.8	651.0	606.7	163.6	207.8	62.8	107.1
6. Refrigerator-Freezers-- automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	814.5	601.9	550.1	511.6	264.4	303.0	51.7	90.3
7. Refrigerator-Freezers-- automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	1241.0	652.9	596.1	554.9	644.9	686.0	56.8	97.9

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh / 8766) * TAF * LSAF$$

Where:

TAF = Temperature Adjustment Factor
= 1.25⁷⁹

LSAF = Load Shape Adjustment Factor
= 1.057⁸⁰

If volume is unknown, use the following defaults:

⁷⁹ Average temperature adjustment factor (to account for temperature conditions during peak period as compared to year as a whole) based on Blasnik, Michael, "Measurement and Verification of Residential Refrigerator Energy Use, Final Report, 2003-2004 Metering Study", July 29, 2004 (p. 47). It assumes 90 °F average outside temperature during peak period, 71°F average temperature in kitchens and 65°F average temperature in basement, and uses assumption that 66% of homes in Illinois have central cooling (CAC saturation: "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey).

⁸⁰ Daily load shape adjustment factor (average load in peak period / average daily load) also based on Blasnik, Michael, "Measurement and Verification of Residential Refrigerator Energy Use, Final Report, 2003-2004 Metering Study", July 29, 2004 (p. 48, using the average Existing Units Summer Profile for hours 13 through 17)

Product Category	Assumptions after September 2014 standard change Δ kW			
	Early Replacement (1 st 6 years)		Time of Sale and Early Replacement (last 11 years)	
	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2	ENERGY STAR	CEE T2
1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with manual defrost	0.105	0.108	0.006	0.008
2. Refrigerator-Freezer--partial automatic defrost	0.096	0.100	0.006	0.010
3. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service and all-refrigerators--automatic defrost	0.063	0.066	0.007	0.010
4. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	0.117	0.121	0.008	0.012
5. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer without through-the-door ice service	0.049	0.053	0.008	0.012
5A Refrigerator-freezer—automatic defrost with bottom-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	0.025	0.031	0.009	0.016
6. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with top-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	0.040	0.046	0.008	0.014
7. Refrigerator-Freezers--automatic defrost with side-mounted freezer with through-the-door ice service	0.097	0.103	0.009	0.015

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESRE-V08-200101**REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023**

5.1.7 ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to:

- a) Time of Sale the purchase and installation of a room air conditioning unit that meets ENERGY STAR version 4.0, which is effective October 26th 2015,⁸¹ in place of a baseline unit. The baseline is based on the Federal Standard effective June 1st, 2014.

Product Type and Class (Btu/hr)		Federal Standard with louvered sides (CEER) ⁸²	Federal Standard without louvered sides (CEER)	ENERGY STAR v4.0 with louvered sides (CEER) ⁸³	ENERGY STAR v4.0 without louvered sides (CEER)
Without Reverse Cycle	< 8,000	11.0	10.0	12.1	11.0
	8,000 to 10,999	10.9	9.6	12.0	10.6
	11,000 to 13,999	10.9	9.5	12.0	10.5
	14,000 to 19,999	10.7	9.3	11.8	10.2
	20,000 to 27,999	9.4	9.4	10.3	10.3
	>=28,000	9.0	9.4	9.9	10.3
With Reverse Cycle	<14,000	9.8	9.3	10.8	10.2
	14,000 to 19,999	9.8	8.7	10.8	9.6
	>=20,000	9.3	8.7	10.2	9.6
Casement only		9.5		10.5	
Casement-Slider		10.4		11.4	

Side louvers extend from a room air conditioner model in order to position the unit in a window. A model without louvered sides is placed in a built-in wall sleeve and are commonly referred to as "through-the-wall" or "built-in" models.

Casement-only refers to a room air conditioner designed for mounting in a casement window of a specific size.

Casement-slider refers to a room air conditioner with an encased assembly designed for mounting in a sliding or casement window of a specific size.

Reverse cycle refers to the heating function found in certain room air conditioner models.

- b) Early Replacement: the early removal of an existing residential inefficient Room AC unit from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new ENERGY STAR qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the new room air conditioning unit must meet the ENERGY STAR version 4.0 (effective

⁸¹ ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements

⁸² See DOE's Appliance and Equipment Standards for Room AC;

⁸³ ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements

October 26th 2015⁸⁴) efficiency standards presented above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: the baseline assumption is a new room air conditioning unit that meets the Federal Standard (effective June 1st, 2014)⁸⁵ efficiency standards as presented above.

Early Replacement: the baseline is the existing Room AC for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years.⁸⁶

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 4 years.⁸⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of Sale: The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$40 for a ENERGY STAR unit.⁸⁸

Early Replacement: The measure cost is the full cost of removing the existing unit and installing a new one. The actual program cost should be used. If unavailable assume \$448 for ENERGY STAR unit.⁸⁹

The avoided replacement cost (after 4 years) of a baseline replacement unit is \$432.⁹⁰ This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 0.3.⁹¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale: $\Delta kWh = (FLH_{RoomAC} * Btu/H * (1/CEER_{base} - 1/CEER_{ee}))/1000$

Early Replacment:

$\Delta kWh \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1}^{st} \text{ 4 years)} = (FLH_{RoomAC} * Btu/H * (1/(EER_{exist}/1.01) - 1/CEER_{ee}))/1000$

⁸⁴ ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements

⁸⁵ See DOE's Appliance and Equipment Standards for Room AC.

⁸⁶ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

⁸⁷ Standard assumption of one third of effective useful life.

⁸⁸ Incremental cost based on field study conducted by Efficiency Vermont.

⁸⁹ Based on IL PHA Efficient Living Program Data for 810 replaced units showing \$416 per unit plus \$32 average recycling/removal cost.

⁹⁰ Estimate based upon Time of Sale incremental costs and applying inflation rate of 1.91%.

⁹¹ Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.

$$\Delta \text{kWh for remaining measure life (next 8 years)} = (\text{FLH}_{\text{RoomAC}} * \text{Btu/H} * (1/\text{CEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{CEER}_{\text{ee}}))/1000$$

Where:

$\text{FLH}_{\text{RoomAC}}$ = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit
= dependent on location:⁹²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\text{FLH}_{\text{RoomAC}}$
1 (Rockford)	220
2 (Chicago)	210
3 (Springfield)	319
4 (Belleville)	428
5 (Marion)	374
Weighted Average ⁹³	248

Btu/H = Size of rebated unit

= Actual. If unknown assume 8500 Btu/hr⁹⁴

$\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}$ = Efficiency of existing unit

= Actual. If unknown assume 7.7⁹⁵

1.01 = Factor to convert EER to CEER (CEER includes standby and off power consumption)⁹⁶

$\text{CEER}_{\text{base}}$ = Combined Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit

= As provided in tables above

CEER_{ee} = Combined Energy Efficiency Ratio of ENERGY STAR unit

= Actual. If unknown, assume minimum qualifying standard as provided in tables above

⁹² Full load hours for room AC is significantly lower than for central AC. The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same location is 31%. This ratio is applied to those IL cities that have FLH for Central Cooling provided in the ENERGY STAR calculator. For other cities this is extrapolated using the FLH assumptions VEIC have developed for Central AC. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁹³ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

⁹⁴ Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

⁹⁵ Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

⁹⁶ Since the existing unit will be rated in EER, this factor is used to appropriately compare with the new CEER rating. Version 3.0 of the ENERGY STAR specification provided equivalent EER and CEER ratings and for the most popular size band the EER rating is approximately 1% higher than the CEER. See 'ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements'.

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, in an unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{ENERGY STAR}} &= (248 * 8500 * (1/10.9 - 1/12.0)) / 1000 \\ &= 17.7 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, a 7.7EER, 9000Btu/h unit is removed from a home in Springfield and replaced with an ENERGY STAR unit with louvered sides:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh for remaining life of existing unit (1}^{\text{st}} \text{ 4 years)} &= (319 * 9000 * (1/(7.7/1.01) - 1/12.0))/1000 \\ &= 137.3 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta\text{kWh for remaining measure life (next 8 years)} &= (319 * 9000 * (1/10.9 - 1/12.0))/1000 \\ &= 24.1 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of Sale: $\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Btu/H} * ((1/(\text{CEER}_{\text{base}} * 1.01) - 1/(\text{CEER}_{\text{ee}} * 1.01)))/1000) * \text{CF}$

Early Replacement: $\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Btu/H} * ((1/\text{EER}_{\text{exist}} - 1/(\text{CEER}_{\text{ee}} * 1.01)))/1000) * \text{CF}$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 0.3⁹⁷

1.01 = Factor to convert CEER to EER (CEER includes standby and off power consumption)⁹⁸
Other variable as defined above

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, for an unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kW}_{\text{CEE TIER 1}} &= (8500 * (1/(10.9 * 1.01) - 1/(12.0 * 1.01))) / 1000 * 0.3 \\ &= 0.021 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, a 7.7 EER, 9000Btu/h unit is removed from a home in Springfield and replaced with an ENERGY STAR unit with louvered sides:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kW for remaining life of existing unit (1}^{\text{st}} \text{ 4 years)} &= (9000 * (1/7.7 - 1/(12.0 * 1.01)))/1000 * 0.3 \\ &= 0.128 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta\text{kW for remaining measure life (next 8 years)} &= (9000 * (1/(10.9 * 1.01) - 1/(12.0 * 1.01)))/1000 \\ &\quad * 0.3 \\ &= 0.022 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

⁹⁷ Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

⁹⁸ Since the new CEER rating includes standby and off power consumption, for peak calculations it is more appropriate to apply the EER rating, but it appears as though new units will only be rated with a CEER rating. Version 3.0 of the ENERGY STAR specification provided equivalent EER and CEER ratings and for the most popular size band the EER rating is approximately 1% higher than the CEER. See 'ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements'.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESRA-V07-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.1.8 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from the retirement and recycling of inefficient but operational refrigerators and freezers. Savings are provided based on a 2013 workpaper provided by Cadmus that used data from a 2012 ComEd metering study and metering data from a Michigan study, to develop a regression equation that uses key inputs describing the retired unit. The savings are equivalent to the Unit Energy Consumption of the retired unit and should be claimed for the assumed remaining useful life of that unit. A part use factor is applied to account for those secondary units that are not in use throughout the entire year. The reader should note that the regression algorithm is designed to provide an accurate portrayal of savings for the population as a whole and includes those parameters that have a significant effect on the consumption. The precision of savings for individual units will vary.

For Net to Gross factor considerations, please refer to section 4.2 Appliance Recycling Protocol of Appendix A: Illinois Statewide Net-to-Gross Methodologies of Volume 4.0 Cross Cutting Measures and Attachments.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient unit must be operational and have a capacity of between 10 and 30 cubic feet.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated remaining useful life of the recycling units is 6.5 years.⁹⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Measure cost includes the customer's value placed on their lost amenity, any customer transaction costs, and the cost of pickup and recycling of the refrigerator/freezer and should be based on actual costs of running the program. The payment (bounty) a Program Administrator makes to the customer serves as a proxy for the value the customer places on their lost amenity and any customer transaction costs. If unknown assume \$170 per unit.¹⁰⁰

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R05 - Residential Refrigerator

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.00012.

⁹⁹ DOE refrigerator and freezer survival curves are used to calculate RUL for each equipment age and develop a RUL schedule. The RUL of each unit in the ARCA database is calculated and the average RUL of the dataset serves as the final measure RUL. Refrigerator recycling data from ComEd (PY7-PY9) and Ameren (PY6-PY8) were used to determine EUL with the DOE survival curves from the 2009 TSD. A weighted average of the retailer ComEd data and the Ameren data results in an average of 6.5 years. See Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹⁰⁰ The \$170 default assumption is based on \$120 cost of pickup and recycling per unit and \$50 proxy for customer transaction costs and value customer places on their lost amenity. \$120 is cost of pickup and recycling based on similar Efficiency Vermont program. \$50 is bounty, based on Ameren and ComEd program offerings as of 7/27/15.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ENERGY SAVINGS¹⁰¹**

Refrigerators:

Energy savings for refrigerators are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients:¹⁰²

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	83.324
Age (years)	3.678
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	485.037
Size (cubic feet)	27.149
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	406.779
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	161.857
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	15.366
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-11.067

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = [83.32 + (\text{Age} * 3.68) + (\text{Pre-1990} * 485.04) + (\text{Size} * 27.15) + (\text{Side-by-side} * 406.78) + (\text{Proportion of Primary Appliances} * 161.86) + (\text{CDD}/365.25 * \text{unconditioned} * 15.37) + (\text{HDD}/365.25 * \text{unconditioned} * -11.07)] * \text{Part Use Factor}$$

Where:

Age	= Age of retired unit
Pre-1990	= Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
Size	= Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
Side-by-side	= Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side, else 0)
Primary Usage	= Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) dummy (= 1 if Primary, else 0)

¹⁰¹ Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

¹⁰² Energy savings are based on an average 30-year TMY temperature of 51.1 degrees. Coefficients provided in July 30, 2014 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update no single door July 30, 2014".

Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25

(=1 * CDD/365.25 if in unconditioned space)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹⁰³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65	CDD/365.25
1 (Rockford)	820	2.25
2 (Chicago)	842	2.31
3 (Springfield)	1,108	3.03
4 (Belleville)	1,570	4.30
5 (Marion)	1,370	3.75

Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25

(=1 * HDD/365.25 if in unconditioned space)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹⁰⁴

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 65	HDD/365.25
1 (Rockford)	6,569	17.98
2 (Chicago)	6,339	17.36
3 (Springfield)	5,497	15.05
4 (Belleville)	4,379	11.99
5 (Marion)	4,476	12.25

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. The most recent part-use factor participant survey results available at the start of the current program year shall be used.¹⁰⁵ For illustration purposes, this example uses 0.93.¹⁰⁶

For example, the program averages for AIC's ARP in PY4 produce the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= [83.32 + (22.81 * 3.68) + (0.45 * 485.04) + (18.82 * 27.15) + (0.17 * 406.78) \\
 &\quad + (0.34 * 161.86) + (1.29 * 15.37) + (6.49 * -11.07)] * 0.93 \\
 &= 969 * 0.93 \\
 &= 900.9 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

Freezers:

Energy savings for freezers are based upon a linear regression model using the following

¹⁰³ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

¹⁰⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

¹⁰⁵ For example, the part-use factor that shall be applied to the current program year t (PYt) for savings verification purposes should be determined through the PYt-2 participant surveys conducted in the respective utility's service territory, if available. If an evaluation was not performed in PYt-2 the latest available evaluation should be used.

¹⁰⁶ Most recent refrigerator part-use factor from Ameren Illinois PY5 evaluation.

coefficients:¹⁰⁷

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	132.122
Age (years)	12.130
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	156.181
Size (cubic feet)	31.839
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	-19.709
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	9.778
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-12.755

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = [132.12 + (\text{Age} * 12.13) + (\text{Pre-1990} * 156.18) + (\text{Size} * 31.84) + (\text{Chest Freezer} * -19.71) + (\text{CDDs} * \text{unconditioned} * 9.78) + (\text{HDDs} * \text{unconditioned} * -12.75)] * \text{Part Use Factor}$$

Where:

Age = Age of retired unit

Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)

Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit

Chest Freezer = Chest Freezer dummy (= 1 if chest freezer, else 0)

Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25

(=1 * CDD/365.25 if in unconditioned space)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days (see table above)

Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25

(=1 * HDD/365.25 if in unconditioned space)

HDD = Heating Degree Days (see table above)

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. The most recent part-use factor participant survey results available at the start of the current program year shall be used.¹⁰⁸ For illustration purposes, the example uses 0.85.¹⁰⁹

For example, the program averages for AIC's ARP in PY4 produce the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= [132.12 + (26.92 * 12.13) + (0.6 * 156.18) + (15.9 * 31.84) + (0.48 * -19.71) \\ &\quad + (6.61 * 9.78) + (1.3 * -12.75)] * 0.825 \\ &= 977 * 0.825 \\ &= 905 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \text{kWh}/8766 * \text{CF}$$

¹⁰⁷ Energy savings are based on an average 30-year TMY temperature of 51.1 degrees. Coefficients provided in January 31, 2013 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update".

¹⁰⁸ For example, the part-use factor that shall be applied to the current program year t (PYt) for savings verification purposes should be determined through the PYt-2 participant surveys conducted in the respective utility's service territory, if available. If an evaluation was not performed in PYt-2 the latest available evaluation should be used.

¹⁰⁹ Most recent freezer part-use factor from Ameren Illinois Company PY5 evaluation.

Where:

kWh = Savings provided in algorithm above
CF = Coincident factor defined as summer kW/average kW
= 1.081 for Refrigerators
= 1.028 for Freezers¹¹⁰

For example, the program averages for AIC's ARP in PY4 produce the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 806/8766 * 1.081 \\ &= 0.099 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RFRC-V07-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹¹⁰ Cadmus memo, February 12, 2013; "Appliance Recycling Update"

5.1.9 Room Air Conditioner Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the savings resulting from running a drop off service taking existing residential, inefficient Room Air Conditioner units from service, prior to their natural end of life. This measure assumes that though a percentage of these units will be replaced this is not captured in the savings algorithm since it is unlikely that the incentive made someone retire a unit that they weren't already planning to retire. The savings therefore relate to the unit being taken off the grid as opposed to entering the secondary market. The Net to Gross factor applied to these units should incorporate adjustments that account for those participants who would have removed the unit from the grid anyway.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A. This measure relates to the retiring of an existing inefficient unit.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing inefficient room air conditioning unit.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed remaining useful life of the existing room air conditioning unit being retired is 4 years.¹¹¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual implementation cost for recycling the existing unit should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 30%.¹¹²

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((\text{FLH}_{\text{RoomAC}} * \text{Btu/hr} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}))/1000)$$

¹¹¹ A third of assumed measure life for Room AC.

¹¹² Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.

Where:

FLH_{RoomAC} = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit
= dependent on location:¹¹³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_{RoomAC}
1 (Rockford)	220
2 (Chicago)	210
3 (Springfield)	319
4 (Belleville)	428
5 (Marion)	374
Weighted Average ¹¹⁴	248

Btu/H = Size of retired unit
= Actual. If unknown assume 8500 Btu/hr ¹¹⁵
 EER_{exist} = Efficiency of existing unit
= 9.8¹¹⁶

For example, for an 8500 Btu/h unit in Springfield:

$$\Delta kWh = ((319 * 8500 * (1/9.8)) / 1000)$$

$$= 276 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (Btu/hr * (1/EER_{exist}))/1000) * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 0.3¹¹⁷

For example, an 8500 Btu/h unit:

$$\Delta kW = (8500 * (1/9.8)) / 1000) * 0.3$$

$$= 0.26 \text{ kW}$$

¹¹³ The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same location is 31%. This ratio is applied to those IL cities that have FLH for Central Cooling provided in the ENERGY STAR calculator. For other cities this is extrapolated using the FLH assumptions VEIC have developed for Central AC. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁴ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁵ Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

¹¹⁶ Minimum Federal Standard for most common room AC type (8000-14,999 capacity range with louvered sides) per federal standards from 10/1/2000 to 5/31/2014. Note that this value is the EER value, as CEER were introduced later.

¹¹⁷ Consistent with coincidence factors found in:

RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RARC-V02-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.1.10 ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryer

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a residential clothes dryer meeting the ENERGY STAR criteria. ENERGY STAR qualified clothes dryers save energy through a combination of more efficient drying and reduced runtime of the drying cycle. More efficient drying is achieved through heat pump technology, increased insulation, modifying operating conditions such as air flow and/or heat input rate, improving air circulation through better drum design or booster fans, and improving efficiency of motors. Reducing the runtime of dryers through automatic termination by temperature and moisture sensors is believed to have the greatest potential for reducing energy use in clothes dryers¹¹⁸. ENERGY STAR provides criteria for both gas and electric clothes dryers.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes dryer must meet the ENERGY STAR or ENERGY STAR Most Efficient criteria, as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a clothes dryer meeting the minimum federal requirements for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 16 years.¹¹⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an ENERGY STAR clothes dryer is assumed to be \$152 and \$405 for an ENERGY STAR Most Efficient dryer.¹²⁰

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R17 - Residential Electric Dryer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 3.8%.¹²¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\text{Load}/\text{CEF}_{\text{base}} - \text{Load}/\text{CEF}_{\text{eff}}) * \text{Ncycles} * \% \text{Electric}$$

¹¹⁸ ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report. Residential Clothes Dryers. Table 8. November 2011.

¹¹⁹ [Based on DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document, LCC Chapter, 2011](#), as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹²⁰ Based on the difference in installed cost for an efficient dryer (\$716) and standard dryer (\$564) (see "ACEEE Clothes Dryers.pdf").

¹²¹ Based on coincidence factor of 3.8% for clothes washers

Where:

Load = The average total weight (lbs) of clothes per drying cycle. If dryer size is unknown, assume standard.

Dryer Size	Load (lbs) ¹²²
Standard	8.45
Compact	3

CEFbase = Combined energy factor (CEF) (lbs/kWh) of the baseline unit is based on existing federal standards energy factor and adjusted to CEF as performed in the ENERGY STAR analysis.¹²³ If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEF (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.11
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.01
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.73
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.13
Vented Gas	2.84 ¹²⁴

CEFeff = CEF (lbs/kWh) of the ENERGY STAR unit based on ENERGY STAR or ENERGY STAR Most Efficient requirements.¹²⁵ If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

	ENERGY STAR	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient
Product Class	CEF (lbs/kWh)	CEF (lbs/kWh)
Vented or Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.93	4.3
Vented or Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.80	4.3
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.45	4.3
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.68	3.7
Vented Gas	3.48 ¹²⁶	3.8

Ncycles = Number of dryer cycles per year. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 283 cycles per year.¹²⁷

%Electric = The percent of overall savings coming from electricity
= 100% for electric dryers, 16% for gas dryers¹²⁸

¹²² Based on ENERGY STAR test procedures.

¹²³ ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis

¹²⁴ Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

¹²⁵ ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Key Product Criteria.

¹²⁶ Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

¹²⁷ Appendix D to Subpart B of Part 430 – Uniform Test Method for Measuring the Energy Consumption of Dryers.

¹²⁸ %Electric accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 16% was determined using a ratio of the electric to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis.

For example, for a Time of Sale, a standard, vented, electric ENERGY STAR clothes dryer:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((8.45/3.11 - 8.45/3.93) * 283 * 100\%) \\ &= 160 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual run hours of clothes dryer. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 283 hours per year.¹²⁹

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 3.8%¹³⁰

For example, for a Time of Sale, a standard, vented, electric ENERGY STAR clothes dryer:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kW} &= 160/283 * 3.8\% \\ &= 0.0215 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Natural gas savings only apply to ENERGY STAR vented gas clothes dryers.

$$\Delta\text{Therm} = (\text{Load}/\text{EF}_{\text{base}} - \text{Load}/\text{CE}_{\text{eff}}) * \text{Ncycles} * \text{Therm_convert} * \% \text{Gas}$$

Where:

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm
= 0.03412

%Gas = Percent of overall savings coming from gas
= 0% for electric units and 84% for gas units¹³¹

For example, for a Time of Sale, a standard, vented, gas ENERGY STAR clothes dryer:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therm} &= (8.45/2.84 - 8.45/3.48) * 283 * 0.03412 * 0.84 \\ &= 4.44 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

¹²⁹ ENERGY STAR qualified dryers have a maximum test cycle time of 80 minutes. Assume one hour per dryer cycle.

¹³⁰ Based on coincidence factor of 3.8% for clothes washers.

¹³¹ %Gas accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 84% was determined using a ratio of the gas to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDR-V04-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.1.11 ENERGY STAR Water Coolers

DESCRIPTION

Water coolers are a home appliance that offer consumers the ability to enjoy hot and/or cold water on demand. This measure is the characterization of the purchasing and use of an ENERGY STAR certified water cooler in place of a conventional water cooler.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency equipment is an ENERGY STAR certified water cooler meeting the ENERGY STAR 2.0 efficiency criteria.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a standard or conventional, non-ENERGY STAR certified water cooler.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life for a water cooler is 10 years.¹³²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is estimated at \$17.¹³³

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53: Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 1.0.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\text{kWh}_{\text{base}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{ee}}) * \text{Days}$$

Where:

kWh_{base} = Daily energy use (kWh/day) for baseline water cooler¹³⁴

Type of Water Cooler	kWh _{base}
Hot and Cold Water – Storage	1.090
Hot and Cold Water – On Demand	0.330
Cold Water Only	0.290

¹³² Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR Certified Water Coolers, last updated 2009.

¹³³ Ameren Missouri PY3 Evaluation Report.

¹³⁴ Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR Certified Water Coolers, last updated 2009.

kWh_{ee} = Daily energy use (kWh/day) for ENERGY STAR water cooler¹³⁵

Type of Water Cooler	kWh_{ee}
Hot and Cold Water – Storage	0.747
Hot and Cold Water – On Demand	0.170
Cold Water Only	0.157

Days = Number of days per year that the water cooler is in use
= 365.25 days¹³⁶

Energy Savings:

Type of Water Cooler	ΔkWh
Hot and Cold Water – Storage	125.4
Hot and Cold Water – On Demand	58.4
Cold Water Only	48.7

DEMAND SAVINGS

ΔkW = $\Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$

Where:

Hours = Number of hours per year water cooler is in use
= 8766 hours¹³⁷

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 1.0

Demand Savings:

Type of Water Cooler	ΔkW
Hot and Cold Water - Storage	0.0143
Hot and Cold Water – On Demand	0.0067
Cold Water Only	0.0056

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

¹³⁵ Average kWh/day for from the ENERGY STAR efficient product database.

¹³⁶ Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR Certified Water Coolers, last updated 2009.

¹³⁷ Assumed 365 days per year and 24 hours per day as utilized in daily energy consumption from ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Water Coolers Test Method.

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-WTCL-V01-180101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.1.12 Ozone Laundry

DESCRIPTION

A new ozone laundry system is added-on to new or existing residential clothes washing machine(s) or washing machines located in multifamily building common areas. The system generates ozone (O₃), a naturally occurring molecule, which helps clean fabrics by chemically reacting with soils in cold water. Adding an ozone laundry system(s) eliminate the use of chemicals, detergents, and hot water by residential washing machine(s).

Energy savings will be achieved at the domestic hot water heater as it will no longer supply hot water to the washing machine. Cold water usage by the clothes washer will increase, but overall water usage will stay constant.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RNC, RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new, single-unit ozone laundry system(s) rated for residential clothes washing machines is added-on to new or existing residential clothes washing machines. The ozone laundry system must be connected to both the hot and cold water inlets of the clothes washing machine so that hot water from the domestic hot water heater is no longer provided to the clothes washer.

The ozone laundry system(s) must transfer ozone into the water through:

- Venturi injection
- Bubble diffusion
- Additional applications may be considered upon program review and approval on a case by case basis

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The base case equipment is a conventional residential washing machine with no ozone generator installed. The washing machine is provided hot water from a domestic hot water heater.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure equipment effective useful life (EUL) is estimated at 8 years based on the typical lifetime of products currently available in the market.¹³⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The deemed measure cost is \$300 for a new single-unit ozone laundry system.¹³⁹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R01 – Residential Clothes Washer

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 3.8%.¹⁴⁰

¹³⁸ Average based on conversations with manufacturers and distributors of the four residential ozone laundry systems tested in the 2018 GTI Residential Ozone Laundry Field Demonstration (O3 Pure, Pure Wash, Eco Washer, Scent Crusher).

¹³⁹ 2018 GTI Residential Ozone Laundry Field Demonstration (May 2018).

¹⁴⁰ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{kWh}_{\text{HotWash}} * (\% \text{HotWash}_{\text{base}} - \% \text{HotWash}_{\text{Ozone}})$$

Where:

$$\text{kWh}_{\text{HotWash}} = (\% \text{ElectricDHW} * \text{Capacity} * \text{IWF} * \% \text{HotWater} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 8.33 * 1.0 * N_{\text{cycles}}) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3.412)$$

%ElectricDHW = Proportion of water heating supplied by electric heating

DHW fuel	%FossilDHW
Electric	100%
Natural gas	0%
Unknown	16% ¹⁴¹

Capacity = Clothes washer capacity (cubic feet).

= Actual. If unknown, assume 5.0 cubic feet.¹⁴²

IWF = Integrated water factor (gallons/cycle/ft³).

= Actual. If unknown, use the following values

Efficiency Level	IWF (gallons/cycle/ft ³)	
	Top loading > 2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading > 2.5 Cu ft
Federal Standard (as of March 2015)	8.4	4.7
ENERGY STAR (as of February 2018)	4.3	3.2
CEE Tier 2	3.2	3.2

%HotWater = Percentage of water usage that is supplied by the domestic hot water heater when the hot or warm wash cycles are selected.¹⁴³

Single-Family Home	Multifamily
0.1759	0.2960

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature

= 125°F

¹⁴¹ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁴² Average data from GTI Residential Ozone Laundry Field Demonstration (May 2018). As an add on to existing equipment it is assumed this is a larger capacity than the assumption for new Clothes Washers as old machines tended to have larger capacities. See 'Residential Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' and 'Multifamily Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' for more information. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁴³ Averaged data from GTI Residential Ozone Laundry Field Demonstration (May 2018). Hot and warm wash cycles were combined because data from the EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2015 East North Central Region show that, of the total hot and warm washes that occur, over 96% are warm washes. See 'Residential Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' and 'Multifamily Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' for more information.

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
= 54.1°F¹⁴⁴

8.33 = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

1.0 = Heat capacity of water (Btu/lb °F)

Ncycles = Number of Cycles per year

Single-Family Home	Multifamily
295 ¹⁴⁵	1,243 ¹⁴⁶

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
= 98%¹⁴⁷

3412 = Btus to kWh conversion (Btu/kWh)

%HotWash_{base} = Average percentage of loads that use hot or warm water with baseline equipment.¹⁴⁸

Single-Family Home	Multifamily
0.7743	0.7438

%HotWash_{Ozone} = Percentage of loads that use hot or warm water with efficient equipment.
= 0.0

For example, a residential ozone laundry system is installed in a single-family home with an electric domestic hot water heater. The capacity and IWF of the baseline equipment is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (1 * 5.0 * 8.4 * 0.1759 * (125 - 54.1) * 8.33 * 1.0 * 295) / (0.98 * 3412) * (0.7743 - 0)$$

$$= 298 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \Delta \text{kWh/Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer

= 264 hours¹⁴⁹

¹⁴⁴ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

¹⁴⁵ Weighted average of clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, [state of Illinois](http://stateofillinois.gov)).

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or Multifamily homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁴⁶ DOE Technical Support Document Chapter 6, 2010 <https://www.regulations.gov/contentStreamer?documentId=EERE-2006-STD-0127-0118&attachmentNumber=8&disposition=attachment&contentType=pdf>

¹⁴⁷ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

¹⁴⁸ GTI Residential Ozone Laundry Field Demonstration (May 2018). See 'Residential Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' and 'Multifamily Ozone Summary Calcs_2019.xlsx' for more information.

¹⁴⁹ Based on a weighted average of 264 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour.

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.
= 0.038¹⁵⁰

For example, a residential ozone laundry system is installed in a single-family home with an electric domestic hot water heater. The capacity and IWF of the baseline equipment is unknown.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 298/295 * 0.038 \\ &= 0.038kW\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therm = ThermHotWash * (\%HotWash_{base} - \%HotWash_{Ozone})$$

Where:

$$ThermHotWash = (\%FossilDHW * Capacity * IWF * \%HotWater * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 8.33 * 1.0 * Ncycles) / (RE_{gas} * 100,000)$$

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by natural gas heating

DHW fuel	%FossilDHW
Electric	0%
Natural gas	100%
Unknown	84% ¹⁵¹

RE_{gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

Single-Family Homes	Multifamily
78% ¹⁵²	67% ¹⁵³

100,000 = Btus to Therms conversion (Btu/Therm).

For example, a residential ozone laundry system is installed in a single-family home with a gas domestic hot water heater. The capacity and IWF of the baseline equipment is unknown.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= (1 * 5.0 * 8.4 * 0.1759 * (125 - 54.1) * 8.33 * 1.0 * 295) / (0.78 * 100,000) * (0.7743 - 0) \\ &= 12.78 Therms\end{aligned}$$

¹⁵⁰ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, as provided by Ameren.

¹⁵¹ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁵² DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

¹⁵³ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

LAUNDRY DETERGENT SAVINGS

Annual savings from not purchasing laundry detergent that are realized by efficient equipment end-user(s) (\$/year).

$$\text{Detergent savings per year} = \text{Detergent_cost} * \text{Ncycles}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Detergent_cost} &= \text{Average laundry detergent cost per load (\$/load).} \\ &= 0.16^{154} \end{aligned}$$

For example, a residential ozone laundry system is installed in a single-family home.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Detergent savings per year} &= 0.16 * 295 \\ &= \$47.20 \end{aligned}$$

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-OZNE-V03-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

¹⁵⁴ Based on cost analysis of products available on www.Jet.com and www.Amazon.com.

5.1.13 Income Qualified: ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the purchase and installation of a room air conditioning unit that meets ENERGY STAR version 4.0 which is effective October 26th 2015, in place of an existing inefficient unit or a newly acquired inefficient unit through the secondary market. This measure is to be used by programs supporting the installation of efficient Room AC in income qualified households. The COVID pandemic of 2020 has meant that opportunities for income qualified populations to keep themselves and their families cool and comfortable during the summer heat have been restricted as access to cooling centers and air conditioned public areas have become limited. This can result in hospitalization or even death from heat exhaustion.

It is assumed that the Room AC's characterized in this measure are being used less as a luxury and more as a necessity and that access to a single AC unit per household will result in run hours more consistent with central AC usage.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the new room air conditioning unit must meet the ENERGY STAR version 4.0 (effective October 26th 2015)¹⁵⁵ efficiency standards presented above.

Product Type and Class (Btu/hr)		ENERGY STAR v4.0 with louvered sides (CEER)	ENERGY STAR v4.0 without louvered sides (CEER)
Without Reverse Cycle	< 8,000	12.1	11.0
	8,000 to 10,999	12.0	10.6
	11,000 to 13,999	12.0	10.5
	14,000 to 19,999	11.8	10.2
	20,000 to 27,999	10.3	10.3
	>=28,000	9.9	10.3
With Reverse Cycle	<14,000	10.8	10.2
	14,000 to 19,999	10.8	9.6
	>=20,000	10.2	9.6
Casement only		10.5	
Casement-Slider		11.4	

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For both Time of Sale and Early Replacement the baseline assumption is an inefficient unit either existing in the home or being purchased or acquired via the secondary market.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years.¹⁵⁶

It is assumed that the baseline unit would need to be replaced with an additional secondary unit after 6 years.

¹⁵⁵ ENERGY STAR Version 4.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements

¹⁵⁶ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual full cost of the ENERGY STAR unit should be used. If unavailable assume \$300.¹⁵⁷

The cost of the inefficient secondary market unit is assumed to be \$50.

Therefore, where the new unit replaces an existing unit the measure cost is \$300, and where there is no existing unit the measure cost is assumed to be \$250.

The avoided replacement cost (after 6 years) of the replacement secondary market unit is \$50. This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 68%¹⁵⁸

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 46.6%¹⁵⁹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = (FLH_{RoomAC} * Btu/H * (1/(EER_{base}/1.01) - 1/CEER_{ee}))/1000$$

Where:

FLH_{RoomAC} = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit
= dependent on location:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool (single family)	FLHcool (multifamily)	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ¹⁶⁰
1 (Rockford)	512	467	299

¹⁵⁷ To promote improved cost effectiveness, it is assumed that the lower cost ENERGY STAR Room AC units would be used. Units between \$200-\$400 are available dependent on capacity.

¹⁵⁸ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹⁵⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹⁶⁰ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015. The multifamily units within this

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool (single family)	FLHcool (multifamily)	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ¹⁶⁰
2 (Chicago)	570	506	324
3 (Springfield)	730	663	425
4 (Belleville)	1035	940	603
5 (Marion)	903	820	526
Weighted Average ¹⁶¹	629	564	362

Btu/H = Size of installed unit

= Actual. If unknown assume 8500 Btu/hr¹⁶²

EERbase = Efficiency of existing / baseline unit

= Actual. If unknown assume 7.7¹⁶³

1.01 = Factor to convert EER to CEER (CEER includes standby and off power consumption)¹⁶⁴

CEERee = Combined Energy Efficiency Ratio of ENERGY STAR unit

= Actual. If unknown assume minimum qualifying standard as provided in tables above

For example, for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, in an unknown multifamily location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{ENERGY STAR}} &= (564 * 8500 * (1/(7.7/1.01) - 1/12.0)) / 1000 \\ &= 229 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \text{Btu/H} * ((1/\text{EER}_{\text{exist}} - 1/(\text{CEER}_{\text{ee}} * 1.01)))/1000 * \text{CF}$$

Where:

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 68%¹⁶⁵

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 46.6%¹⁶⁶

study had undergone significant shell improvements (air sealing and insulation) and therefore this set of assumptions is only appropriate for units that have recently participated in a weatherization or other shell program. Note that the FLHcool where recalculated based on existing efficiencies consistent with the TRM rather than from the metering study.

¹⁶¹ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

¹⁶² Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

¹⁶³ Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

¹⁶⁴ Since the existing unit will be rated in EER, this factor is used to appropriately compare with the new CEER rating. Version 3.0 of the ENERGY STAR specification provided equivalent EER and CEER ratings and for the most popular size band the EER rating is approximately 1% higher than the CEER. See 'ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements'.

¹⁶⁵ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹⁶⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

1.02 = Factor to convert CEER to EER (CEER includes standby and off power consumption)¹⁶⁷

Other variable as defined above

For example, for an 8,500 Btu/H capacity unit, with louvered sides, for an unknown multifamily location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} &= (8500 * (1/7.7 - 1/(12.0 * 1.01))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.2738 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{PJM} &= (8500 * (1/7.7 - 1/(12.0 * 1.01))) / 1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.1876 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-IQRA-V01-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹⁶⁷ Since the new CEER rating includes standby and off power consumption, for peak calculations it is more appropriate to apply the EER rating, but it appears as though new units will only be rated with a CEER rating. Version 3.0 of the ENERGY STAR specification provided equivalent EER and CEER ratings and for the most popular size band the EER rating is approximately 1% higher than the CEER. See 'ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Room Air Conditioners Program Requirements'.

5.2 Consumer Electronics End Use

5.2.1 Advanced Power Strip – Tier 1

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to Advanced Power Strips – Tier 1 which are multi-plug surge protector power strips with the ability to automatically disconnect specific connected loads depending upon the power draw of a control load, also plugged into the strip. Power is disconnected from the switched (controlled) outlets when the control load power draw is reduced below a certain adjustable threshold, thus turning off the appliances plugged into the switched outlets. By disconnecting the standby load of the controlled devices, the overall load of a centralized group of equipment (i.e. entertainment centers and home office) can be reduced. Uncontrolled outlets are also provided that are not affected by the control device and so are always providing power to any device plugged into it. This measure characterization provides savings for a 5-plug strip and a 7-plug strip.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a 5 or 7-plug advanced power strip.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For time of sale or new construction applications, the assumed baseline is a standard power strip that does not control connected loads.

For direct install and kits, the baseline is the existing equipment utilized in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the advanced power strip is 7 years.¹⁶⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For time of sale or new construction the incremental cost of an advanced Tier 1 power strip over a standard power strip with surge protection is assumed to be \$10.¹⁶⁹

For direct install the actual full equipment and installation cost (including labor) and for kits the actual full equipment cost should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R13 - Residential Standby Losses – Entertainment

Loadshape R14 - Residential Standby Losses - Home Office

¹⁶⁸ This is a consistent assumption with 5.2.2 Advanced Power Strip – Tier 2.

¹⁶⁹ Price survey performed by Illume Advising LLC for IL TRM workpaper, see “Current Surge Protector Costs and Comparison 7-2016” spreadsheet.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.¹⁷⁰

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{kWh} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

kWh = Assumed annual kWh savings per unit
 = 56.5 kWh for 5-plug units or 103 kWh for 7-plug units¹⁷¹

ISR = In Service Rate, dependent on delivery mechanism

Delivery Mechanism	ISR
Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	40% ¹⁷²
Single Family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	55% ¹⁷³
Community Distributed Kit	91% ¹⁷⁴
Direct Install	100%
Time of Sale	71% ¹⁷⁵

Using assumptions above:

# Plugs	Delivery Mechanism	ΔkWh
5- plug	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	22.6
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit,	31.1

¹⁷⁰ Efficiency Vermont 2016 TRM coincidence factor for advanced power strip measure –in the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

¹⁷¹ NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips. Study based on review of:

Smart Strip Electrical Savings and Usability, Power Smart Engineering, October 27, 2008.

Final Field Research Report, Ecos Consulting, October 31, 2006. Prepared for California Energy Commission's PIER Program.

Developing and Testing Low Power Mode Measurement Methods, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), September 2004. Prepared for California Energy Commission's Public Interest Energy Research (PIER) Program.

2005 Intrusive Residential Standby Survey Report, Energy Efficient Strategies, March 2006.

Smart Strip Portfolio of the Future, Navigant Consulting for San Diego G&E, March 31, 2009.

"Smart strip" in this context refers to the category of Advanced Power Strips, does not specifically signify Smart Strip® from BITS Limited, and was used without permission. Smart Strip® is a registered trademark of BITS Smart Strip, LLC.

¹⁷² Opinion Dynamics and Navigant. Impact Evaluation for ComEd 2018 site visit efforts for leave-behind measures in public housing multi-family units. The Evaluation Team completed site visits for 72 apartment units across seven of the ten participating properties in which advanced power strips were installed. The Evaluation Team attempted a census using all data provided at the time of site visit planning (Fall 2018). The program distributed a total of 476 advanced power strips, with 471 distributed amongst the seven properties with completed site visits. The Team performed intrasite sampling within each property and verified a total of 37 advanced power strips of the 92 within the sample.

¹⁷³ Research from 2018 ComEd Home Energy Assessment participant survey.

¹⁷⁴ Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

¹⁷⁵ Research from 2019 ComEd Appliance Rebate Program- Online Marketplace participant survey

# Plugs	Delivery Mechanism	ΔkWh
	Leave behind	
	Community Distributed Kit	51.4
	Direct Install	56.5
	Time of Sale	40.1
7-plug	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	41.2
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	56.7
	Community Distributed Kit	93.8
	Direct Install	103.0
	Time of Sale	73.1
Unknown ¹⁷⁶	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	31.9
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	43.9
	Community Distributed Kit	72.6
	Direct Install	80.0
	Time of Sale	56.6

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the controlled standby loads are turned off by the Tier 1 Advanced power Strip.

$$= 7,129^{177}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.8^{178}$$

# Plugs	Delivery Mechanism	ΔkW
5- plug	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0025
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0035
	Community Distributed Kit	0.0058
	Direct Install	0.0063
	Time of Sale	0.045
7-plug	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0046
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0064
	Community Distributed Kit	0.0105

¹⁷⁶ Calculated as average of 5 and 7 plug savings assumptions.

¹⁷⁷ Average of hours for controlled TV and computer from; NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips

¹⁷⁸ Efficiency Vermont 2016 TRM coincidence factor for advanced power strip measure –in the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

# Plugs	Delivery Mechanism	ΔkW
	Direct Install	0.0116
	Time of Sale	0.0082
Unknown ¹⁷⁹	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0036
	Single family Energy Efficiency Kit, Leave behind	0.0049
	Community Distributed Kit	0.0081
	Direct Install	0.0090
	Time of Sale	0.0064

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-SSTR-V06-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

¹⁷⁹ Calculated as average of 5 and 7 plug savings assumptions.

5.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip / surge protector for household audio visual environments (Tier 2 AV APS). Tier 2 AV APS are multi-plug power strips that remove power from audio visual equipment through intelligent control and monitoring strategies.

By utilizing advanced control strategies such as a countdown timer, external sensors (e.g. of infra-red remote usage and/or occupancy sensors, true RMS (Root Mean Square) power sensing; both active power loads and standby power loads of controlled devices are managed by Tier 2 AV APS devices.¹⁸⁰ Monitoring and controlling both active and standby power loads of controlled devices will reduce the overall load of a centralized group of electrical equipment (i.e. the home entertainment center). This more intelligent sensing and control process has been demonstrated to deliver increased energy savings and demand reduction compared with 'Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips'.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI. If applied to other program delivery types, the installation characteristics including the number of AV devices under control and an appropriate in service rate should be verified through evaluation.

Current evaluation is limited to Direct Install applications. Through a Direct Install program it can be assured that the APS is appropriately set up and the customer is knowledgeable about its function and benefit. It is encouraged that additional implementation strategies are evaluated to provide an indication of whether the units are appropriately set up, used with AV equipment and that the customer is knowledgeable about its function and benefit. This will then facilitate a basis for broadening out the deployment methods of the APS technology category beyond Direct Install.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a Tier 2 AV APS in a residential AV (home entertainment) environment that includes control of at least 2 AV devices with one being the television.¹⁸¹

The minimum product specifications for Tier 2 AV APS are:

Safety & longevity

- Product and installation instructions shall comply with 2012 International Fire Code and 2000 NFPA 101 Life Safety Code (IL Fire Code).
- Third party tested to all applicable UL Standards.
- Contains a resettable circuit breaker
- Incorporates power switching electromechanical relays rated for 100,000 switching cycles at full 15 amp load (equivalent to more than 10 years of use).

Energy efficiency functionality

- Calculates real power as the time average of the instantaneous power, where instantaneous power is the product of instantaneous voltage and current.
- Delivers a warning when the countdown timer begins before an active power down event and maintains the warning until countdown is concluded or reset by use of the remote or other specified signal
- Uses an automatically adjustable power switching threshold.

¹⁸⁰ Tier 2 AV APS identify when people are not engaged with their AV equipment and then remove power, for example a TV and its peripheral devices that are unintentionally left on when a person leaves the house or for instance where someone falls asleep while watching television.

¹⁸¹ Given this requirement, an AV environment consisting of a television and DVD player or a TV and home theater would be eligible for a Tier 2 AV APS installation.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline equipment is the existing equipment being used in the home (e.g. a standard power strip or wall socket) that does not control loads of connected AV equipment.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The default deemed lifetime value for Tier 2 AV APS is assumed to be 7 years.¹⁸²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Direct Installation: The actual installed cost (including labor) of the new Tier 2 AV APS equipment should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R13 - Residential Standby Losses – Entertainment

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.¹⁸³

¹⁸² There is little evaluation to base a lifetime estimate upon. Based on review of assumptions from other jurisdictions and the relative treatment of In Service Rates and persistence, an estimate of 7 years was agreed by the Technical Advisory Committee, but further evaluation is recommended.

¹⁸³ In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{ERP} * \text{BaselineEnergy}_{\text{AV}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

ERP = Energy Reduction Percentage of qualifying Tier2 AV APS product range as provided below. Savings are based upon independent field trials of two product manufacturers and the savings differences are assumed to relate to the product classifications provided below. Additional evaluation will be reviewed in future cycles to confirm if additional classification categories are appropriate.

Product Type	ERP used
Infrared Only	40% ¹⁸⁴
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	25% ¹⁸⁵

$$\text{BaselineEnergy}_{\text{AV}} = 466 \text{ kWh}^{186}$$

ISR = In Service Rate.

Product Type	ISR ¹⁸⁷
Infrared Only	73%
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	83%

¹⁸⁴ Representative savings assumption based on the following independent field tests on Embertec's IR-only product. This includes both simulated saving results (based on recording what action the APS would have taken, but where equipment is not actually switched off allowing evaluation of the expected length of savings), and pre/post metering studies.

- AESC (page 30) - Valmiki, MM., Corradini, Antonio PE. 2015. *Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential and Commercial Applications*. Prepared for San Diego Gas & Electric by Alternative Energy Systems Consulting, Inc. (Simulated 50%, pre/post 32%).
- AESC- Valmiki, MM., Corradini, Antonio PE., Feb 2016. *Energy Savings of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential AV Systems*. (Simulated 50%, pre/post 29%)
- CalPlug research (Page 12) - Wang, M. e. 2014. "Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip Evaluation for Energy Saving Incentive". California Plug Load Research Center (CalPlug), UC Irvine. (Simulated 51%)
- NMR Group Inc., RLPNC 17-3: *Advanced Power Strip Metering Study*, Revised March 18, 2019, submitted to Massachusetts Program Administrators and EEAC. (Pre/post with regression 50%, Pre/post only 20%).

¹⁸⁵ Representative savings assumption based on the following independent field tests on TrickeStar IR-OS product and reflect both simulated and pre/post meter study results.

- AESC- Valmiki, MM., Corradini, Antonio PE., Feb 2016. *Energy Savings of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential AV Systems*. (Simulated 27%, pre/post 25%)
- NMR Group Inc., RLPNC 17-3: *Advanced Power Strip Metering Study*, Revised March 18, 2019, submitted to Massachusetts Program Administrators and EEAC. (Pre/post with regression 37%, Pre/post only 11%)

¹⁸⁶ Average of baseline energy in Regional Technical Form survey of Tier 2 APS pre-post methodology studies, see 'RTF_T2_APS.ppt'.

¹⁸⁷ Weighted average of evaluation results from AESC, Inc, "Energy Savings of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential AC Systems", p35. These assumptions include "adjustments in weighting based on the persistence sensitivity to demographics" and NMR Group Inc., RLPNC 17-3: *Advanced Power Strip Metering Study*, Revised March 18, 2019.

Deemed savings for each product type are provided below:

Product Type	ΔkWh
Infrared Only	136.1
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	96.7

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the APS provides savings.

$$= 4,380^{188}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.8^{189}$$

Deemed savings for each product type are provided below:

Product Type	ΔkW
Infrared Only	0.0249
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	0.0177

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A¹⁹⁰

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS2-V05-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

¹⁸⁸ This is estimate based on assumption that approximately half of savings are during active hours (supported by AESC study) (assumed to be 5.3 hrs/day, 1936 per year (NYSERDA 2011. “Advanced Power Strip Research Report”)) and half during standby hours (8760-1936 = 6824 hours). The weighted average is 4380.

¹⁸⁹ In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes. This appears to be supported by the Average Weekday AV Demand Profile and Reduction charts in the AESC study (p33-34). These show that the average demand reduction is relatively flat.

¹⁹⁰ Interactive effects of Tier 2 APS on space conditioning loads has not yet been adequately studied.

5.3 HVAC End Use

5.3.1 Air Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

A heat pump provides heating or cooling by moving heat between indoor and outdoor air.

This measure characterizes:

a) New Construction:

- The installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) Air Source Heat Pump system meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented below in a new home.
- Note the baseline in this case should be determined via EM&V and the algorithms are provided to allow savings to be calculated from any baseline condition.

b) Time of Sale:

- The installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) Air Source Heat Pump that is more efficient than required by federal standards. This relates to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life.
- Note the baseline in this case is an equivalent replacement system to that which exists currently in the home. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.

c) Early Replacement:

The early removal of functioning electric or gas heating and/or cooling (SEER 10 or under if present) systems from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency air source heat pump unit.

Note the baseline in this case is the existing equipment being replaced. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.

Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:

- The existing unit is operational when replaced, or
- The existing unit requires minor repairs ($< \$276$ per ton).¹⁹¹
- All other conditions will be considered Time of Sale.

The Baseline SEER of the existing unit replaced:

- If the SEER of the existing unit is known and ≤ 10 , the Baseline SEER is the actual SEER value of the unit replaced. If the SEER is > 10 , the Baseline SEER = 14.
- If the SEER of the existing unit is unknown use assumptions in variable list below (SEER_exist and HSPF_exist).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown, use time of sale assumptions.

A weighted average early replacement rate is provided for use when the actual baseline early replacement rates are unknown.¹⁹²

¹⁹¹ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement. Note the non-inflated cost is used as this would be a cost consideration in the program year.

¹⁹² Based upon research from "Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program GPY2 Evaluation Report" which outlines early replacement rates for both primary and secondary central air cooling (CAC) and residential furnaces. This is used as a

Deemed Early Replacement Rates For ASHP

	Deemed Early Replacement Rate
Early Replacement Rate for ASHP participants	7%

Note it is not appropriate to claim additional ECM fan savings (from 5.3.5 Furnace Blower Motor) due to installing new ASHP units with an ECM, since the SEER/EER/HSPF ratings already account for this electrical load.

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ENERGY STAR Verified HVAC Installation Program (ESVI), ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) air source heat pump with specifications to be determined by program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT**New Construction:**

To calculate savings with an electric baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF and 11 EER.¹⁹³

To calculate savings with a furnace/central AC baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an 80% AFUE Furnace and central AC meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER, 10.5 EER.¹⁹⁴

Time of Sale: The baseline for this measure is a new replacement unit of the same system type as the existing unit, meeting the baselines provided below.

Unit Type	Efficiency Standard
ASHP	14 SEER, 11 EER, 8.2 HSPF
Gas Furnace	80% AFUE
Gas Boiler	84% AFUE
Central AC	13 SEER, 10.5 EER

Early replacement / Retrofit: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the *existing* heating and cooling equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline heating and cooling system for the remainder of the measure life (as provided in table above except for Gas Furnace where new baseline assumption is 90% due to pending standard change).

reasonable proxy for ASHP installations since ASHP specific data is not available. Report presented to Nicor Gas Company February 27, 2014.

¹⁹³ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

¹⁹⁴ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 16 years.¹⁹⁵

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers¹⁹⁶ and 16 years for electric resistance.¹⁹⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the Air Source Heat Pump (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment (\$1,381 per ton for a new baseline ASHP, \$2,011 for a new baseline 80% AFUE furnace or \$4,053 for a new 84% AFUE boiler¹⁹⁸ and \$952 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement¹⁹⁹).

Early Replacement: The actual full installation cost of the Air Source Heat Pump (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$1,584 per ton for a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump, or \$3,030 for a new baseline 90% AFUE furnace or \$4,649 for a new 84% AFUE boiler and \$1,092 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement.²⁰⁰ This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

If the install cost of the efficient Air Source Heat Pump is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity);²⁰¹ however, because these assumptions do not include any additional costs that may be required for fuel switch scenarios, these defaults should not be used and actual costs should always be used for fuel switch measures:

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Efficient ASHP Cost (including labor)
14.5	\$1,381 / ton + \$123
15	\$1,381 / ton + \$303
16	\$1,381 / ton + \$438
17	\$1,381 / ton + \$724
18	\$1,381 / ton + \$724

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to cost an additional \$150.²⁰²

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

¹⁹⁵ Based on 2016 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support document, as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹⁹⁶ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life of replaced equipment.

¹⁹⁷ Assume full measure life (16 years) for replacing electric resistance as we would not expect that resistance heat would fail during the lifetime of the efficient measure.

¹⁹⁸ Furnace and boiler costs are based on data provided in Appendix E of the Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents including equipment cost and installation labor.

¹⁹⁹ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator.

²⁰⁰ All baseline replacement costs are consistent with their respective measures and include inflation rate of 1.98%.

²⁰¹ Baseline cost per ton derived from DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data. See 'ASHP_Revised DEER Measure Cost Summary.xls' for calculation. Efficiency cost increment consistent with Cadmus "HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update", December 19, 2016 study results.

²⁰² Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers in Iowa.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's Forward Capacity Market.

$CF_{SSP, SF}$	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in single-family homes (during utility peak hour) = 72% ²⁰³
$CF_{PJM, SF}$	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in single-family homes (average during PJM peak period) = 46.6% ²⁰⁴
$CF_{SSP, MF}$	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in multi-family homes (during system peak hour) = 67% ²⁰⁵
$CF_{PJM, MF}$	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in multi-family homes (average during peak period) = 28.5%

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS AND NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Non fuel switch measures:

$$\Delta kWh = ((FLH_{cooling} * Capacity_{cooling} * (1/(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{Base}))) - 1/(SEER_{ee} * SEER_{adj} * (1 - DeratingCool_{Eff})))) / 1000) + ((FLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heating} * (1/(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{Base}))) - 1/(HSPF_{ee} * HSPF_{adj} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{Eff})))) / 1000)$$

Fuel switch measures:

Fuel switch measures must produce positive total annual source fuel savings (i.e., reduction in source Btus) in order to qualify. This is determined as follows (note for early replacement measures the lifetime savings should be calculated by calculating savings for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment and for the remaining measure life):

²⁰³ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

²⁰⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

²⁰⁵ Multifamily coincidence factors both from; *All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems*, Cadmus, October 2015

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} &= \text{GasHeatReplaced} - \text{ASHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{ASHPSourceCoolingImpact} \\
 \text{GasHeatReplaced}^{206} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 1,000,000] \\
 \text{ASHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{HSPF}_{\text{ee}} * \text{HSPF}_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000] * \text{H}_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\
 \text{ASHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= [(\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/(\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{SEER}_{\text{ee}} * \text{SEER}_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000] * \text{H}_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000
 \end{aligned}$$

If SourceEnergySavings calculated above is positive, the measure is eligible.

Two electric algorithms and one gas algorithm are provided below. The appropriate claim is dependent on which utilities are supporting the measure as provided in a table below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\
 &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/(\text{HSPF}_{\text{baseASHP}} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{base}})))/1000] \\
 \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to Efficient ASHP}] \\
 &= ((\text{FLH_cooling} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/(\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{SEER}_{\text{ee}} * \text{SEER}_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000) + ((\text{FLH_heat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{HSPF}_{\text{baseASHP}} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{HSPF}_{\text{ee}} * \text{HSPF}_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000) \\
 \Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline gas system}] \\
 &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 100,000]
 \end{aligned}$$

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} - \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} + (\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} / \text{kWh to Therm})$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}}$	$\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * \text{kWh to Therm})$
Gas utility only	N/A	$\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * \text{kWh to Therm}) + (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} * \text{kWh to Therm})$

Note for Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure. See assumptions below.

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning
= dependent on location:

²⁰⁶ Note the Gas Source to Site ratio is assumed to be 1.0.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_cooling (single family) ²⁰⁷	FLH_cooling (general multifamily) ²⁰⁸	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ²⁰⁹
1 (Rockford)	512	467	299
2 (Chicago)	570	506	324
3 (Springfield)	730	663	425
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940	603
5 (Marion)	903	820	526
Weighted Average ²¹⁰	629	564	362

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Capacity_cooling = Cooling Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)SEER_base = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, the actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC), if unknown assume default provided below:

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	SEER_base		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	9.3 ²¹¹	14 ²¹²	
Central AC	9.3 ²¹³	13 ²¹⁴	
No central cooling	Make '1/SEER_exist' = 0 ²¹⁵	13 ²¹⁶	

SEER_ee = Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of ENERGY STAR unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual, or 15 if unknown.²¹⁷

²⁰⁷ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

²⁰⁸ Ibid.

²⁰⁹ *All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems*, Cadmus, October 2015. The multifamily units within this study had undergone significant shell improvements (air sealing and insulation) and therefore this set of assumptions is only appropriate for units that have recently participated in a weatherization or other shell program. Note that the FLHcool where recalculated based on existing efficiencies consistent with the TRM rather than from the metering study.

²¹⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

²¹¹ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²¹² Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015

²¹³ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²¹⁴ Minimum Federal Standard; Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.

²¹⁵ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

²¹⁶ Assumes that the decision to replace existing systems includes desire to add cooling.

²¹⁷ ENERGY STAR minimum.

SEERadj = Adjustment percentage to account for in-situ performance of the unit²¹⁸

$$= \left[(0.805 \times \left(\frac{EER_{reg}}{SEER_{reg}} \right) + 0.367] \right]$$

DeratingCool_{Eff} = Efficient ASHP Cooling derating
 = 0% if Quality Installation is performed
 = 10% if Quality Installation is not performed or unknown²¹⁹

DeratingCool_{Base} = Baseline Cooling derating
 = 10%

FLH_{heat} = Full load hours of heating
 = Dependent on location and home type:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{heat} (single family and general multifamily) ²²⁰	FLH heat (weatherized multifamily) ²²¹
1 (Rockford)	1,969	748
2 (Chicago)	1,840	699
3 (Springfield)	1,754	667
4 (Belleville)	1,266	481
5 (Marion)	1,288	489
Weighted Average ²²²	1,821	692

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Capacity_{heating} = Heating Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF_{base} = Heating System Performance Factor of baseline heating system (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP, 16 years for electric resistance). If unknown assume default:

²¹⁸ In situ performance based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²¹⁹ Based on Cadmus assumption provided in preparation of the 2014 Interstate Power and Light TRM based upon proper refrigerant charge, evaporator airflow, and unit sizing, Appears conservative in comparison to ENERGY STAR statements ([see](#) 'Sponsoring an ENERGY STAR Verified HVAC Installation (ESVI) Program'). Note pending ComEd evaluation will provide an update to these assumptions.

²²⁰ Full load heating hours for heat pumps are provided for Rockford, Chicago and Springfield in the ENERGY STAR Calculator. Estimates for the other locations were calculated based on the FLH to Heating Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio. VEIC consider ENERGY STAR estimates to be high due to oversizing not being adequately addressed. Using average Illinois billing data (from ICC [commerce Commission](#)) VEIC estimated the average gas heating load and used this to estimate the average home heating output (using 83% average gas heat efficiency). Dividing this by a typical 36,000 Btu/hr ASHP gives an estimate of average ASHP FLH_{heat} of 1821 hours. We used the ratio of this value to the average of the locations using the ENERGY STAR data (1994 hours) to scale down the ENERGY STAR estimates. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

²²¹ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015.

²²² Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	HSPF_base		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	5.54 ²²³	8.2 ²²⁴	
Electric Resistance	3.41 ²²⁵		

HSPF_ee = Heating System Performance Factor of efficient Air Source Heat Pump
(kBtu/kWh)

= Actual or 8.5 if unknown²²⁶

HSPFadj = Adjustment percentage to account for the heating capacity ratio of the efficient unit²²⁷

$$= \left[\left(\frac{17^{\circ}\text{F Capacity}}{47^{\circ}\text{F Capacity}} \right) \times 0.158 + 0.899 \right]$$

= Actual using AHRI lookup values for efficient unit heating capacities rated at 17°F and 47°F. If not available assume 1.²²⁸

DeratingHeat_{Eff} = Efficient ASHP Heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 10% if Quality Installation is not performed²²⁹

DeratingHeat_{Base} = Baseline Heating derating

= 10%

AFUEbase = Baseline Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating. For early replacement measures, use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers). If unknown assume default:

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	AFUEbase		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment) ²³⁰	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Furnace	64.4%	90% ²³¹	80%

²²³ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²²⁴ Based on Minimum Federal Standard effective 1/1/2015.

²²⁵ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

²²⁶ ENERGY STAR minimum.

²²⁷ In situ performance based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²²⁸ In situ performance based on Guidehouse review of 201 ASHP installs. While the data indicated an average of 1.006, the range was 0.9 to 1.06 so calculation of this value should be done where possible.

²²⁹ Based on Cadmus assumption provided in preparation of the 2014 Interstate Power and Light TRM based upon proper refrigerant charge, evaporator airflow, and unit sizing, Assumed consistent for heating and cooling. Appears conservative in comparison to ENERGY STAR statements ([see](#) 'Sponsoring an ENERGY STAR Verified HVAC Installation (ESVI) Program'). Note pending ComEd evaluation will provide an update to these assumptions.

²³⁰ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

²³¹ Assumes that Federal Standard will have been increased to 90% by the time the existing unit would have to have been

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	AFUEbase		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment) ²³⁰	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Boiler	61.6%	84% ²³²	82%

kWh to Therm = Conversion between kWh at source/generation and Therms

$$= H_{\text{grid}} / 100000$$

H_{grid} = Heat rate of the grid in btu/kWh based on the average fossil heat rate for the EPA eGRID subregion and includes a factor that considers T&D losses.

For systems operating less than 6,500 hrs per year:

Use the Non-baseload heat rate provided by EPA eGRID for RFC West region for ComEd territory (including independent providers connected to RFC West), and SERC Midwest region for Ameren territory (including independent providers connected to SERC Midwest).²³³ Also include any line losses.

For systems operating more than 6,500 hrs per year:

Use the All Fossil Average heat rate provided by EPA eGRID for RFC West region for ComEd territory, and SERC Midwest region for Ameren territory. Also include any line losses.

replaced.

²³² Federal standards for boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021.

²³³ These values are subject to regular updates so should be reviewed regularly to ensure the current assumptions are correct.

Refer to the latest EPA eGRID data. Current values, based on eGrid 2018 are:

- Non-Baseload RFC West: 10,024 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- Non-Baseload SERC Midwest: 9,871 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- All Fossil Average RFC West: 9,575 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- All Fossil Average SERC Midwest: 10,369 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)

Non Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples

Time of Sale using ASHP baseline:

For example, an ASHP is installed in a single-family home in Marion with the following nameplate information: 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF; Cooling capacity: 34,800 Btuh; Heating capacity at 47°F: 33,000 Btuh; Heating capacity at 17°F: 21,200 Btuh with Quality Installation;

$$\% SEER_{adj} = 0.805 \times \left(\frac{EER_{ee}}{SEER_{ee}} \right) + 0.367 = 1.011$$

$$\% HSPF_{adj} = \left(\frac{17^\circ F \text{ Capacity}}{47^\circ F \text{ Capacity}} \right) \times 0.158 + 0.899 = 1.001$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(14 * (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * \\ &\quad (1/(8.2 * (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 1463 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, a 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump with nameplate information as above replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with unknown efficiency ratings in a single family home in Marion:

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(9.3 * (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(5.54 * \\ &\quad (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 5489 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(14 * (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(8.2 * \\ &\quad (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 1463 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples

[for illustrative purposes a Heat Rate of 10,000 Btu/kWh is used, kWh to Therm = 10,000/100,000 = 0.1]

New construction using gas furnace and central AC baseline:

For example a three ton (Cooling capacity of 34,800Btuh and Heating capacity of 33,000 Btuh), 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed in single-family home in Marion with Quality Installation, in place of a natural gas furnace and 3 ton Central AC unit:

SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs) = GasHeatReplaced – ASHPSourceHeatConsumed + ASHPSourceCoolingImpact

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GasHeatReplaced} &= [(FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * 1/AFUE_{\text{base}}) / 1,000,000] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.8) / 1000000] \\ &= 53.1 \text{ MMBtu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ASHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [(FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * (1/(HSPF_{\text{ee}} * HSPF_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000] * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= [(1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(9 * 1.001 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000] * 10,000/1,000,000 \\ &= 47.2 \text{ MMBtu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ASHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= [(FLH_{\text{cool}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/(SEER_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(SEER_{\text{ee}} * SEER_{\text{adj}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000] * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(13 * (1 - 0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1 - 0)))) / 1000) * 10,000/1,000,000 \\ &= 6.1 \text{ MMBtu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = 53.1 - 47.2 + 6.1 = 12 \text{ MMBtu}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Example continued

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/(8.2 * (1-0.1)))/1000] \\ &= 5,759 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to GSHP}] \\ &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1-0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1-0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 614 + 1,041 \\ &= 1,655 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline gas system}] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.8) / 100,000] \\ &= 531 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Savings would be claimed as follows:

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	1655 - 5759 + (531/0.1) = 1206 kWh	N/A
Electric and gas utility	1655 kWh	531 - (5,759 * 0.1) = -45 therms
Gas utility only	N/A	531 - (5,759 * 0.1) + (1655 * 0.1) = 120.6 therms

Early Replacement fuel switch:

For example a three ton (Cooling capacity of 34,800Btuh and Heating capacity of 33,000 Btuh), 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed in single-family home in Marion with Quality Installation, replaces an existing working natural gas furnace and 3 ton Central AC unit with unknown efficiency ratings:

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = \text{LifetimeGasHeatReplaced} - \text{LifetimeASHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{LifetimeASHPSourceCoolingImpact}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeGasHeatReplaced} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{exist}}) / 1,000,000] * 7 \text{ years} + [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 1,000,000] * 9 \text{ years} \\ &= (((1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.644) / 1000000) * 7) + (((1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.8) / 1000000) * 9) \\ &= 940.2 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeASHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{HSPF_ee} * \text{HSPFadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeatEff})))) / 1000] * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 * 16 \text{ years} \\ &= [(1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(9 * 1.001 * (1-0)))) / 1000] * 10,000/1,000,000 * 16 \\ &= 754.9 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeASHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/(\text{SEER_exist} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{SEER_ee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}}))))/1000) * 6 \text{ years}) + ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/(\text{SEER_base} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{SEER_ee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}}))))/1000) * 10 \text{ years}) * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(9.3 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1-0)))) / 1000) * 10,000/1,000,000 * 6) + ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1-0)))) / 1000) * 10,000/1,000,000 * 10) \\ &= 162.3 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = 940.2 - 754.9 + 162.3 = 347.6 \text{ MMBtu}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Example continued

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/(8.2 * (1-0.1)))/1000] \\ &= 5,759 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement (Remaining Useful Life)}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings from existing to ASHP}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to GSHP}] \\ &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(9.3 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1-0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1-0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 1,682.2 + 1,041.4 \\ &= 2,724 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement (Remaining measure)}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings from new baseline to ASHP}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to GSHP}] \\ &= ((903 * 34,800 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(15 * 1.011 * (1-0)))) / 1000) + ((1,288 * 33,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(9 * 1.001 * (1-0)))) / 1000) \\ &= 614 + 1,041 \\ &= 1,655 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch (Remaining Useful Life)}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of existing gas system}] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.644) / 100,000] \\ &= 660 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch (Remaining Measure)}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of new baseline gas system}] \\ &= [(1288 * 33,000 * 1/0.9) / 100,000] \\ &= 472 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Savings would be claimed as follows:

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$2724 - 5759 + (660/0.1)$ $= 3565 \text{ kWh for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $1655 - 5759 + (472/0.1)$ $= 616 \text{ kWh for remaining 9 years}$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	$2724 \text{ kWh for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $1655 \text{ kWh for remaining 9 years}$	$660 - (5,759 * 0.1)$ $= 84 \text{ therms for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $472 - (5,759 * 0.1)$ $= -104 \text{ therms for remaining 9 years}$
Gas utility only	N/A	$660 - (5,759 * 0.1) + (2724 * 0.1)$ $= 356 \text{ therms for 1st 7 years}$ $472 - (5,759 * 0.1) + (1655 * 0.1)$ $= 62 \text{ therms for remaining 9 years}$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{(\text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/(\text{EER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{EER}_{\text{ee}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))}{1000 * CF}$$

Where:

EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, the actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year to account for degradation over time.²³⁴ If unknown, assume default provided below:

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	EER _{base}		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	7.5 ²³⁵		11 ²³⁶
Central AC	7.5 ²³⁷		10.5 ²³⁸
No central cooling	Make '1/EER _{exist} ' = 0 ²³⁹		10.5

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Actual. If unknown, assume 12.5 EER.²⁴⁰

CF_{SSP SF} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in single-family homes (during system peak hour)

= 72%²⁴¹

CF_{PJM SF} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in single-family homes (average during peak period)

= 46.6%²⁴²

CF_{SSP, MF} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in multi-family homes (during system peak hour)

= 67%²⁴³

²³⁴ Justification for degradation factors can be found on page 21 of 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²³⁵ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²³⁶ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²³⁷ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²³⁸ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²³⁹ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

²⁴⁰ ENERGY STAR minimum.

²⁴¹ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

²⁴² Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

²⁴³ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015

$$CF_{PJM, MF} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps in multi-family homes (average during peak period)}$$

$$= 28.5\%$$

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Time of Sale:

For example, a three ton, 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed in single-family home in Marion with Quality Installation:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = (36,000 * (1/(11 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.72$$

$$= 0.458 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = (36,000 * (1/(11 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.297 \text{ kW}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, a three ton, 15 SEER, 12EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with unknown efficiency ratings in single-family home in Marion with Quality Installation:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):}$$

$$= (36,000 * (1/(7.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.72$$

$$= 1.68 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ for remaining measure life (next 12 years):}$$

$$= (36,000 * (1/(11 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.72$$

$$= 0.458 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):}$$

$$= (36,000 * (1/(7.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466$$

$$= 1.087 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ for remaining measure life (next 12 years):}$$

$$= (36,000 * (1/(11 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.297 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Calculation provided together with Electric Energy Savings above.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

COST EFFECTIVENESS SCREENING AND LOAD REDUCTION FORECASTING WHEN FUEL SWITCHING

This measure can involve fuel switching from gas to electric.

For the purposes of forecasting load reductions due to fuel switch GSHP projects per Section 16-111.5B, changes in site energy use at the customer's meter (using ΔkWh algorithm below) adjusted for utility line losses (at-the-busbar savings), customer switching estimates, NTG, and any other adjustment factors deemed appropriate, should be used.

The inputs to cost effectiveness screening should reflect the actual impacts on the electric and fuel consumption at the customer meter and, for fuel switching measures, this will not match the output of the calculation/allocation methodology presented in the “Electric Energy Savings” and “Natural Gas Savings” sections above. Therefore in addition to the calculation of savings claimed, the following values should be used to assess the cost effectiveness of the measure. For Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers or GSHP, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= [\text{Heating Consumption Replaced}] \\ &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 100,000] \\ \Delta\text{kWh} &= - [\text{ASHP heating consumption}] + [\text{Cooling savings}] \\ &= - [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{HSPF_ee} * \text{HSPFadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingHeat}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000] \\ &\quad + [(\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/(\text{SEER_base} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{SEER_ee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000]\end{aligned}$$

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-V10-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.3.2 Boiler Pipe Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes adding insulation to un-insulated boiler pipes in un-conditioned basements or crawlspaces.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RNC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is installing pipe wrap insulation to a length of boiler pipe.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is an un-insulated boiler pipe.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 15 years.²⁴⁴

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 13 years.²⁴⁵ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost including material and installation is assumed to be \$3 per linear foot.²⁴⁶

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = (((1/R_{\text{exist}} * C_{\text{exist}}) - (1/R_{\text{new}} * C_{\text{new}})) * \text{FLH_heat} * L * \Delta T) / \eta_{\text{Boiler}} / 100,000$$

²⁴⁴ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

²⁴⁵ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

²⁴⁶ Consistent with DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data.

Where:

R_{exist} = Pipe heat loss coefficient of uninsulated pipe (existing) $[(hr \cdot ^\circ F \cdot ft^2)/Btu]$
 $= 0.5^{247}$

R_{new} = Pipe heat loss coefficient of insulated pipe (new) $[(hr \cdot ^\circ F \cdot ft^2)/Btu]$
 = Actual (0.5 + R value of insulation)

FLH_heat = Full load hours of heating
 = Dependent on location:²⁴⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ²⁴⁹	1,821

L = Length of boiler pipe in unconditioned space covered by pipe wrap (ft)
 = Actual

C_{exist} = Circumference of bare pipe (ft) (Diameter (in) * $\pi/12$)
 = Actual (0.5" pipe = 0.131ft, 0.75" pipe = 0.196ft)

C_{new} = Circumference of pipe with insulation (ft) $([Diameter \text{ of pipe (in)}] + ([Thickness \text{ of Insulation (in)}] * 2)) * \pi/12$
 = Actual

ΔT = Average temperature difference between circulated heated water and unconditioned space air temperature ($^\circ F$)²⁵⁰

Pipes in unconditioned basement:

Outdoor reset controls	ΔT ($^\circ F$)
Boiler without reset control	110

²⁴⁷ Assumption based on data obtained from the 3E Plus heat loss calculation software provided by the NAIMA (North American Insulation Manufacturer Association) and derived from Table 15 and Table 16 of 2009 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook, Chapter 23 Insulation for Mechanical Systems, page 23.17.

²⁴⁸ Full load heating hours for heat pumps are provided for Rockford, Chicago and Springfield in the ENERGY STAR Calculator. Estimates for the other locations were calculated based on the FLH to Heating Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio. VEIC consider ENERGY STAR estimates to be high due to oversizing not being adequately addressed. Using average Illinois billing data (from Illinois Commerce Commission) VEIC estimated the average gas heating load and used this to estimate the average home heating output (using 83% average gas heat efficiency). Dividing this by a typical 36,000 Btu/hr ASHP gives an estimate of average ASHP FLH_heat of 1821 hours. We used the ratio of this value to the average of the locations using the ENERGY STAR data (1994 hours) to scale down the ENERGY STAR estimates. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

²⁴⁹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

²⁵⁰ Assumes 160°F water temp for a boiler without reset control, 120°F for a boiler with reset control, and 50°F air temperature for pipes in unconditioned basements and the following average heating season outdoor temperatures as the air temperature in crawl spaces: Zone 1 – 33.1, Zone 2 – 34.4, Zone 3 – 37.7, Zone 4 – 40.0, Zone 5 – 39.8, Weighted Average – 35.3 (NCDC 1881-2010 Normals, average of monthly averages Nov – Apr for zones 1-3 and Nov-March for zones 4 and 5).

Outdoor reset controls	ΔT (°F)
Boiler with reset control	70

Pipes in crawl space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔT (°F)	
	Boiler without reset control	Boiler with reset control
1 (Rockford)	127	87
2 (Chicago)	126	86
3 (Springfield)	122	82
4 (Belleville)	120	80
5 (Marion)	120	80
Weighted Average ²⁵¹	125	85

η_{Boiler} = Efficiency of boiler
= 0.819²⁵²

For example, insulating 10 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-3 wrap (0.75" thickness) in a crawl space of a Marion home with a boiler without reset control:

$$\Delta T_{\text{Therm}} = (((1/0.5 * 0.196) - (1/3.5 * 0.589)) * 10 * 120 * 1288) / 0.819 / 100,067$$

$$= 4.2 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Heat}	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 13 years.²⁵³ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

²⁵¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

²⁵² Average efficiency of boiler units found in Ameren PY3-PY4 data.

²⁵³ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PINS-V04-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.3.3 Central Air Conditioning

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes:

a) Time of Sale:

- a. The installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) Central Air Conditioning ducted split system meeting ENERGY STAR SEER efficiency standards presented below. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.

b) Early Replacement:

Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:

- The existing unit is operational when replaced, or
- The existing unit requires minor repairs ($< \$190$ per ton).²⁵⁴
- All other conditions will be considered Time of Sale.

The Baseline SEER of the existing Central Air Conditioning unit replaced:

- If the SEER of the existing unit is known and ≤ 10 , the Baseline SEER is the actual SEER value of the unit replaced. If the SEER is > 10 , the Baseline SEER = 13.
- If the SEER of the existing unit is unknown, use assumptions in variable list below (SEER_exist).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown, use time of sale assumptions.

A weighted average early replacement rate is provided for use when the actual baseline early replacement rate is unknown.²⁵⁵

Deemed Early Replacement Rates for CAC Units in Combined System Replacement (CSR) Projects

Replacement Scenario for the CAC Unit	Deemed Early Replacement Rate
Early Replacement Rate for a CAC unit when the CAC unit is the Primary unit in a CSR project	14%
Early Replacement Rate for a CAC unit when the CAC unit is the Secondary unit in a CSR project	40%

Note: it is not appropriate to claim additional ECM fan savings (from 5.3.5 Furnace Blower Motor) due to installing new CAC units with an ECM, since the SEER/EER ratings already account for this electrical load.

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ENERGY STAR Verified HVAC Installation Program (ESVI), ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

²⁵⁴ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement. Note the non-inflated cost is used as this would be a cost consideration in the program year.

²⁵⁵ Based upon research from "Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program GPY2 Evaluation Report" which outlines early replacement rates for both primary and secondary central air cooling (CAC) and residential furnaces. The unit (furnace or CAC unit) that initially caused the customer to contact a trade ally is defined as the "primary unit". The furnace or CAC unit that was also replaced but did not initially prompt the customer to contact a trade ally is defined as the "secondary unit". This evaluation used different criteria for early replacement due to the availability of data after the fact; cost of any repairs $< \$550$ and age of unit < 20 years. Report presented to Nicor Gas Company February 27, 2014.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a ducted split central air conditioning unit meeting at least the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards; 15 SEER and 12.5 EER.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for the Time of Sale measure is based on the current Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER and an estimate of expected peak rated efficiency of 10.5 EER. It is assumed that 'Quality Installation' did not occur.

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.²⁵⁶

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years.²⁵⁷

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.²⁵⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on efficiency. Assumed incremental costs are provided below.²⁵⁹

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Incremental Cost
14	\$104
15	\$108
16	\$221
17	\$620
18	\$620

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume defaults below.²⁶⁰

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor)
14	\$952 / ton + \$104
15	\$952 / ton + \$108
16	\$952 / ton + \$221
17	\$952 / ton + \$620
18	\$952 / ton + \$620

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$3,140.²⁶¹ This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

²⁵⁶ Baseline SEER and EER should be updated when new minimum federal standards become effective.

²⁵⁷ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

²⁵⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

²⁵⁹ Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus "HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update", December 19, 2016.

²⁶⁰ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator, \$2,857. Efficiency cost increment consistent with Cadmus study results.

²⁶¹ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator, \$2,857, and applying

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to cost an additional \$150.²⁶²

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

CF_{SPP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 68%²⁶³

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 46.6%²⁶⁴

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{SEERbase} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{SEERee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000$$

Early replacement:²⁶⁵

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$=(\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{SEERexist} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{SEERee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$= (\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{SEERbase} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{SEERee} * \text{SEERadj} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000$$

Where:

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours

inflation rate of 1.91%. While baselines are likely to shift in the future, there is currently no good indication of what the cost of a new baseline unit will be in 6 years. In the absence of this information, assuming a constant federal baseline cost is within the range of error for this prescriptive measure.

²⁶² Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers in Iowa.

²⁶³ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

²⁶⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

²⁶⁵ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

= dependent on location and building type.²⁶⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool (single family)	FLHcool (multifamily)	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ²⁶⁷
1 (Rockford)	512	467	299
2 (Chicago)	570	506	324
3 (Springfield)	730	663	425
4 (Belleville)	1035	940	603
5 (Marion)	903	820	526
Weighted Average ²⁶⁸	629	564	362

Use Multifamily if the Building has shared HVAC or meets the utility's definition for multifamily

Capacity	<p>= Size of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)</p> <p>= Use actual when program delivery allows size of AC unit to be known. If unknown, assume 33,600 Btu/hr for single family homes, 28,000 Btu/hr for multifamily, or 24,000 Btu/hr for mobile homes.²⁶⁹ If building type is unknown, assume 31,864Btu/hr.²⁷⁰</p>
SEERbase	<p>= Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)</p> <p>= 13²⁷¹</p>
SEERexist	<p>= Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio f existing unit (kBtu/kWh)</p> <p>= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year to account for degradation over time,²⁷² or, if unknown, assume 9.3.²⁷³</p>
SEERee	<p>= Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of ENERGY STAR unit (kBtu/kWh)</p> <p>= Actual, or 15 if unknown.</p>

²⁶⁶ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

²⁶⁷ *All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems*, Cadmus, October 2015. The multifamily units within this study had undergone significant shell improvements (air sealing and insulation) and therefore this set of assumptions is only appropriate for units that have recently participated in a weatherization or other shell program. Note that the FLHcool where recalculated based on existing efficiencies consistent with the TRM rather than from the metering study.

²⁶⁸ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

²⁶⁹ Single family cooling capacity based on Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), October 19, 2010, ComEd, Navigant Consulting. Multifamily capacity based on weighted average of PY9 Ameren and ComEd MF cooling capacities. Mobile home capacity based on ENERGY STAR's Manufactured Home Cooling Equipment Sizing Guidelines which vary by climate zone and home size. The average size of a mobile home in the East North Central region (1,120 square feet) from the 2015 RECS data is used to calculate appropriate size.

²⁷⁰ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

²⁷¹ Based on Minimum Federal Standard.

²⁷² Justification for degradation factors can be found on page 21 of 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

²⁷³ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SEER}_{\text{adj}} &= \text{Adjustment percentage to account for in-situ performance of the unit}^{274} \\ &= \left[(0.805 \times \left(\frac{\text{EER}_{\text{reg}}}{\text{SEER}_{\text{reg}}} \right) + 0.367] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}} &= \text{Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating} \\ &= 0\% \text{ if Quality Installation is performed} \\ &= 10\% \text{ if Quality Installation is not performed or unknown}^{275} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}} &= \text{Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating} \\ &= 10\% \end{aligned}$$

Time of sale example: a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 17, EER rating of 12.5 in unknown location without Quality Install:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SEER}_{\text{adj}} &= (0.805 * (12.5/17) + 0.367) \\ &= 0.959 \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1 / (17 * 0.959 * (1-0.1)))) / 1000 \\ &= 392 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Time of sale example: a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 17, EER rating of 12.5 in unknown location with Quality Install:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1 / (17 * 0.959 * (1-0)))) / 1000 \\ &= 546 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Early replacement example: a 3 ton unit, with SEER rating of 17, EER rating of 12.5 replaces an existing unit in unknown location with quality installation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh}(\text{for first 6 years}) &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/(9.3 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(17 * 0.959 * (1-0))))/1000 \\ &= 1,316 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh}(\text{for next 12 years}) &= (629 * 36,000 * (1/(13 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(17 * 0.959 * (1-0))))/1000 \\ &= 546 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore savings adjustment of 41% (546/1316) after 6 years.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta \text{kW} = (\text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{EER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Base}})) - 1/(\text{EER}_{\text{eff}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{Eff}}))))/1000 * \text{CF}$$

Early replacement:²⁷⁶

²⁷⁴ In situ performance based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²⁷⁵ Based on Cadmus assumption provided in preparation of the 2014 Interstate Power and Light TRM based upon proper refrigerant charge, evaporator airflow, and unit sizing, Appears conservative in comparison to ENERGY STAR statements ([see](#) 'Sponsoring an ENERGY STAR Verified HVAC Installation (ESVI) Program'). Note pending ComEd evaluation will provide an update to these assumptions.

²⁷⁶ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a "number of years to adjustment" and "savings adjustment" input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= (\text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{EER}_{\text{exist}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{EER}_{\text{ee}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000 * CF$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$= (\text{Capacity} * (1/(\text{EER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}})) - 1/(\text{EER}_{\text{ee}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}})))) / 1000 * CF$$

Where:

EER_{base} = EER Efficiency of baseline unit

$$= 10.5^{277}$$

$\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}$ = EER Efficiency of existing unit

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year to account for degradation over time.²⁷⁸ If unknown, assume 7.5.²⁷⁹

EER_{ee} = EER Efficiency of ENERGY STAR unit

= Actual installed or 12 if unknown

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)

$$= 68\%^{280}$$

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)

$$= 46.6\%^{281}$$

Time of sale example: a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12 with Quality Install:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{SSP}} &= (36,000 * (1/(10.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.550 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{PJM}} &= (36,000 * (1/(10.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.377 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Early replacement example: a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12 replaces an existing unit with Quality Install:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{SSP}} \text{ (for first 6 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(7.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 1.587 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{SSP}} \text{ (for next 12 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(10.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.550 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{PJM}} \text{ (for first 6 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(7.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 1.087 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{PJM}} \text{ (for next 12 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(10.5 * (1-0.1)) - 1/(12 * (1-0)))) / 1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.377 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

²⁷⁷ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²⁷⁸ Justification for degradation factors can be found on page 21 of 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²⁷⁹ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

²⁸⁰ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

²⁸¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CAC1-V09-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.3.4 Duct Insulation and Sealing

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes evaluating the savings associated with performing duct sealing using mastic sealant or metal tape to the distribution system of homes with either central air conditioning or a ducted heating system.

Two methodologies for estimating the savings associate from sealing the ducts are provided. The first preferred method requires the use of a blower door and the second requires careful inspection of the duct work.

1. **Modified Blower Door Subtraction** – this technique is described in detail on the Energy Conservatory website. See ‘The Energy Conservatory_Blower-Door-Subtraction-Method.pdf’.
2. **Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency** – this methodology requires the evaluation of three duct characteristics below, and use of the Building Performance Institutes ‘Distribution Efficiency Look-Up Table’; See ‘DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf’.
 - a. Percentage of duct work found within the conditioned space
 - b. Duct leakage evaluation
 - c. Duct insulation evaluation

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is sealed duct work throughout the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home. A non-conditioned space is defined as a space outside of the thermal envelope of the building that is not intentionally heated for occupancy (crawl space, roof attic, etc.). A semi-conditioned space is defined as a space within the thermal envelop that is not intentionally heated for occupancy (unfinished basement).²⁸²

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing baseline condition is leaky duct work within the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of this measure is 20 years.²⁸³

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years.²⁸⁴ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct sealing measure cost should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (Shell Measures)

²⁸² Definition matches Regain factor discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012

²⁸³ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

²⁸⁴ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 68\%^{285} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{PJM} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)} \\ &= 46.6\%^{286} \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS****Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction**

- a) Determine Duct Leakage rate before and after performing duct sealing:
Duct Leakage (CFM50_{DL}) = (CFM50_{Whole House} – CFM50_{Envelope Only}) * SCF

Where:

CFM50_{Whole House} = Standard Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential

CFM50_{Envelope Only} = Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential with all supply and return registers sealed.

SCF = Subtraction Correction Factor to account for underestimation of duct leakage due to connections between the duct system and the home. Determined by measuring pressure in duct system with registers sealed and using look up table provided by Energy Conservatory.

- b) Calculate duct leakage reduction, convert to CFM25_{DL} and factor in Supply and Return Loss Factors
Duct Leakage Reduction (ΔCFM25_{DL}) = (Pre CFM50_{DL} – Post CFM50_{DL}) * 0.64 * (SLF + RLF)

Where:

0.64 = Converts CFM50 to CFM25²⁸⁷

SLF = Supply Loss Factor

= % leaks sealed located in Supply ducts * 1²⁸⁸

²⁸⁵ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

²⁸⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

²⁸⁷ 25 Pascals is the standard assumption for typical pressures experienced in the duct system under normal operating conditions. To convert CFM50 to CFM25 you multiply by 0.64 (inverse of the “Can’t Reach Fifty” factor for CFM25; see Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual).

²⁸⁸ Assumes that for each percent of supply air loss there is one percent annual energy penalty. This assumes supply side leaks are direct losses to the outside and are not recaptured back to the house. This could be adjusted downward to reflect regain of usable energy to the house from duct leaks. For example, during the winter some of the energy lost from supply leaks in a

Default = 0.5²⁸⁹

RLF = Return Loss Factor
 = % leaks sealed located in Return ducts * 0.5²⁹⁰
 Default = 0.25²⁹¹

c) Calculate Electric Energy Savings:

$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$

$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((\Delta CFM_{25DL} / ((CapacityCool / 12,000) * 400)) * FLH_{cool} * CapacityCool * TRF_{cool} * \%Cool) / 1000 / \eta_{Cool}$

$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3)$

Where:

ΔCFM_{25DL} = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
 = calculated above

CapacityCool = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/hr)
 = Actual

12,000 = Converts Btu/H capacity to tons

400 = Converts capacity in tons to CFM (400CFM / ton)²⁹²

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours
 = Dependent on location as below.²⁹³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ²⁹⁴	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

crawlspace will probably be regained back to the house (sometimes 1/2 or more may be regained). More information provided in "Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements" from Energy Conservatory 'Minneapolis Duct Blaster Operation Manual'.

²⁸⁹ Assumes 50% of leaks are in supply ducts.

²⁹⁰ Assumes that for each percent of return air loss there is a half percent annual energy penalty. Note that this assumes that return leaks contribute less to energy losses than do supply leaks. This value could be adjusted upward if there was reason to suspect that the return leaks contribute significantly more energy loss than "average" (e.g. pulling return air from a super heated attic), or can be adjusted downward to represent significantly less energy loss (e.g. pulling return air from a moderate temperature crawl space). More information provided in "Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements" from Energy Conservatory 'Minneapolis Duct Blaster Operation Manual'.

²⁹¹ Assumes 50% of leaks are in return ducts.

²⁹² This conversion is an industry rule of thumb; e.g. see 'Why 400 CFM per ton.pdf'.

²⁹³ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

²⁹⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

TRFcool = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type
 = 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces
 = 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces²⁹⁵

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ²⁹⁶	66%

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η Cool = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual. If unknown assume the following:²⁹⁷

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

Δ Therms = Therm savings as calculated in Natural Gas Savings

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%²⁹⁸

29.3 = kWh per therm

²⁹⁵ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

²⁹⁶ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

²⁹⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

²⁹⁸ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space a single family house in Springfield with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 central air conditioning, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM_{50\text{Whole House}} = 4800$ CFM_{50}
 $CFM_{50\text{Envelope Only}} = 4500$ CFM_{50}
 House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals. = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM_{50\text{Whole House}} = 4600$ CFM_{50}
 $CFM_{50\text{Envelope Only}} = 4500$ CFM_{50}
 House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$CFM_{50DL\text{ before}} = (4800 - 4500) * 1.29$
 $= 387$ CFM

$CFM_{50DL\text{ after}} = (4600 - 4500) * 1.39$
 $= 139$ CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM_{25DL} = (387 - 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)$
 $= 119$ CFM_{25}

Energy Savings:

$\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} = [((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 730 * 36,000 * 1) / 1000 / 11] + (212 * 0.0314 * 29.3)$
 $= 237 + 195$
 $= 432$ kWh

Heating savings for homes with electric heat:

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingElectric}} = ((\Delta CFM_{25DL} / ((\text{OutputCapacityHeat} / 12,000) * 400)) * FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{OutputCapacityHeat} * TRF_{\text{heat}} * \% \text{ElectricHeat}) / \eta_{\text{Heat}} / 3412$

Where:

$\text{OutputCapacityHeat}$ = Heating output capacity (Btu/hr) of electric heat
 =Actual

FLH_{heat} = Full load heating hours
 = Dependent on location as below:²⁹⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ³⁰⁰	1,821

²⁹⁹ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY STAR EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.

³⁰⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

TRFheat = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type

= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces³⁰¹

%ElectricHeat = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ³⁰²	13%

η Heat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual. If not available use:³⁰³

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP Estimate
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ³⁰⁴	N/A	N/A	1.28

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Springfield with the blower door results described above:

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = ((119 / ((36,000 / 12,000) * 400)) * 1,754 * 36,000 * 1 * 1) / 2.5 / 3412$$

$$= 734 \text{ kWh}$$

Methodology 2: Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency

Determine Distribution Efficiency by evaluating duct system before and after duct sealing using Building

³⁰¹ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

³⁰² Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

³⁰³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

³⁰⁴ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

Performance Institute “Distribution Efficiency Look-Up Table”

$$\Delta kWh = (((DE_{after} - DE_{before}) / DE_{after}) * FLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * TRF_{cool} * \%Cool) / 1000 / \eta_{cool} + (\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3)$$

Where:

DE_{after} = Distribution Efficiency after duct sealing
DE_{before} = Distribution Efficiency before duct sealing
FLH_{cool} = Full load cooling hours
= Dependent on location as below:³⁰⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{cool} Single Family	FLH _{cool} Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ³⁰⁶	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility’s definition for multifamily

Capacity_{cool} = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/hr)
= Actual
TRF_{cool} = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type
= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces
= 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces³⁰⁷
%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ³⁰⁸	66%

1000 = Converts Btu to kWh
η_{cool} = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kWh/kWh)
= Actual. If unknown assume:³⁰⁹

³⁰⁵ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

³⁰⁶ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

³⁰⁷ Thermal regain for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

³⁰⁸ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from “Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009” from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

³⁰⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
After 2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space in a single family house in Springfield, with 36,000 Btu/H SEER 11 central air conditioning, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following duct evaluation results:

$$DE_{\text{before}} = 0.85$$

$$DE_{\text{after}} = 0.92$$

Energy Savings:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} &= (((0.92 - 0.85)/0.92) * 730 * 36,000 * 1 * 1) / 1000 / 11 + (212 * 0.0314 * 29.3) \\ &= 182 + 195 \\ &= 377 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Heating savings for homes with electric heat:

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingElectric}} = ((DE_{\text{after}} - DE_{\text{before}}) / DE_{\text{after}}) * FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{OutputCapacityHeat} * TRF_{\text{heat}} * \%ElectricHeat / \eta_{\text{Heat}} / 3412$$

Where:

OutputCapacityHeat = Heating output capacity (Btu/hr) of the electric heat
= Actual

FLHheat = Full load heating hours
= Dependent on location as below:³¹⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ³¹¹	1,821

TRFheat = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type
= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces

³¹⁰ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.

³¹¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces³¹²

%ElectricHeat = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ³¹³	13%

COP = Coefficient of Performance of electric heating system³¹⁴

= Actual. If not available use:³¹⁵

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP Estimate
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ³¹⁶	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H, 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Springfield with the following duct evaluation results:

DE_{after} = 0.92

DE_{before} = 0.85

Energy Savings:

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = ((0.92 - 0.85) / 0.92) * 1,754 * 36,000 * 1 * 1 / 2.5 / 3412$$

$$= 563 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} / \text{FLH}_{\text{cool}} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

³¹² Thermal regain for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

³¹³ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

³¹⁴ Note that the HSPF of a heat pump is equal to the COP * 3.413.

³¹⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

³¹⁶ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours:
= Dependent on location as below:³¹⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ³¹⁸	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 68%³¹⁹

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
= 46.6%³²⁰

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with Natural Gas Heating:

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = \left(\left(\frac{\Delta \text{CFM}_{25\text{DL}}}{\text{InputCapacityHeat} * 0.0123} \right) * \text{FLHheat} * \text{InputCapacityHeat} * \text{TRFheat} * \% \text{GasHeat} * \left(\frac{\eta_{\text{Equipment}}}{\eta_{\text{System}}} \right) \right) / 100,000$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{CFM}_{25\text{DL}}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25

InputCapacityHeat = Heating input capacity (Btu/hr)
= Actual

0.0123 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM (0.0123CFM / Btu/hr)³²¹

FLHheat = Full load heating hours
= Dependent on location as below:³²²

³¹⁷ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY Star with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

³¹⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

³¹⁹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

³²⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

³²¹ Based on Natural Draft Furnaces requiring 100 CFM per 10,000 Btu, Induced Draft Furnaces requiring 130CFM per 10,000Btu and Condensing Furnaces requiring 150 CFM per 10,000 Btu (rule of thumb from ['Practical Standards to Measure HVAC System Performance'](#)). Data provided by GAMA during the federal rule-making process for furnace efficiency standards, suggested that in 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing units. Therefore a weighted average required airflow rate is calculated assuming a 50:50 split of natural v induced draft non-condensing furnaces, as 123 per 10,000Btu or 0.0123/Btu.

³²² Heating EFLH based on ENERGY Star EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ³²³	1,821

TRFheat = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type
= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces
= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces³²⁴

%GasHeat = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ³²⁵	87%

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

η Equipment = Heating Equipment Efficiency
= Actual.³²⁶ If not available, use 83%.³²⁷

η System = Pre duct sealing Heating System Efficiency (Equipment Efficiency * Pre Distribution Efficiency)³²⁸
= Actual. If not available, use 70%³²⁹

³²³ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

³²⁴ Thermal regain for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

³²⁵ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

³²⁶ The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

If there are more than one heating systems, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used.

If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

³²⁷ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 \times 0.92) + (0.76 \times 0.8) = 0.829$

³²⁸ The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (see 'DistributionEfficiencyTable-Blue Sheet') or by performing duct blaster testing.

³²⁹ Estimated as follows: $0.829 \times (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space in a house in Springfield with an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H (input capacity) natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: CFM50_{Whole House} = 4800 CFM50
 CFM50_{Envelope Only} = 4500 CFM50
 House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: CFM50_{Whole House} = 4600 CFM50
 CFM50_{Envelope Only} = 4500 CFM50
 House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$$\text{CFM50}_{\text{DL before}} = (4800 - 4500) * 1.29 = 387 \text{ CFM}$$

$$\text{CFM50}_{\text{DL after}} = (4600 - 4500) * 1.39 = 119 \text{ CFM}$$

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$$\Delta \text{CFM25}_{\text{DL}} = (387 - 119) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25) = 119 \text{ CFM25}$$

Energy Savings:

$$\text{Pre Distribution Efficiency} = 1 - (387/4800) = 92\%$$

$$\eta_{\text{System}} = 80\% * 92\% = 74\%$$

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = ((119 / (105,000 * 0.0123)) * 1,754 * 105,000 * 1 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000 = 183 \text{ therms}$$

Methodology 2: Evaluation of Distribution Efficiency

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = ((\text{DE}_{\text{after}} - \text{DE}_{\text{before}}) / \text{DE}_{\text{after}}) * \text{FLHheat} * \text{InputCapacityHeat} * \text{TRFheat} * \% \text{GasHeat} * (\eta_{\text{Equipment}} / \eta_{\text{System}}) / 100,000$$

Where:

DE_{after} = Distribution Efficiency after duct sealing
 DE_{before} = Distribution Efficiency before duct sealing
 %GasHeat = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ³³⁰	87%

Other variables as defined above

³³⁰ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

For example, duct sealing in unconditioned space in a house in Springfield an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H (input capacity) natural gas furnace and the following duct evaluation results:

$$DE_{\text{after}} = 0.92$$

$$DE_{\text{before}} = 0.85$$

Energy Savings:

$$\eta_{\text{System}} = 80\% * 85\% = 68\%$$

$$\Delta\text{Therm} = (((0.92 - 0.85)/0.92) * 1,754 * 105,000 * 1 * 1 * (0.8/0.68)) / 100,067$$

$$= 165 \text{ therm}$$

Mid-Life Adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied.

For electric HVAC, to calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings using the algorithms in the 'Electric Energy Savings' section using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)	2.40 COP

For gas fueled systems, because the algorithm uses input capacity (which already accounts for the equipment efficiency), the *change* in equipment efficiency needs to be accounted for. Therefore re-calculate the savings using the following algorithm:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = ((DE_{\text{after}} - DE_{\text{before}}) / DE_{\text{after}}) * FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{InputCapacityHeat} * TRF_{\text{heat}} * \%Gas_{\text{heat}} * (\eta_{\text{Equipment}} / (\eta_{\text{Equipment}_{\text{New}}} * DE_{\text{after}})) / 100,000$$

Where:

$$\eta_{\text{Equipment}_{\text{New}}} = 90\% \text{ AFUE}^{331}$$

The re-calculated reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimated to be 10 years.³³² Note: if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

³³¹ Estimate that the new baseline unit that could be purchased in the year the existing unit would have needed replacing is 90%.

³³² This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DINS-V09-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.3.5 Furnace Blower Motor

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) motor (known and referred in this measure as an electronically commutated motor (ECM)) compared to a lower efficiency motor. Time of Sale and New Construction replacement scenarios no longer apply to this measure, as federal standards make ECM blower fan motors a requirement for residential furnaces.³³³ Savings however are available from retrofitting an ECM motor into an existing furnace, or replacing an operational inefficient furnace with a new furnace with an ECM prior to the end of its life.

This measure characterizes the electric savings associated with the fan and the interactive negative therm savings due to a reduction in waste heat of the fan when operating in heating mode.

Savings decrease sharply with static pressure so duct improvements, and clean, low pressure drop filters can maximize savings. Savings occur when the blower is used for heating, cooling as well as when it is used for continuous ventilation, but only if the non-ECM motor would have been used for continuous ventilation too. If the resident runs the ECM blower continuously because it is a more efficient motor and would not run a non-ECM motor that way, savings are near zero and possibly negative. This characterization uses a 2016 Ameren Illinois study of ECM blower motors in Illinois, which accounted for the effects of this behavioral impact through surveyed results of impacted homeowners.

Retrofitting an existing blower motor with a new ECM reduces the potential impact of the high efficiency motor over a new system designed for an ECM blower motor because existing systems were not designed to capitalize and take advantage of the ECM's multi-staging features. Energy and demand savings are limited to the efficiency gains from the motor itself.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, EREP

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A brushless permanent magnet (ECM) blower motor, also known by the trademark ECM, BLDC, and other names.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A non-ECM blower motor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 6 years, which is the remaining life of existing furnaces.³³⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The capital cost for this measure as a retrofit should be actual if known; if unknown, assume \$322.³³⁵ For the early

³³³ As part of the code of federal regulations, energy conservation standards for covered residential furnace fans become effective on July 3, 2019 (10 CFR 430.32(y)). The expectation is the baseline will essentially become an ECM motor.

³³⁴ While ECM blower motors have an effective useful life of 15 year (consistent with assumed life of a BPM/ECM motor, Appendix 8-E of the DOE Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards) as this is a retrofit measure on an existing furnace blower motor, the remaining useful life of that equipment is used. For more detail, please see 5.3.7 Gas High Efficiency Furnace

³³⁵ An incremental material cost of \$97 was used and adapted from Tables 8.2.3 and 8.2.13 in the DOE Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards. Furthermore, an incremental labor time of 2.5 hours at a per hour cost of \$90 was included, bringing the total incremental cost to \$322. For more detail on the source of the labor cost estimates, please see, "Evaluation of Retrofit Variable-Speed Furnace Fan Motors", NREL, January 2014 (page 27).

replacement of existing furnaces, the full replacement cost is defined in 5.3.7 Gas High Efficiency Furnace.

LOADSHAPE

- Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling
- Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat
- Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

ECMs installed in high efficiency CACs and ASHPs do not generate peak demand cooling savings if demand savings are claimed for these systems. However, some savings are realized for fans operating in circulation mode, even during peak demand cooling periods. Circulation mode operation during peak cooling periods would only occur when a system is not operating in cooling mode, with the percent time in circulation mode calculated using the summer system peak and PJM peak coincidence factors. A metering study found 23% of fans operated continuously during the summer peak periods;³³⁶ therefore, ECMs do generate some demand savings during peak periods (when the system is not cooling). ECMs installed with CACs or ASHPs not receiving a rebate improve the cooling efficiency and therefore generate additional peak demand savings (when the system is cooling). Demand savings vary with system size and can be calculated using factors listed in the demand savings calculation table in the next section which incorporate coincidence with peak in their calculation.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{Capacity_cooling} * \text{kWhSavingsPerTon}$$

Where:

Capacity_cooling = Capacity of cooling system in tons
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

kWhSavingsPerTon = Blower fan kWh savings per ton of cooling³³⁷

The per-ton energy savings values vary by system installation scenario and location as provided below. Assumptions are also provided for installation with no or unknown cooling system.

³³⁶ See Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

³³⁷ Tons of cooling was determined to be the most straightforward multiplier to apply to systems in which the BPM is installed. The basis of the values and for more information see Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

Region	Existing ASHP	Existing CAC	Furnace, No Cooling System*	Furnace, Cooling System unknown* ³³⁸
Rockford	247	229	210	223
Chicago	245	230	208	222
Springfield	249	231	203	221
Belleville	247	235	196	222
Marion	242	231	196	219
Average	247	230	206	222
*Multiply kWh saved value by 2 tons for furnaces <70 kBTU, by 3 tons for furnaces 70 kBTU – 90 kBTU and by 4 tons for furnaces 90+ kBTU.				

For example, an BPM installed in an existing three ton, 16 SEER CAC in a home in Marion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= 3 * 231 \\ &= 693 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Capacity_cooling} * \text{kWSavingsPerTon}$$

Where:

$$\text{kWSavingsPerTon} = \text{Blower fan kW savings per ton of cooling}^{339}$$

The per-ton energy savings values vary by system installation scenario and location as provided below. Assumptions are also provided for installation with no or unknown cooling system.

Demand Savings Type	Existing ASHP	Existing CAC	Furnace, No Cooling System*	Furnace, Cooling System unknown* ³⁴⁰
SSP	0.085	0.085	0.013	0.065
PJM	0.064	0.064	0.009	0.048
*Multiply kWh saved value by 2 tons for furnaces <70 kBTU, by 3 tons for furnaces 70 kBTU – 90 kBTU and by 4 tons for furnaces 90+ kBTU.				

³³⁸ Unknown cooling system values are based on a weight of 66% existing CAC and 34% no cooling factors. Based on 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

³³⁹ Tons of cooling was determined to be the most straightforward multiplier to apply to systems in which the BPM is installed. The basis of the values and for more information see Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

³⁴⁰ Unknown cooling system values are based on a weight of 66% existing CAC and 34% no cooling factors. Based on 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

For example, a BPM installed in an existing three ton, 16 SEER CAC receiving a rebate in a home in Marion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{ssp} &= 3 * 0.0085 \\ &= 0.0255 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta kW_{pjm} &= 3 * 0.064 \\ &= 0.192 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{therms}^{341} = - \text{Heating kWh Savings} * 0.03412 / \text{AFUE}$$

Where:

$$\text{Heating kWh Savings} = \text{Heating kWh savings per ton of cooling}^{342}$$

Use the location-specific values in the following table to determine heating savings based on the size of the cooling system. If cooling size is unknown, assume 2 tons for furnaces <70 kBTU, 3 tons for furnaces 70 kBTU – 90 kBTU, and 4 tons for furnaces 90+ kBTU. If heating size is unknown or if the system does not include cooling, assume a 3-ton system.

Region	Heating Savings (kWh per ton of cooling)
Rockford	61
Chicago	59
Springfield	50
Belleville	39
Marion	39
Average	56

0.03412 = Converts kWh to therms

AFUE = Efficiency of the Furnace

= Actual. If unknown, assume 64.4 AFUE% for the existing furnace.³⁴³

For example, an ECM installed in an existing three ton CAC and 95% AFUE furnace in a home in Marion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{therms} &= (-39 \text{ kWh} * 3 \text{ tons} * 0.03412) / 0.95 \\ \Delta \text{therms} &= -4.2 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

³⁴¹ The blower fan is in the heating duct so all, or very nearly all, of its waste heat is delivered to the conditioned space. Negative value since this measure will increase the heating load due to reduced waste heat.

³⁴² Tons of cooling was determined to be the most straightforward multiplier to apply to systems in which the BPM is installed. The basis of the values and for more information see Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

³⁴³ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FBMT-V06-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.3.6 Gas High Efficiency Boiler

DESCRIPTION

High efficiency boilers achieve most gas savings through the utilization of a sealed combustion chamber and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from flue gasses. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gasses, some of the flue gasses condense and must be drained.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
 - a. The installation of a new high efficiency, gas-fired hot water boiler in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:

Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:

- The existing unit is operational when replaced, or
- The existing unit requires minor repairs (<\$709).³⁴⁴
- All other conditions will be considered Time of Sale.

The Baseline AFUE of the existing unit replaced:

- If the AFUE of the existing unit is known and $\leq 75\%$, the Baseline AFUE is the actual AFUE value of the unit replaced. If the AFUE is $> 75\%$, the Baseline AFUE = 82%.
- If the AFUE of the existing unit is unknown, use assumptions in variable list below (AFUE_{Exist}).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown, use time of sale assumptions.

A weighted average early replacement rate is provided for use when the actual baseline early replacement rates are unknown.³⁴⁵

Deemed Early Replacement Rates for Boilers

	Deemed Early Replacement Rate
Early Replacement Rate for Boiler participants	7%

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed Boiler must be ENERGY STAR qualified (AFUE rated at or greater than 90%)

³⁴⁴ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement. Note the non-inflated cost is used as this would be a cost consideration in the program year.

³⁴⁵ Based upon research from "Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program GPY2 Evaluation Report" which outlines early replacement rates for both primary and secondary central air cooling (CAC) and residential furnaces. This is used as a reasonable proxy for boiler installations since boiler specific data is not available. Report presented to Nicor Gas Company February 27, 2014.

and input capacity less than 300,000 Btu/hr).³⁴⁶

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new, gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The baseline AFUE is assumed to be 82% and is based on minimum federal appliance standards for boilers manufactured on or after September 1, 2012 and before January 15, 2021.³⁴⁷

Note: New federal appliance standards, raising the minimum AFUE from 82% to 84%, go into effect for boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021, but this baseline efficiency standards won't be adopted until 2022.

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.³⁴⁸

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.³⁴⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is dependent on tier:³⁵⁰

Measure Type	Year 2021		Year 2022	
	Installation Cost	Incremental Install Cost	Installation Cost	Incremental Install Cost
Baseline	\$3543	n/a	\$4,053	n/a
AFUE 90% (ENERGY STAR Minimum)	\$4268	\$725	\$5,519	\$1,466
AFUE 95%	\$5328	\$1,785	\$6,188	\$2,135

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,045 in 2021³⁵¹ and \$4,627 in 2022 and beyond.³⁵² This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal discount rate.

LOADSHAPE

N/A

³⁴⁶ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements, Product Specifications for Boilers, version 3.0, effective October 1, 2014 ($\geq 90\%$ AFUE for gas-fired and $\geq 87\%$ AFUE for oil-fired)

³⁴⁷ Code of Federal Regulations, effective September 1, 2012 (10 CFR 432(e)(2)). The federal baseline for boilers changes from 82% to 84% on January 15, 2021 (Code of Federal Regulations, effective January 15, 2021 (10 CFR 432(e)(3))). To prevent a change in baseline mid-program, and to account for inventory meeting the old standard still in distribution, the increase in efficiency is delayed until January 2022 when a new program year starts.

³⁴⁸ Appendix 8-F of the Department of Energy Commercial Technical Support Document, Table 8.3.3, federal residential appliance standards.

³⁴⁹ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

³⁵⁰ Based on data provided in Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3, of DOE Technical Support Documents; Table 8.5.6 LCC and PBP Results for Hot-Water Gas Boilers (High Cost). Where efficiency ratings were not provided (AFUE 90% and 95%), the values are interpolated from those given.

³⁵¹ \$3,543 inflated using 1.91% rate.

³⁵² \$4,053 inflated using 1.91% rate.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (\text{EFLH} * \text{CAP}_{\text{Input}} * (\text{AFUE}_{\text{Eff}} / \text{AFUE}_{\text{Base}} - 1)) / 100,000$$

Early replacement:³⁵³ ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= (\text{EFLH} * \text{CAP}_{\text{Input}} * (\text{AFUE}_{\text{Eff}} / \text{AFUE}_{\text{Exist}} - 1)) / 100,000$$

 ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= (\text{EFLH} * \text{CAP}_{\text{Input}} * (\text{AFUE}_{\text{Eff}} / \text{AFUE}_{\text{Base}} - 1)) / 100,000$$

Where:

 $\text{CAP}_{\text{Input}}$ = Gas Boiler input capacity (Btuh)

= Actual

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for gas heating

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH ³⁵⁴
1 (Rockford)	1022
2 (Chicago)	976
3 (Springfield)	836
4 (Belleville)	645
5 (Marion)	656
Weighted Average ³⁵⁵	928

³⁵³ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

³⁵⁴ Full load hours for Chicago, are based on findings in ‘Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1 (6/1/2011-5/31/2012) Research Report: Furnace Metering Study (August 1, 2013), prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. Values for other cities are then calculated by comparing relative HDD at base 60F.

³⁵⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

AFUE_{Exist} = Existing Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate.

If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%.³⁵⁶

AFUE_{Base} = Baseline Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= 82% if implemented prior to 2022

= 84% if implemented in 2022 and beyond

AFUE_{Eff} = Efficient Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Actual. If unknown, use defaults dependent on tier as listed below:³⁵⁷

Measure Type	AFUE(eff)
ENERGY STAR®	90%
AFUE 90%	92.5%
AFUE 95%	95%

Time of Sale:

For example, a 100,000 Btu/h, 90% AFUE ENERGY STAR boiler purchased and installed near Springfield in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (836 * 100,000 * (0.90/0.84 - 1)) / 100,000 \\ &= 59.7 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, an existing function boiler with unknown efficiency is replaced with a 100,000 Btu/h, 90% AFUE ENERGY STAR boiler purchased and installed in Springfield in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):} \\ &= (836 * 100,000 * (0.90/0.616 - 1)) / 100,000 \\ &= 385.4 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):} \\ &= (836 * 100,000 * (0.90/0.84 - 1)) / 100,000 \\ &= 59.7 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEB-V08-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

³⁵⁶ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

³⁵⁷ Default values per tier selected based upon the average AFUE value for the tier range except for the top tier where the minimum is used due to proximity to the maximum possible.

5.3.7 Gas High Efficiency Furnace

DESCRIPTION

High efficiency furnace features may include improved heat exchangers and modulating multi-stage burners.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of sale:
 - a. The installation of a new high efficiency, gas-fired condensing furnace in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:

Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:

- The existing unit is operational when replaced, or
- The existing unit requires minor repairs (<\$528).³⁵⁸
- All other conditions will be considered Time of Sale.

The Baseline AFUE of the existing unit replaced:

- If the AFUE of the existing unit is known and $\leq 75\%$, the Baseline AFUE is the actual AFUE value of the unit replaced. If the AFUE is $> 75\%$, the Baseline AFUE = 80%.
- If the AFUE of the existing unit is unknown, use assumptions in variable list below (AFUE(exist)).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown, use time of sale assumptions.

A weighted average early replacement rate is provided for use when the actual baseline early replacement rate is unknown.³⁵⁹

Deemed Early Replacement Rates For Furnaces

Replacement Scenario for the Furnace	Deemed Early Replacement Rate
Early Replacement Rate for Furnace-only participants	7%
Early Replacement Rate for a furnace when the furnace is the Primary unit in a Combined System Replacement (CSR) project	14%
Early Replacement Rate for a furnace when the furnace is the Secondary unit in a CSR project	46%

Verified Quality Installation

This approach uses in-field measurement and interpretation of static pressures, identification and plotting of airflow, airflow measurement, temperature measurement and diagnostics, pressure measurements and duct design, and BTU measurement to ensure that newly installed equipment is operating according to manufacturers' published potential performance. Installed equipment operating efficiency is largely dependent on the efficiency rating of the

³⁵⁸ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement. Note the non-inflated cost is used as this would be a cost consideration in the program year.

³⁵⁹ Based upon research from "Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program GPY2 Evaluation Report" which outlines early replacement rates for both primary and secondary central air cooling (CAC) and residential furnaces. The unit (furnace or CAC unit) that initially caused the customer to contact a trade ally is defined as the "primary unit". The furnace or CAC unit that was also replaced but did not initially prompt the customer to contact a trade ally is defined as the "secondary unit". This evaluation used different criteria for early replacement due to the availability of data after the fact; cost of any repairs < \$550 and age of unit < 20 years. Report presented to Nicor Gas Company February 27, 2014.

equipment, the skill of the installation contractor, the degree to which the equipment has aged or drifted from initial settings, and the system level constraints. When one or more of these key dependencies are operating sub-optimally, the overall efficiency of the equipment is degraded. A Verified Quality Install identifies sub-optimal performance and prescribes a solution during furnace installation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a residential sized (input energy less than 225,000 Btu/hr) natural gas fired furnace with an Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating exceeding the program requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: The current Federal Standard for gas furnaces is an AFUE rating of 80%. The baseline will be adjusted when the Federal Standard is updated.

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and a new baseline unit for the remainder of the measure life. We estimate that the new baseline unit that could be purchased in the year the existing unit would have needed replacing is 90%.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.³⁶⁰

For early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.³⁶¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental installed cost (retail equipment cost plus installation cost) for this measure depends on efficiency as listed below.³⁶²

AFUE	Installed Cost	Incremental Installed Cost
80%	\$2011	n/a
90%	\$2641	\$630
91%	\$2727	\$716
92%	\$2813	\$802
93%	\$3025	\$1014
94%	\$3237	\$1226
95%	\$3449	\$1438
96%	\$3661	\$1650
97%	\$3873	\$1862

Early Replacement: The full installed cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new 90% baseline unit is assumed to be \$2903.³⁶³ This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal discount rate.

³⁶⁰ Table 8.3.3 The Technical support documents for federal residential appliance standards.

³⁶¹ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

³⁶² Based on data from Table E.1.1 of Appendix E of the Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents including equipment cost and installation labor. Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are. Note that ECM furnace fan cost (refer to other measure in TRM) has been deducted from the 93%-96% AFUE values to avoid double counting.

³⁶³ \$2641 inflated using 1.91% rate.

Verified Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with verified quality installation has been estimated to take 1-2 hours (Tim Hanes, ESI). At \$40/hr, VQI adds \$60 to the installed cost.

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electrical energy savings from the more fan-efficient (typically using brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor) should also be claimed, please refer to “Furnace Blower Motor” characterization for details.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

If the blower motor is also used for cooling, coincident peak demand savings should also be claimed, please refer to “Furnace Blower Motor” characterization for savings details.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{EFLH * CAPInput}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE(eff) * (1 - Derating(eff))}{AFUE(base) * (1 - Derating(base))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Early replacement:³⁶⁴

$\Delta Therms$ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * CAPInput}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE(eff) * (1 - Derating(eff))}{AFUE(exist) * (1 - Derating(base))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

$\Delta Therms$ for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * CAPInput}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE(eff) * (1 - Derating(eff))}{AFUE(base) * (1 - Derating(base))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

CAPInput = Gas Furnace input capacity (Btuh)
= Actual. If unknown, use the table below:

³⁶⁴ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

Eligibility Tier	Input Capacity ³⁶⁵
AFUE ≥ 95 (all furnaces, no tiers)	84,305
AFUE ≥ 95 and < 97 tier	84,000
AFUE ≥ 97 tier	87,796

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for gas heating

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH ³⁶⁶
1 (Rockford)	1022
2 (Chicago)	976
3 (Springfield)	836
4 (Belleville)	645
5 (Marion)	656
Weighted Average ³⁶⁷	928

AFUE(exist) = Existing Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating
 = Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate.
 If unknown, assume 64.4 AFUE%.³⁶⁸

AFUE(base) = Baseline Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating
 = Dependent on program type as listed below.³⁶⁹

Program Year	AFUE(base)
Time of Sale	80%
Early Replacement ³⁷⁰	90%

AFUE(eff) = Efficient Furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating
 = Actual. If unknown, , use the table below:

Eligibility Tier	AFUE (eff) ³⁷¹
AFUE ≥ 95 (all furnaces, no tiers)	96.0
AFUE ≥ 95 and < 97 tier	95.9
AFUE ≥ 97 tier	97.5

³⁶⁵ Average Input Capacity for Northern Illinois, based on analysis of Nicor Gas 2019 Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program participant tracking data, prepared by Guidehouse, Inc., based on 12,549 furnaces rebated at the 95 AFUE Tier, and 1,103 furnaces rebated at the 97 AFUE Tier. Approximately 10% of tracked input capacities were adjusted by Guidehouse based on verification of manufacturer model numbers. Values for Southern Illinois not available.

³⁶⁶ Full load hours for Chicago, are based on findings in 'Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1 (6/1/2011-5/31/2012) Research Report: Furnace Metering Study (August 1, 2013), prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. Values for other cities are then calculated by comparing relative HDD at base 60F.

³⁶⁷ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

³⁶⁸ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

³⁶⁹ Though the Federal Minimum AFUE is 78%, there were only 50 models listed in the AHRI database at that level. At AFUE 79% the total rises to 308. There are 3,548 active furnace models listed with AFUE ratings between 78 and 80.

³⁷⁰ We estimate that the new baseline unit that could be purchased in the year the existing unit would have needed replacing is 90%.

³⁷¹ Average AFUE based on analysis of Nicor Gas 2019 Home Energy Efficiency Rebate Program participant tracking data, prepared by Guidehouse, Inc., based on 12,549 furnaces rebated at the 95 AFUE Tier, and 1,103 furnaces rebated at the 97 AFUE Tier.

Derating(base) =Baseline furnace AFUE derating
 = 6.4%³⁷²

Derating(eff) =Efficient furnace AFUE derating
 =0% if verified quality installation is performed
 =6.4% if verified quality installation is not performed or unknown³⁷³

Time of Sale:

For example, a 95% AFUE, 80,000Btuh furnace purchased and installed with verified quality installation for an existing home near Rockford:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1022 * 80,000) / (1-0) * (((0.95 * (1-0)) / (0.8 * (1-0.064))) - 1)) / 100000$$

$$= 220 \text{ therms}$$

For example, a 95% AFUE, 80,000Btuh furnace purchased and installed without verified quality installation for an existing home near Rockford:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1022 * 80,000) / (1-0.064) * (((0.95 * (1-0.064)) / (0.8 * (1-0.064))) - 1)) / 100000$$

$$= 164 \text{ therms}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, an existing functioning furnace with unknown efficiency is replaced with an 95% AFUE, 80,000Btuh furnace using quality installation in Rockford:

$$\Delta \text{Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):}$$

$$= ((1022 * 80,000) / (1-0) * (((0.95 * (1-0)) / (0.644 * (1-0.064))) - 1)) / 100000$$

$$= 471 \text{ therms}$$

$$\Delta \text{Therms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):}$$

$$= ((1022 * 80,000) / (1-0) * (((0.95 * (1-0)) / (0.9 * (1-0.064))) - 1)) / 100000$$

$$= 104 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEF-V10-210101**REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022**

³⁷² Brand, L., Yee, S., and Baker, J. "Improving Gas Furnace Performance: A Field and Laboratory Study at End of Life." Building Technologies Office. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. 2015 accessed September 6th, 2016.

³⁷³ Ibid

5.3.8 Ground Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of a Ground Source Heat Pump under the following scenarios:

- a) New Construction:
 - i. The installation of a new residential sized Ground Source Heat Pump system meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented below in a new home.
 - ii. Note the baseline in this case should be determined via EM&V and the algorithms are provided to allow savings to be calculated from any baseline condition.
- b) Time of Sale:
 - i. The planned installation of a new residential sized Ground Source Heat Pump system meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards presented below to replace an existing system(s) that does not meet the criteria for early replacement described in section c below.
 - ii. Note the baseline in this case is an equivalent replacement system to that which exists currently in the home. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.
 - iii. Additional DHW savings are calculated based upon the fuel and efficiency of the existing unit.
- c) Early Replacement/Retrofit:
 - i. The early removal of functioning either electric or gas space heating and/or cooling systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency Ground Source Heat Pump system.
 - ii. Note the baseline in this case is the existing equipment being replaced. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.
 - iii. Additional DHW savings are calculated based upon the fuel and efficiency of the existing unit.
 - iv. Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:
 - The existing unit is operational when replaced, or
 - The existing unit requires minor repairs, defined as costing less than:³⁷⁴
- v. The Baseline efficiency of the existing unit replaced:
 - If the efficiency of the existing unit is less than the maximum shown below, the Baseline efficiency is the actual efficiency value of the unit replaced. If the efficiency is greater than the maximum, the Baseline efficiency is shown in the “New Baseline” column below:

Existing System	Maximum repair cost
Air Source Heat Pump	\$276 per ton
Central Air Conditioner	\$190 per ton
Boiler	\$709
Furnace	\$528
Ground Source Heat Pump	<\$249 per ton

Existing System	Maximum efficiency for Actual	New Baseline
Air Source Heat Pump	10 SEER	14 SEER

³⁷⁴ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement.

Existing System	Maximum efficiency for Actual	New Baseline
Central Air Conditioner	10 SEER	13 SEER
Boiler	75% AFUE	82% AFUE
Furnace	75% AFUE	80% AFUE
Ground Source Heat Pump	10 SEER	14 SEER

- If the efficiency of the existing unit is unknown, use assumptions in variable list below (SEER, HSPF or AFUE exist).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown use time of sale assumptions.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment must be a Ground Source Heat Pump unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards effective at the time of installation as detailed below:

ENERGY STAR Requirements (Effective January 1, 2012)

Product Type	Cooling EER	Heating COP
Water-to-air		
Closed Loop	17.1	3.6
Open Loop	21.1	4.1
Water-to-Water		
Closed Loop	16.1	3.1
Open Loop	20.1	3.5
DGX	16	3.6

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For these products, baseline equipment includes Air Conditioning, Space Heating and Water Heating.

New Construction:

To calculate savings with an electric baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF and 11.8 EER³⁷⁵ and a Federal Standard electric hot water heater.

To calculate savings with a furnace/central AC baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an 80% AFUE Furnace and central AC meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER, 11 EER. If a gas water heater, the Federal Standard baseline is calculated as follows; $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ for tanks ≤ 55 gallons and $0.7897 - (0.0004 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ for greater than 55 gallon storage water heaters.³⁷⁶ For a 40-gallon storage water heater this would be 0.58 EF.

Time of Sale: The baseline for this measure is a new replacement unit of the same system type as the existing unit,

³⁷⁵ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula: $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

³⁷⁶ Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015.

meeting the baselines provided below.

Unit Type	Efficiency Standard
ASHP	14 SEER, 11.8 EER, 8.2 HSPF
Gas Furnace	80% AFUE
Gas Boiler	82% AFUE
Central AC	13 SEER, 11 EER

Early replacement / Retrofit: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the *existing* heating, cooling and hot water equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline heating and cooling system for the remainder of the measure life (as provided in table above except for Gas Furnace where new baseline assumption is 90% due to pending standard change).

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.³⁷⁷

For early replacement, the remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers and GSHP³⁷⁸ and 25 years for electric resistance.³⁷⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the Ground Source Heat Pump (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used (default of \$3957 per ton),³⁸⁰ minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment (\$1381 per ton for ASHP³⁸¹ or \$2011 for a new baseline 80% AFUE furnace, or \$3543 for a new 82% AFUE boiler,³⁸² and \$952 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement³⁸³).

Early Replacement: The actual full installation cost of the Ground Source Heat Pump should be used (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required). If the install cost is unknown a default is provided above, however because these assumptions do not include any additional costs that may be required for fuel switch scenarios, these defaults should not be used and actual costs should always be used for fuel switch measures.

The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$1,518 per ton for a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump, or \$2,903 for a new baseline 90% AFUE furnace, or \$4,045 for a new 82% AFUE boiler, and 1,047 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement.³⁸⁴ This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (if replacing gas heat and central AC)³⁸⁵

³⁷⁷ System life of indoor components as per DOE estimate (see 'Geothermal Heat Pumps Department of Energy'). The ground loop has a much longer life, but the compressor and other mechanical components are the same as an ASHP.

³⁷⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life of replaced equipment.

³⁷⁹ Assume full measure life (16 years) for replacing electric resistance as we would not expect that resistance heat would fail during the lifetime of the efficient measure.

³⁸⁰ Based on data provided in 'Results of Home geothermal and air source heat pump rebate incentives documented by IL electric cooperatives'.

³⁸¹ Baseline cost per ton derived from DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data. See 'ASHP_Revised DEER Measure Cost Summary.xls' for calculation.

³⁸² Furnace and boiler costs are based on data provided in Appendix E of the Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents including equipment cost and installation labor.

³⁸³ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator.

³⁸⁴ All baseline replacement costs are consistent with their respective measures and include inflation rate of 1.91%.

³⁸⁵ The baseline for calculating electric savings is an Air Source Heat Pump.

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat (if replacing electric heat with no cooling)

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (if replacing ASHP)

Note for purpose of cost effectiveness screening a fuel switch scenario, the heating kWh increase and cooling kWh decrease should be calculated separately such that the appropriate loadshape (i.e., Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat and Loadshape R08 – Residential Cooling respectively) can be applied.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 72\%^{386} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{PJM} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (average during PJM peak period)} \\ &= 46.6\%^{387} \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS AND NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Non-fuel switch measures:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= [\text{Cooling savings}] + [\text{Heating savings}] + [\text{DHW savings}] \\ &= [\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}})/1000] + [\text{FLHheat} * \\ &\quad \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{base}} - 1/(\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412))/1000] + [\text{ElecDHW} * \% \text{DHWDisplaced} \\ &\quad * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{ELEC}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)] \end{aligned}$$

Fuel switch measures:

Fuel switch measures must produce positive total annual source fuel savings (i.e., reduction in source Btus) in order to qualify. This is determined as follows (note for early replacement measures the lifetime savings should be calculated by calculating savings for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment and for the remaining measure life):

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = \text{GasHeatReplaced} - \text{GSHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{GSHPSourceCoolingImpact} + \text{GSHPSourceWaterImpact}$$

$$\text{GasHeatReplaced}^{388} = [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 1,000,000]$$

$$\text{GSHPSourceHeatConsumed} = [\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412))/1000] * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000$$

$$\text{GSHPSourceCoolingImpact} = [\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}})/1000] * H_{\text{grid}} /$$

³⁸⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

³⁸⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

³⁸⁸ Note the Gas Source to Site ratio is assumed to be 1.0.

1,000,000

$$\text{GSHPSourceWaterImpact}_{\text{Gas}} = (\% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{Gas}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 1,000,000$$

$$\text{GSHPSourceWaterImpact}_{\text{Electric}} = (\% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{Elec}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000$$

If SourceEnergySavings calculated above is positive, the measure is eligible.

Two electric algorithms and one gas algorithm are provided below. The appropriate claim is dependent on which utilities are supporting the measure as provided in a table below.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} = [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}]$$

$$= [(FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * 1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{baseASHP}}) / 1000]$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} = [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to GSHP}] + [\text{DHW savings if displacing electric DHW}]$$

$$= [FLH_{\text{cool}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}}) / 1000] + [FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * (1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{baseASHP}} - 1/(\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412)) / 1000] + [\text{ElecDHW} * \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{ELEC}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)]$$

$$\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} = [\text{Heat consumption of baseline gas system}] + [\text{DHW savings if displacing gas DHW}]$$

$$= [(FLH_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 100,000] + [(1 - \text{ElecDHW}) * \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * (1/\text{EF}_{\text{GAS EXIST}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000]$$

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} - \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} + (\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} / \text{kWh to Therm})$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}}$	$\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * \text{kWh to Therm})$
Gas utility only	N/A	$\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * \text{kWh to Therm}) + (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} * \text{kWh to Therm})$

Note for Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers or GSHP, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure. See assumptions below.

Where:

FLH_{cool} = Full load cooling hours

Dependent on location as below:³⁸⁹

³⁸⁹ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ³⁹⁰
1 (Rockford)	512	467	299
2 (Chicago)	570	506	324
3 (Springfield)	730	663	425
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940	603
5 (Marion)	903	820	526
Weighted Average ³⁹¹	629	564	362

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Capacity_cooling = Cooling Capacity of Ground Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SEERbase = SEER Efficiency of baseline unit. For early replacement measures, the actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 8 years for GSHP). If unknown assume default provided below:

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	SEERbase		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	9.3 ³⁹²	14 ³⁹³	
Ground Source Heat Pump	14 ³⁹⁴	14	
Central AC	9.3 ³⁹⁵	13 ³⁹⁶	
No central cooling	13 ³⁹⁷	13 ³⁹⁸	

EER_{PL} = Part Load EER Efficiency of efficient GSHP unit³⁹⁹

= Actual installed

FLHheat = Full load heating hours

³⁹⁰ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015. The multifamily units within this study had undergone significant shell improvements (air sealing and insulation) and therefore this set of assumptions is only appropriate for units that have recently participated in a weatherization or other shell program. Note that the FLHcool where recalculated based on existing efficiencies consistent with the TRM rather than from the metering study.

³⁹¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

³⁹² Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

³⁹³ Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015

³⁹⁴ Estimate of existing GSHP efficiency is based converting 12 EER (estimate based upon Navigant, 2018 "EIA – Technology Forecast Updates – Residential and Commercial Building Technologies – Reference Case") to SEER.

³⁹⁵ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

³⁹⁶ Minimum Federal Standard; Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.

³⁹⁷ Assumes that the decision to replace existing systems includes desire to add cooling.

³⁹⁸ Assumes that the decision to replace existing systems includes desire to add cooling.

³⁹⁹ As per conversations with David Buss territory manager for Connor Co, the SEER and COP ratings of an ASHP equate most appropriately with the part load EER and COP of a GSHP.

Dependent on location as below:⁴⁰⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH_heat
1 (Rockford)	1,969
2 (Chicago)	1,840
3 (Springfield)	1,754
4 (Belleville)	1,266
5 (Marion)	1,288
Weighted Average ⁴⁰¹	1,821

Capacity_heating = Heating Capacity of Ground Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF_{base} = Heating System Performance Factor of baseline heating system (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP, 8 years for GSHP or 15 years for electric resistance). If unknown assume default:

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	HSPF_base		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	5.54 ⁴⁰²		8.2
Ground Source Heat Pump	8.2 ⁴⁰³		8.2
Electric Resistance		3.41 ⁴⁰⁴	

COP_{PL} = Part Load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit⁴⁰⁵

= Actual Installed

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

ElecDHW = 1 if existing DHW is electrically heated

= 0 if existing DHW is not electrically heated

%DHWDisplaced = Percentage of total DHW load that the GSHP will provide

= Actual if known

⁴⁰⁰ Heating EFLH based on ENERGY STAR EFLH for Rockford, Chicago, and Springfield and on NCDC/NOAA HDD for the other two cities. In all cases, the hours were adjusted based on average natural gas heating consumption in IL. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁴⁰¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁴⁰² Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁴⁰³ Estimate of existing GSHP efficiency is assumed equivalent to a new baseline ASHP. It is recommended that this value be evaluated and adjusted for a future version.

⁴⁰⁴ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

⁴⁰⁵ As per conversations with David Buss territory manager for Connor Co, the SEER and COP ratings of an ASHP equate most appropriately with the part load EER and COP of a GSHP.

	= If unknown and if desuperheater installed,, assume 44% ⁴⁰⁶								
	= 0% if no desuperheater installed								
EF _{ELEC}	= Energy Factor (efficiency) of electric water heater								
	= Actual. If unknown or for new construction, assume federal standard: ⁴⁰⁷								
	For <=55 gallons: 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)								
	For >55 gallons: 2.057 – (0.00113 * rated volume in gallons)								
GPD	= Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person								
	= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household ⁴⁰⁸								
	= 17.6								
Household	= Average number of people per household								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Household Unit Type</th><th>Household</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Single-Family - Deemed</td><td>2.56⁴⁰⁹</td></tr> <tr> <td>Multifamily - Deemed</td><td>2.1⁴¹⁰</td></tr> <tr> <td>Custom</td><td>Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms⁴¹¹</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Household Unit Type	Household	Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁴⁰⁹	Multifamily - Deemed	2.1 ⁴¹⁰	Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁴¹¹
Household Unit Type	Household								
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁴⁰⁹								
Multifamily - Deemed	2.1 ⁴¹⁰								
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁴¹¹								
	Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily								
365.25	= Days per year								
γ _{Water}	= Specific weight of water								
	= 8.33 pounds per gallon								
T _{OUT}	= Tank temperature								
	= 125°F								
T _{IN}	= Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system								
	= 54°F ⁴¹²								
1.0	= Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)								
3412	= Conversion from Btu to kWh								
AFUE _{base}	= Baseline Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating. For early replacement measures, use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers). If unknown assume default:								

⁴⁰⁶ Assumes that the desuperheater can provide two thirds of hot water needs for eight months of the year (2/3 * 2/3 = 44%). Based on input from Doug Dougherty, Geothermal Exchange Organization.

⁴⁰⁷ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;.

⁴⁰⁸ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

⁴⁰⁹ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁴¹⁰ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁴¹¹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁴¹² US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	AFUEbase		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment) ⁴¹³	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Furnace	64.4%	90% ⁴¹⁴	80%
Boiler	61.6%	84% ⁴¹⁵	82%

EF_{GAS EXIST} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of existing gas water heater

= Actual. If unknown, assume federal standard:⁴¹⁶

For ≤ 55 gallons: 0.6483 – (0.0017 * storage capacity in gallons)

For > 55 gallons 0.7897 – (0.0004 * storage capacity in gallons)

= If tank size unknown, assume 40 gallons and EF_{Baseline} of 0.58

kWh_{toTherm} = Conversion between kWh at source/generation and Therms

= H_{grid} / 100000

H_{grid} = Heat rate of the grid in btu/kWh based on the average fossil heat rate for the EPA eGRID subregion and includes a factor that considers T&D losses.

For systems operating less than 6,500 hrs per year:

Use the Non-baseload heat rate provided by EPA eGRID for RFC West region for ComEd territory (including independent providers connected to RFC West), and SERC Midwest region for Ameren territory (including independent providers connected to SERC Midwest).⁴¹⁷ Also include any line losses.

For systems operating more than 6,500 hrs per year:

Use the All Fossil Average heat rate provided by EPA eGRID for RFC West region for ComEd territory, and SERC Midwest region for Ameren territory. Also include any line losses.

⁴¹³ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

⁴¹⁴ Assumes that Federal Standard will have been increased to 90% by the time the existing unit would have to have been replaced.

⁴¹⁵ Federal standards for boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021.

⁴¹⁶ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015.

⁴¹⁷ These values are subject to regular updates so should be reviewed regularly to ensure the current assumptions are correct. Refer to the latest EPA eGRID data. Current values, based on eGrid 2018 are:

- Non-Baseload RFC West: 10,024 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- Non-Baseload SERC Midwest: 9,871 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- All Fossil Average RFC West: 9,575 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)
- All Fossil Average SERC Midwest: 10,369 Btu/kWh * (1 + Line Losses)

Non Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples

New Construction using ASHP baseline:

For example, a 3 ton unit with Part Load EER rating of 19 and Part Load COP of 4.4 with desuperheater is installed with a 50 gallon electric water heater in single family house in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= [\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}})/1000] + [\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{HSPF}_{\text{base}} - 1/(\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412)))/1000] + [\text{ElecDHW} * \% \text{DHWDisplaced} * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{ELEC EXIST}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (\text{T}_{\text{OUT}} - \text{T}_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)] \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= [730 * 36,000 * (1/14 - 1/19) / 1000] + [1754 * 36,000 * (1/8.2 - 1/(4.4 * 3.412)) / 1000] + [1 * 0.44 * ((1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1)/3412)] \\ &= 494 + 3494 + 1328 \\ &= 5316 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement

For example, a 3 ton unit with Part Load EER rating of 19 and Part Load COP of 4.4 with desuperheater is installed in single family house in Springfield with a 50 gallon electric water heater replacing an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with unknown efficiency ratings:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):} \\ &= [730 * 36,000 * (1/9.3 - 1/19) / 1000] + [1754 * 36,000 * (1/5.54 - 1/(4.4 * 3.412)) / 1000] + [0.44 * 1 * ((1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1)/3412)] \\ &= 1443 + 7191 + 1328 \\ &= 9,963 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh for remaining measure life (next 17 years):} \\ &= (730 * 36,000 * (1/14 - 1/19) / 1000) + [1967 * 36,000 * (1/8.2 - 1/(4.4 * 3.412)) / 1000] + [0.44 * 1 * ((1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1)/3412)] \\ &= 494 + 3494 + 1328 \\ &= 5316 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Example *[for illustrative purposes a Heat Rate of 10,000 Btu/kWh is used]*

New construction using gas furnace and central AC baseline:

For example, a 3 ton unit with Part Load EER rating of 19 and Part Load COP of 4.4 in single family house in Springfield with a 40 gallon gas water heater is installed in place of a natural gas furnace and 3 ton Central AC unit:

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = \text{GasHeatReplaced} - \text{GSHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{GSHPSourceCoolingImpact} + \text{GSHPSourceWaterImpact}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{GasHeatReplaced} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 1,000,000] \\ &= [(1754 * 36,000 * 1/0.8) / 1,000,000] = 78.9 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{GSHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/(\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412))/1000] * \text{H}_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= [1754 * 36,000 * (1/(4.4 * 3.412))/1000] * 10,000/1,000,000 = 42.1 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{GSHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= [\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}})/1000] * \text{H}_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= [730 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/19) / 1000] * 10,000/1,000,000 = 6.4 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{GSHPSourceWaterImpact}_{\text{Gas}} &= (\% \text{DHWDisplaced} * ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{Gas}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (\text{T}_{\text{OUT}} - \text{T}_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 1,000,000) \\ &= (0.44 * (1/0.58 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1) / 1,000,000) = 7.4 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} = 78.9 - 42.1 + 6.4 + 7.4 = 50.6 \text{ MMBtu}$$

Continued on next page

Fuel Switch Illustrative Example continued

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\ &= [(1754 * 36,000 * 1/8.2)/1000] \\ &= 7,700 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to GSHP}] + [\text{DHW savings if displacing electric DHW}] \\ &= [730 * 36,000 * (1/13 - 1/19) / 1000] + [1754 * 36,000 * (1/8.2 - 1/(4.4 * 3.412))/1000] + [0] \\ &= 638 + 3494 + 0 \\ &= 4132 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline gas system}] + [\text{DHW savings if displacing gas DHW}] \\ &= [(1754 * 36,000 * 1/0.8) / 100,000] + [(1 - 0) * (0.44 * (1/0.58 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1) / 100,000)] \\ &= 789 + 74 \\ &= 863 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Savings would be claimed as follows:

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$4132 - 7,700 + (863/0.1)$ $= 5,062 \text{ kWh}$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	4,132 kWh	$863 - (7,700 * 0.1)$ $= 93 \text{ therms}$
Gas utility only	N/A	$863 - (7,700 * 0.1) + (4132 * 0.1)$ $= 506 \text{ therms}$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{FL}}))/1000 * \text{CF}$$

Where:

EERbase = Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, the actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year to account for degradation over time.⁴¹⁸ If unknown, assume default provided below:

⁴¹⁸ Justification for degradation factors can be found on page 21 of 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	EER_base		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	7.5 ⁴¹⁹	11 ⁴²⁰	
Ground Source Heat Pump	12	12	
Central AC	7.5 ⁴²¹	10.5 ⁴²²	
No central cooling	10.5 ⁴²³	10.5	

EER_{FL} = Full Load EER Efficiency of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit ⁴²⁴

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 72%⁴²⁵

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
= 46.6%⁴²⁶

⁴¹⁹ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁴²⁰ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. 2003 thesis referenced below.

⁴²¹ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁴²² The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

⁴²³ Assumes that the decision to replace existing systems includes desire to add cooling.

⁴²⁴ As per conversations with David Buss territory manager for Connor Co, the EER rating of an ASHP equate most appropriately with the full load EER of a GSHP.

⁴²⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁴²⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

New Construction or Time of Sale:

For example, a 3 ton unit with Full Load EER rating of 19:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} &= (36,000 * (1/11.8 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.72 \\ &= 0.83 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{PJM} &= (36,000 * (1/11 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.54 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, a 3 ton Full Load 19 EER replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with unknown efficiency ratings in Marion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):} \\ &= (36,000 * (1/7.5 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.72 \\ &= 2.09 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} \text{ for remaining measure life (next 17 years):} \\ &= (36,000 * (1/11.8 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.72 \\ &= 0.83 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):} \\ &= (36,000 * (1/7.5 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 1.35 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{PJM} \text{ for remaining measure life (next 17 years):} \\ &= (36,000 * (1/11.8 - 1/19))/1000 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.54 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Calculation provided together with Electric Energy Savings above

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

COST EFFECTIVENESS SCREENING AND LOAD REDUCTION FORECASTING WHEN FUEL SWITCHING

This measure can involve fuel switching from gas to electric.

For the purposes of forecasting load reductions due to fuel switch GSHP projects per Section 16-111.5B, changes in site energy use at the customer's meter (using ΔkWh algorithm below) adjusted for utility line losses (at-the-busbar savings), customer switching estimates, NTG, and any other adjustment factors deemed appropriate, should be used.

The inputs to cost effectiveness screening should reflect the actual impacts on the electric and fuel consumption at the customer meter and, for fuel switching measures, this will not match the output of the calculation/allocation methodology presented in the "Electric Energy Savings" and "Natural Gas Savings" sections above. Therefore in addition to the calculation of savings claimed, the following values should be used to assess the cost effectiveness of the measure. For Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers or GSHP, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for

the remaining years of the measure.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= [\text{Heating Consumption Replaced}] + [\text{DHW Savings if gas}] \\ &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 100,000] + [(1 - \text{ElecDHW}) * \\ &\quad \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * (1/\text{EF}_{\text{GAS EXIST}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) \\ &\quad / 100,000)] \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= - [\text{GSHP heating consumption}] + [\text{Cooling savings}] + [\text{DHW savings if electric}] \\ &= - [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412))/1000] + [(\text{FLHcool} * \\ &\quad \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}}))/1000] + [\text{ElecDHW} * \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * \\ &\quad ((1/\text{EF}_{\text{ELEC}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)]\end{aligned}$$

Illustrative Example of Cost Effectiveness Inputs for Fuel Switching

For example, a 3 ton unit with Part Load EER rating of 19 and Part Load COP of 4.4 in single family house in Springfield with a 40 gallon gas water heater replaces an existing working natural gas furnace and 3 ton Central AC unit with unknown efficiency ratings. [Note the calculation provides the annual savings for the first 8 years of the measure life, an additional calculation (not shown) would be required to calculate the annual savings for the remaining life (years 9-25)]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}) / 100,000] + [(1 - \text{ElecDHW}) * \\ &\quad \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * (1/\text{EF}_{\text{GAS EXIST}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * \\ &\quad 1.0) / 100,067)] \\ &= [1754 * 36,000 * 1/0.8] / 100,000 + [(1 - 0) * 0.44 * (1/0.58 * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * \\ &\quad (125-54) * 1) / 100,0067)] \\ &= 789 + 74 \\ &= 863 \text{ therms} \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= - [(\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/\text{COP}_{\text{PL}} * 3.412))/1000] + [(\text{FLHcool} * \\ &\quad \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{exist}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{PL}}))/1000] + [\text{ElecDHW} * \% \text{DHWD} \text{Displaced} * \\ &\quad (((1/\text{EF}_{\text{ELEC}}) * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)] \\ &= - [(1754 * 36,000 * (1/(4.4 * 3.412)))/ 1000] + [(730 * 36,000 * (1/9.3 - 1/19))/ 1000] + [0 * \\ &\quad 0.44 * (((1/0.904) * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1)/3412)] \\ &= -4206 + 1443 + 0 \\ &= -2763 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GSHP-V10-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.3.9 High Efficiency Bathroom Exhaust Fan

DESCRIPTION

This market opportunity measure is split into the purchase of a new bathroom fan for typical usage, and to meet the need for continuous mechanical ventilation due to reduced air-infiltration from a tighter building shell. In retrofit projects, existing fans may be too loud, or insufficient in other ways, to be operated as required for proper ventilation. This measure assumes fan capacities between 10 and 200 CFM rated at a sound level of less than 2.0 sonas at 0.1 inches of water column static pressure, or 50 CFM if used for continuous ventilation. All eligible installations shall be sized to provide the mechanical ventilation rate indicated by ASHRAE 62.2.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

New efficient ENERGY STAR or ENERGY STAR Most Efficient exhaust-only ventilation fan, quiet (< 2.0 sonas) operating in accordance with recommended ventilation rate indicated by ASHRAE 62.2 – 2016.⁴²⁷ ENERGY STAR specifications (effective October 1, 2015) and 2018 Most Efficient specifications are provided below:

Efficiency Level	Fan Capacity	Minimum Efficacy Level (CFM/Watts)	Maximum Allowable Sound Level (sonas)
ENERGY STAR	10 – 89 CFM	2.8	2.0
	90 – 200 CFM	3.5	
ENERGY STAR Most Efficient	All	10	

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

New standard efficiency exhaust-only ventilation fan.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 19 years.⁴²⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Incremental cost per installed fan is \$43.50 for quiet, efficient fans.⁴²⁹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R11 - Residential Ventilation

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to be 100% because the fan runs continuously.

⁴²⁷ Bi-level controls may be used by efficient fans larger than 50 CFM

⁴²⁸ Conservative estimate based upon GDS Associates Measure Life Report “Residential and C&I Lighting and HVAC measures” 25 years for whole-house fans, and 19 for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.

⁴²⁹ VEIC analysis using cost data collected from wholesale vendor.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\text{CFM} * (1/\eta_{\text{BASELINE}} - 1/\eta_{\text{EFFICIENT}})/1000) * \text{Hours}$$

Where:

CFM	= Nominal Capacity of the exhaust fan
	= Actual or use defaults provided below
	= Assume 50CFM for continuous ventilation ⁴³⁰
η_{BASELINE}	= Average efficacy for baseline fan (CFM/watts)
	= See table below
$\eta_{\text{EFFICIENT}}$	= Average efficacy for efficient fan (CFM/watts)
	= Actual or use defaults provided below
Hours	= assumed annual run hours,
	= 1089 for standard usage ⁴³¹
	= 8766 for continuous ventilation.

Defaults provided below:⁴³²

Application	Min CFM	Max CFM	Average CFM	Base CFM/Watts	ENERGY STAR		ENERGY STAR Most Efficient	
					CFM/Watts	Δ kWh Savings	CFM/Watts	Δ kWh Savings
Standard usage	10	89	70.6	1.7	4.9	28.9	12.0	38.2
	90	200	116.1	2.6	5.6	25.3	13.9	38.7
	Unknown		92.4	2.2	5.3	27.4	12.9	38.6
Continuous usage	N/A		50	1.7	5.1	170.7	11.2	216.9

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = (\text{CFM} * (1/\eta_{\text{BASELINE}} - 1/\eta_{\text{EFFICIENT}})/1000) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

CF	= Summer Peak Coincidence Factor
	= 0.135 for standard usage
	= 1.0 for continuous operation
	Other variables as defined above

⁴³⁰ 50CFM is the closest available fan size to ASHRAE 62.2 Section 4.1 Whole House Ventilation rates based upon typical square footage and bedrooms.

⁴³¹ Assumed to be consistent with Residential Indoor Lighting hours of use.

⁴³² Based on review of Bathroom Exhaust Fan product available on CEC Appliance Database, accessed 6/18/2018. See 'CEC Bath Fan.xls' for more information.

Application	Min CFM	Max CFM	Average CFM	ENERGY STAR Δ kW Savings	ENERGY STAR Most Efficient Δ kW Savings
Standard usage	10	89	70.6	0.0036	0.0047
	90	200	116.1	0.0031	0.0048
	Unknown		92.4	0.0034	0.0048
Continuous usage	N/A		50	0.0195	0.0247

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BAFA-V02-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.3.10 HVAC Tune Up (Central Air Conditioning or Air Source Heat Pump)

DESCRIPTION

This measure involves the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow over the central air conditioning or heat pump unit coil, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement. Measurements must be performed with standard industry tools and the results tracked by the efficiency program.

Savings from this measure are developed using a reputable Wisconsin study. It is recommended that future evaluation be conducted in Illinois to generate a more locally appropriate characterization.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

This measure assumes that the existing unit being maintained is either a residential central air conditioning unit or an air source heat pump that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 3 years.⁴³³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

If the implementation mechanism involves delivering and paying for the tune up service, the actual cost should be used. If however the customer is provided a rebate and the program relies on private contractors performing the work, the measure cost should be assumed to be \$225.⁴³⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
= 68%⁴³⁵

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)

⁴³³ Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for "Clean Condenser Coils – Residential" and "Refrigerant Charge – Residential".

⁴³⁴ Based on personal communication with HVAC efficiency program consultant Buck Taylor or Roltay Inc., 6/21/10, who estimated the cost of tune up at \$125 to \$225, depending on the market and the implementation details. The average value of \$175 has been increased by inflation to give an estimate of \$225 in 2021.

⁴³⁵ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

$$= 72\%^{436}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{437}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Central AC}} = (\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{CAC}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{Air Source Heat Pump}} = ((\text{FLHcool} * \text{Capacity_cooling} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{ASHP}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}) + (\text{FLHheat} * \text{Capacity_heating} * (1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{ASHP}}))/1000 * \text{MFe}$$

Where:

FLHcool = Full load cooling hours

Dependent on location as below:⁴³⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLHcool Single Family	FLHcool Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ⁴³⁹	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Capacity_cooling = Cooling capacity of equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

= Actual

SEER_{CAC} = SEER Efficiency of existing central air conditioning unit receiving maintenance

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER ⁴⁴⁰

MFe = Maintenance energy savings factor

= 0.05⁴⁴¹

SEER_{ASHP} = SEER Efficiency of existing air source heat pump unit receiving maintenance

⁴³⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁴³⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁴³⁸ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁴³⁹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁴⁴⁰ Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

⁴⁴¹ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research."

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER ⁴⁴²

FLH_{heat} = Full load heating hours

Dependent on location:⁴⁴³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH _{heat}
1 (Rockford)	2208
2 (Chicago)	2064
3 (Springfield)	1967
4 (Belleville)	1420
5 (Marion)	1445
Weighted Average ⁴⁴⁴	1821

Capacity_{heating} = Heating capacity of equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

= Actual

HSPF_{ASHP} = Heating Season Performance Factor of existing air source heat pump unit receiving maintenance

= Actual. If unknown assume 6.8 HSPF ⁴⁴⁵

For example, maintenance of a 3-ton, SEER 10 air conditioning unit in a single family house in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{CAC}} &= (730 * 36,000 * (1/10))/1000 * 0.05 \\ &= 131 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

For example, maintenance of a 3-ton, SEER 10, HSPF 6.8 air source heat pump unit in a single family house in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{ASHP}} &= ((730 * 36,000 * (1/10))/1000 * 0.05) + (1967 * 36,000 * (1/6.8))/1000 * 0.05 \\ &= 652 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \text{Capacity}_{\text{cooling}} * (1/\text{EER})/1000 * \text{MFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

EER = EER Efficiency of existing unit receiving maintenance in Btu/H/Watts

⁴⁴² Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

⁴⁴³ Full load heating hours for heat pumps are provided for Rockford, Chicago and Springfield in the ENERGY STAR Calculator. Estimates for the other locations were calculated based on the FLH to Heating Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio. VEIC consider ENERGY STAR estimates to be high due to oversizing not being adequately addressed. Using average Illinois billing data (from [Illinois Commerce Commission](#)) VEIC estimated the average gas heating load and used this to estimate the average home heating output (using 83% average gas heat efficiency). Dividing this by a typical 36,000 Btu/hr ASHP gives an estimate of average ASHP FLH_{heat} of 1821 hours. We used the ratio of this value to the average of the locations using the ENERGY STAR data (1994 hours) to scale down the ENERGY STAR estimates. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁴⁴⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁴⁴⁵ Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 6.8 HSPF is a VEIC estimate based on minimum Federal Standard between 1992 and 2006.

	= Calculate using Actual SEER
	= $-0.02 * SEER^2 + 1.12 * SEER$ ⁴⁴⁶
MFd	= Maintenance demand savings factor
	= 0.02 ⁴⁴⁷
CF _{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
	= 68% ⁴⁴⁸
CF _{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
	= 72% ⁴⁴⁹
CF _{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C and Heat Pumps (average during peak period)
	= 46.6% ⁴⁵⁰

For example, maintenance of 3-ton, SEER 10 (equals EER 9.2) CAC unit:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} &= 36,000 * 1/(9.2)/1000 * 0.02 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0532 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta kW_{PJM} &= 36,000 * 1/(9.2)/1000 * 0.02 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.0365 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

Conservatively not included.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-TUNE-V06-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

⁴⁴⁶ Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁴⁴⁷ Based on June 2010 personal conversation with Scott Pigg, author of Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; “Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research” suggesting the average WI unit system draw of 2.8kW under peak conditions, and average peak savings of 50W.

⁴⁴⁸ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

⁴⁴⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC’s 2010 system peak; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁴⁵⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

5.3.11 Programmable Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new or reprogramming of an existing Programmable Thermostat for reduced heating energy consumption through temperature set-back during unoccupied or reduced demand times. Because a literature review was not conclusive in providing a defensible source of prescriptive cooling savings from programmable thermostats, cooling savings from programmable thermostats are assumed to be zero for this version of the measure. It is not appropriate to assume a similar pattern of savings from setting a thermostat down during the heating season and up during the cooling season. Note that the EPA's EnergyStar program is developing a new specification for this project category, and if/when evaluation results demonstrate consistent cooling savings, subsequent versions of this measure will revisit this assumption.⁴⁵¹ Since energy savings are applicable at the household level, savings should only be claimed for one thermostat of any type (i.e., one programmable thermostat or one advanced thermostat), installation of multiple thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only temperature control, with one that has the capability to adjust temperature setpoints according to a schedule without manual intervention. This category of equipment is broad and rapidly advancing in regards to the capability, and usability of the controls and their sophistication in setpoint adjustment and information display, but for the purposes of this characterization, eligibility is perhaps most simply defined by what it is not: a manual only temperature control.

For the thermostat reprogramming measure, the auditor consults with the homeowner to determine an appropriate set back schedule, reprograms the thermostat and educates the homeowner on its appropriate use.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For new thermostats the baseline is a non-programmable thermostat requiring manual intervention to change temperature setpoint.

For the purpose of thermostat reprogramming, an existing programmable thermostat that an auditor determines is being used in override mode or otherwise effectively being operated like a manual thermostat.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a programmable thermostat is assumed to be 16 years, however concerns over persistence over a population result in the application of a mid-life adjustment to reduce annual savings during the measure lifetime.⁴⁵² For reprogramming, the measure life of 2 years is assumed.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown (e.g., through a retail program) the capital cost for the new installation measure is assumed to be \$30.⁴⁵³ The cost for reprogramming

⁴⁵¹ The ENERGY STAR program discontinued its support for this measure category effective 12/31/09, and is presently developing a new specification for 'Residential Climate Controls'.

⁴⁵² 8 years is based upon ASHRAE Applications (2003), Section 36, Table 3 estimate of 16 years for the equipment life, reduced by 50% to account for persistence issues.

⁴⁵³ Market prices vary significantly in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The basic functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market for the listed price.

is assumed to be \$10 to account for the auditor's time to reprogram and educate the homeowner.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A due to no savings attributable to cooling during the summer peak period.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{454} = \% \text{ElectricHeat} * \text{Elec_Heating_Consumption} * \text{Heating_Reduction} * \text{HF} * \text{Eff_ISR} + (\Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3)$$

Where:

$\% \text{ElectricHeat}$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	$\% \text{ElectricHeat}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	6.5% ⁴⁵⁵

$\text{Elec_Heating_Consumption}$

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes.⁴⁵⁶ If location and heating type is unknown, assume 15,683 kWh.⁴⁵⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Electric Resistance Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)	Electric Heat Pump Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)
1 (Rockford)	21,748	12,793
2 (Chicago)	20,777	12,222
3 (Springfield)	17,794	10,467

⁴⁵⁴ Note the second part of the algorithm relates to furnace fan savings if the heating system is Natural Gas.

⁴⁵⁵ Assumes that half of the electric heat in the state is a heat pump able to be controlled by an advanced thermostat (consistent with Potential Study results from the state). Average value of 13% electric space heating from 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁴⁵⁶ Values in table are based on converting an average household heating load (834 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table E-1, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1: Research Report: Furnace Metering Study, Draft, Navigant, August 1 2013 to an electric heat load (divide by 0.03412) to electric resistance and ASHP heat load (resistance load reduced by 15% to account for distribution losses that occur in furnace heating but not in electric resistance while ASHP heat is assumed to suffer from similar distribution losses) and then to electric consumption assuming efficiencies of 100% for resistance and 200% for HP (see 'Household Heating Load Summary Calculations_08222018.xls'). Finally these values were adjusted to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

⁴⁵⁷ Assumption that 1/2 of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 1/2 have Heat Pump, based on 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Electric Resistance Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)	Electric Heat Pump Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)
4 (Belleville)	13,726	8,074
5 (Marion)	13,970	8,218
Average	19,749	11,617

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to programmable thermostat

$$= 6.2\%^{458}$$

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Mobile home	83% ⁴⁵⁹
Multifamily	65% ⁴⁶⁰
Unknown	96.5% ⁴⁶¹
Actual	Custom ⁴⁶²

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and programmed effectively

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other, or unknown	56% ⁴⁶³

Δ Therms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system

= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

⁴⁵⁸ The savings from programmable thermostats are highly susceptible to many factors best addressed, so far for this category, by a study that controlled for the most significant issues with a very large sample size. To the extent that the treatment group is representative of the program participants for IL, this value is suitable. Higher and lower values would be justified based upon clear dissimilarities due to program and product attributes. Future evaluation work should assess program specific impacts associated with penetration rates, baseline levels, persistence, and other factors which this value represents.

⁴⁵⁹ Since mobile homes are similar to Multifamily homes with respect to conditioned floor area but to single-family homes with respect to exposure (i.e., all four wall orientations are adjacent to the outside), this factor is estimated as an average of the single family and multifamily household factors.

⁴⁶⁰ Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% factor is applied to MF homes based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

⁴⁶¹ When Household type is unknown, a value of 96.5% may be used as a weighted average of 90% SF and 10% MF (96.5% = 100%*90% + 65%*10%) based on a Navigant evaluation of PY8 participants in ComEd's advanced thermostat program.

⁴⁶² Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

⁴⁶³ "Programmable Thermostats. Report to KeySpan Energy Delivery on Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness," GDS Associates, Marietta, GA. 2002GDS

$$= 3.14\%^{464}$$

29.3

= kWh per therm

For example, a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric resistance heated, single-family home in Springfield:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= 1 * 17,794 * 0.062 * 100\% * 100\% + (0 * 0.0314 * 29.3) \\ &= 1,103 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A due to no savings from cooling during the summer peak period.

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilHeat} * \text{Gas_Heating_Consumption} * \text{Heating_Reduction} * \text{HF} * \text{Eff_ISR}$$

Where:

%FossilHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	93.5% ⁴⁶⁵

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below.⁴⁶⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Heating_Consumption (therms)
1 (Rockford)	1,052
2 (Chicago)	1,005
3 (Springfield)	861
4 (Belleville)	664
5 (Marion)	676

⁴⁶⁴ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁴⁶⁵ Assumes that half of the electric heat in the state is a heat pump able to be controlled by an advanced thermostat. Data from 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

⁴⁶⁶ Values are based on adjusting the average household heating load (834 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table E-1, Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1, Research Report: Furnace Metering Study', divided by standard assumption of existing unit efficiency of 83% (estimate based on 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing in 2000 (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy), assuming typical efficiencies: (0.24*0.92) + (0.76*0.8) = 0.83) to give 1005 therms. This Chicago value was then adjusted to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Heating_ Consumption (therms)
Average	955

For example, a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a gas heated single-family home in Chicago:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * 1005 * 0.062 * 100\% * 100\% \\ &= 62.3 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

Due to concerns that across a population the savings for programmable thermostats are likely to decline through the technical lifetime of the thermostat,⁴⁶⁷ a mid-life adjustment should be applied. The mid-life adjustment should be applied in year 6 (i.e., after five years of full savings) and is calculated as 28%. This results in a consistent lifetime savings as applying a 50% reduction to the technical lifetime. This adjustment should be applied to both electric or therm heating savings.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PROG-V07-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

⁴⁶⁷ This concern is based on consideration of the findings from a number of evaluations, including Sachs et al, *“Field Evaluation of Programmable Thermostats”*, US DOW Building Technologies Program, December 2012, p35; “low proportion of households that ended up using thermostat-enabled energy saving settings”, and Meier et al., *“Usability of residential thermostats: Preliminary investigations”*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, March 2011, p1; “The majority of occupants operated thermostats manually, rather than relying on their programmable features and almost 90% of respondents reported that they rarely or never adjusted the thermostat to set a weekend or weekday program. Photographs of thermostats were collected in one on-line survey, which revealed that about 20% of the thermostats displayed the wrong time and that about 50% of the respondents set their programmable thermostats on “long term hold” (or its equivalent).”

5.3.12 Ductless Heat Pumps

DESCRIPTION

This measure is designed to calculate electric savings for the installation of a ductless mini-split heat pump (DMSHP). DMSHPs save energy in heating mode because they provide heat more efficiently than electric resistance heat and central ASHP systems. Additionally, DMSHPs use less fan energy to move heat and don't incur heat loss through a duct distribution system.

For cooling, the proposed savings calculations are aligned with those of typical replacement systems. DMSHPs save energy in cooling mode because they provide cooling capacity more efficiently than other types of unitary cooling equipment. A DMSHP installed in a home with a central ASHP system will save energy by offsetting some of the cooling energy of the ASHP. In order for this measure to apply, the control strategy for the heat pump is assumed to be chosen to maximize savings per installer recommendation.⁴⁶⁸

This measure characterizes the following scenarios:

- a) New Construction:
 - a. The installation of a new DMSHP meeting efficiency standards required by the program in a new home.
 - b. Note the baseline in this case should be determined via EM&V and the algorithms are provided to allow savings to be calculated from any baseline condition.
- b) Time of Sale:
 - a. The planned installation of a new DMSHP meeting efficiency standards required by the program to replace an existing system(s) that does not meet the criteria for early replacement described in section c below.
 - b. Note the baseline in this case is an equivalent replacement system to that which exists currently in the home. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.
- c) Early Replacement/Retrofit:
 - a. The early removal or displacement of functioning either electric or gas space heating and/or cooling systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new DMSHP.
 - b. Note the baseline in this case is the existing equipment being replaced/displaced. The calculation of savings is dependent on whether an incentive for the installation has been provided by both a gas and electric utility, just an electric utility or just a gas utility.
 - c. Early Replacement determination will be based on meeting the following conditions:
 - The existing unit is operational when replaced/displaced, or
 - The existing unit requires minor repairs, defined as costing less than:⁴⁶⁹

Existing System	Maximum repair cost
Air Source Heat Pump	\$276 per ton
Central Air Conditioner	\$190 per ton

⁴⁶⁸ The whole purpose of installing ductless heat pumps is to conserve energy, so the installer can be assumed to be capable of recommending an appropriate controls strategy. For most applications, the heating setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F higher than any remaining existing system and the cooling setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F cooler than the existing system (this should apply to all periods of a programmable schedule, if applicable). This helps ensure that the ductless heat pump will be used to meet as much of the load as possible before the existing system operates to meet the remaining load. Ideally, the new ductless heat pump controls should be set to the current comfort settings, while the existing system setpoints should be adjusted down (heating) and up (cooling) to capture savings.

⁴⁶⁹ The Technical Advisory Committee agreed that if the cost of repair is less than 20% of the new baseline replacement cost it can be considered early replacement.

Existing System	Maximum repair cost
Boiler	\$709
Furnace	\$528
Ground Source Heat Pump	<\$249 per ton

- All other conditions will be considered Time of Sale.
- d. The Baseline efficiency of the existing unit replaced:
- If the efficiency of the existing unit is less than the maximum shown below, the Baseline efficiency is the actual efficiency value of the unit replaced. If the efficiency is greater than the maximum, the Baseline efficiency is shown in the “New Baseline” column below:

Existing System	Maximum efficiency for Actual	New Baseline ⁴⁷⁰
Air Source Heat Pump	10 SEER	14 SEER
Central Air Conditioner	10 SEER	13 SEER
Boiler	75% AFUE	82% AFUE
Furnace	75% AFUE	80% AFUE
Ground Source Heat Pump	10 SEER	13 SEER

- If the efficiency of the existing unit is unknown, use assumptions in variable list below (SEER, HSPF or AFUE exist).
- If the operational status or repair cost of the existing unit is unknown use time of sale assumptions.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the new equipment must be a high-efficiency, variable-capacity (typically “inverter-driven” DC motor) ductless heat pump system that exceeds the program minimum efficiency requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For these products, baseline equipment includes Air Conditioning and Space Heating:

New Construction:

To calculate savings with an electric baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF and 11 EER.⁴⁷¹

To calculate savings with a furnace/central AC baseline, the baseline equipment is assumed to be an 80% AFUE Furnace and central AC meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level; 13 SEER, 10.5 EER.⁴⁷²

Time of Sale: The baseline for this measure is a new replacement unit of the same system type as the existing unit, meeting the baselines provided below.

Unit Type	Efficiency Standard
ASHP	14 SEER, 11 EER, 8.2 HSPF
Gas Furnace	80% AFUE

⁴⁷⁰ Based on relevant Federal Standards.

⁴⁷¹ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See ‘AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018’.

⁴⁷² The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See ‘AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018’.

Unit Type	Efficiency Standard
Gas Boiler	82% AFUE
Central AC	13 SEER, 10.5 EER

Early replacement / Retrofit: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the *existing* heating and cooling equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline heating and cooling system for the remainder of the measure life (as provided in table above except for Gas Furnace where new baseline assumption is 90% due to pending standard change). Note that in order to claim cooling savings, there must be an existing air conditioning system.

For multifamily buildings, each residence must have existing individual heating equipment. Multifamily residences with central heating do not qualify for this characterization.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 15 years.⁴⁷³

For early replacement, the remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers⁴⁷⁴ and 15 years for electric resistance.⁴⁷⁵

DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used (defaults are provided below), minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment (\$1,381 per ton for ASHP,⁴⁷⁶ or \$2,011 for a new baseline 80% AFUE furnace, or \$3,543 for a new 82% AFUE boiler,⁴⁷⁷ and \$952 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement⁴⁷⁸).

Default full cost of the DMSHP is provided below. Note, for smaller units a minimum cost of \$2,000 should be applied.⁴⁷⁹

Unit Size	Full Install Cost (\$/ton) ⁴⁸⁰
9-9.9	\$1,443
10-10.9	\$1,605
11-12.9	\$1,715
13+	\$2,041

The incremental cost of the DSMHP compared to a baseline minimum efficiency DSMHP is provided in the table

⁴⁷³ [Based on 2016 DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document](#), as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

⁴⁷⁴ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life of replaced equipment.

⁴⁷⁵ Assume full measure life (16 years) for replacing electric resistance as we would not expect that resistance heat would fail during the lifetime of the efficient measure.

⁴⁷⁶ Baseline cost per ton derived from DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data. See 'ASHP_Revised DEER Measure Cost Summary.xls' for calculation.

⁴⁷⁷ Furnace and boiler costs are based on data provided in Appendix E of the Appliance Standards Technical Support Documents including equipment cost and installation labor. Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are.

⁴⁷⁸ Based on 3 ton initial cost estimate for a conventional unit from ENERGY STAR Central AC calculator

⁴⁷⁹ The cost per ton table provides reasonable estimates for installation costs of DMSHP, which can vary significantly due to requirements of the home. It is estimated that all units, even those 1 ton or less will be at least \$2000 to install.

⁴⁸⁰ Full costs based upon full install cost of an ASHP plus incremental costs provided in Memo from Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pumps: Incremental Cost Analysis, April 27, 2017.

below:⁴⁸¹

Efficiency (HSPF)	Incremental Cost (\$/ton) over an HSPF 8.0 DHP
9-9.9	\$62
10-10.9	\$224
11-12.9	\$334
13+	\$660

Early Replacement/retrofit (replacing existing equipment): The actual full installation cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$1,518 per ton for a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump, or \$2,903 for a new baseline 90% AFUE furnace or \$4,045 for a new 82% AFUE boiler and \$1,047 per ton for new baseline Central AC replacement.⁴⁸² If replacing electric resistance heat, there is no deferred replacement cost. This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.

Where the DMSHP is a supplemental HVAC system, the full installation cost of the DMSHP (including any necessary electrical or distribution upgrades required) should be used without a deferred replacement cost.

If the install cost is unknown a default is provided above, however because these assumptions do not include any additional costs that may be required for fuel switch scenarios, these defaults should not be used and actual costs should always be used for fuel switch measures.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (if replacing gas heat and central AC)⁴⁸³

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat (if replacing electric heat with no cooling)

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling (if replacing ASHP)

Note for purpose of cost effectiveness screening a fuel switch scenario, the heating kWh increase and cooling kWh decrease should be calculated separately such that the appropriate loadshape (i.e., Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat and Loadshape R08 – Residential Cooling respectively) can be applied.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in four different ways below. The first two relate to the use of DMSHP to supplement existing cooling or provide limited zonal cooling, the second two relate to use of the DMSHP to provide whole house cooling. In each pair, the first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market. Both values provided are based on metering data for 40 DMSHPs in Ameren Illinois service territory.⁴⁸⁴

For supplemental or limited zonal cooling:

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for DMSHP (during utility peak hour)
= 43.1%⁴⁸⁵

⁴⁸¹ Memo from Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pumps: Incremental Cost Analysis, April 27, 2017

⁴⁸² All baseline replacement costs are consistent with their respective measures and include inflation rate of 1.91%.

⁴⁸³ The baseline for calculating electric savings is an Air Source Heat Pump.

⁴⁸⁴ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015

⁴⁸⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{PJM} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for DMSHP (average during PJM peak period)} \\ &= 28.0\%^{486} \end{aligned}$$

For whole house cooling:

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 72\%^{487} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{PJM} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (average during PJM peak period)} \\ &= 46.6\%^{488} \end{aligned}$$

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS AND NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Non fuel switch measures:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heating Savings}] \\ &= [(Capacity_{cool} * EFLH_{cool} * (1/SEER_{Base} - 1/SEER_{ee}))/1000] + [(Capacity_{heat} * EFLH_{heat} * (1/HSPF_{Base} - 1/HSPF_{ee})) / 1000] \end{aligned}$$

Fuel switch measures:

Fuel switch measures must produce positive total annual source fuel savings (i.e., reduction in source Btus) in order to qualify. This is determined as follows (note for early replacement measures the lifetime savings should be calculated by calculating savings for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment and for the remaining measure life):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} &= \text{GasHeatReplaced} - \text{DMSHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{DMSHPSourceCoolingImpact} \\ \text{GasHeatReplaced}^{489} &= [(FLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heating} * 1/AFUE_{base}) / 1,000,000] \\ \text{DMSHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [(Capacity_{heat} * EFLH_{heat} * (1/HSPF_{ee}))/1000] * H_{grid} / 1,000,000 \\ \text{DMSHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= ((Capacity_{cool} * EFLH_{cool} * (1/SEER_{Base} - 1/SEER_{ee}))/1000) * H_{grid} / 1,000,000 \end{aligned}$$

If SourceEnergySavings calculated above is positive, the measure is eligible.

⁴⁸⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁴⁸⁷ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁴⁸⁸ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

⁴⁸⁹ Note the Gas Source to Site ratio is assumed to be 1.0.

Two electric algorithms and one gas algorithm are provided below. The appropriate claim is dependent on which utilities are supporting the measure as provided in a table below.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\ &= [(Capacity_{\text{heat}} * EFLH_{\text{heat}} * 1/HSPF_{\text{baseASHP}})/1000] \\ \Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} &= [\text{Cooling Savings}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to Efficient DMSHP}] \\ &= ((Capacity_{\text{cool}} * EFLH_{\text{cool}} * (1/SEER_{\text{Base}} - 1/SEER_{\text{ee}})) / 1000) + ((Capacity_{\text{heat}} * EFLH_{\text{heat}} * (1/HSPF_{\text{Base}} - 1/HSPF_{\text{ee}})) / 1000) \\ \Delta Therm_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Replaced Heat consumption of baseline gas system}] \\ &= [(Capacity_{\text{heat}} * EFLH_{\text{heat}} * 1/AFUE_{\text{base}}) / 100,000]\end{aligned}$$

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} - \Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} + (\Delta Therm_{\text{FuelSwitch}} / kWh_{\text{toTherm}})$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	$\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}}$	$\Delta Therm_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * kWh_{\text{toTherm}})$
Gas utility only	N/A	$\Delta Therm_{\text{FuelSwitch}} - (\Delta kWh_{\text{FuelSwitch}} * kWh_{\text{toTherm}}) + (\Delta kWh_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} * kWh_{\text{toTherm}})$

Note for Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure. See assumptions below.

Where:

$Capacity_{\text{cool}}$ = the cooling capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr⁴⁹⁰
= Actual installed

$EFLH_{\text{cool}}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling. Depends on location. See table below.⁴⁹¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{\text{cool}}$
1 (Rockford)	323
2 (Chicago)	308
3 (Springfield)	468
4 (Belleville)	629
5 (Marion)	549
Weighted Average ⁴⁹²	364

$SEER_{\text{base}}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, the actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate

⁴⁹⁰ 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

⁴⁹¹ *All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems*, Cadmus, October 2015. FLH values are based on metering of Multifamily units, and in buildings that had received weatherization improvements. Additional evaluation is recommended to refine the EFLH assumptions for the general population.

⁴⁹² Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC), if unknown assume default provided below:

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	SEERbase		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	9.3 ⁴⁹³	14 ⁴⁹⁴	
Central AC	9.3 ⁴⁹⁵	13 ⁴⁹⁶	
Room AC	8.0 ⁴⁹⁷	13	
No central cooling	Make '1/SEER_exist' = 0 ⁴⁹⁸	13 ⁴⁹⁹	

$SEER_{ee}$ = SEER rating of new equipment (kbtu/kwh)

= Actual installed⁵⁰⁰

$Capacity_{heat}$ = Heating capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr

= Actual

$EFLH_{heat}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating. Depends on location. See table below:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{heat}$ ⁵⁰¹
1 (Rockford)	1,520
2 (Chicago)	1,421
3 (Springfield)	1,347
4 (Belleville)	977
5 (Marion)	994
Weighted Average	1,406

$HSPF_{base}$ = Heating System Performance Factor of baseline heating system (kBtu/kWh) For early replacement measures, use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or

⁴⁹³ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁴⁹⁴ Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015

⁴⁹⁵ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁴⁹⁶ Minimum Federal Standard; Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 14, Monday, January 22, 2001/Rules and Regulations, p. 7170-7200.

⁴⁹⁷ Estimated by converting the EER assumption for Room AC using the conversion equation; $EER_{base} = (-0.02 * SEER_{base}^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$. From Wassmer, M. (2003). 'A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations', Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁴⁹⁸ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁴⁹⁹ Assumes that the decision to replace existing systems includes desire to add cooling.

⁵⁰⁰ Note that if only an EER rating is available, use the following conversion equation; $EER_{base} = (-0.02 * SEER_{base}^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$. From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁵⁰¹ All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems, Cadmus, October 2015. FLH values are based on metering of Multifamily units that were used as the primary heating source to the whole home, and in buildings that had received weatherization improvements. A DMSHP installed in a single-family home may be used more sporadically, especially if the DMSHP serves only a room, and buildings that have not been weatherized may require longer hours. Additional evaluation is recommended to refine the EFLH assumptions for the general population.

reasonably estimate for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP, 15 years for electric resistance). If unknown assume default:

Baseline/ Existing Heating System	HSPF _{Base}		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	5.54 ⁵⁰²	8.2 ⁵⁰³	
Electric Resistance		3.41 ⁵⁰⁴	

HSPF_{ee} = HSPF rating of new equipment (kbtu/kwh)

= Actual installed

⁵⁰² Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁵⁰³ Based on Minimum Federal Standard effective 1/1/2015.

⁵⁰⁴ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

Non Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples

Installing a 1.5-ton (heating and cooling capacity) ductless heat pump unit rated at 8 HSPF and 14 SEER in a single-family home in Chicago to displace electric baseboard heat and replace a window air conditioner of unknown efficiency, savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} &= (18000 * 1421 * (1/3.412 - 1/8))/1000 &= 4,299 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cool}} &= (18000 * 308 * (1/8.0 - 1/14))/1000 &= 297 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= 4,299 + 297 &= 4,596 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples

[for illustrative purposes a Heat Rate of 10,000 Btu/kWh is used, kWh to Therm = 10,000/100,000 = 0.1]

Installing a 1.5-ton (heating and cooling capacity) ductless heat pump unit rated at 9 HSPF and 16 SEER in a single-family home in Chicago to displace gas furnace heat and replace a central air conditioner of unknown efficiency, savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeSourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} &= \text{LifetimeGasHeatReplaced} - \\ &\text{LifetimeDMSHPSourceHeatConsumed} + \text{LifetimeDMSHPSourceCoolingImpact}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeGasHeatReplaced} &= (([\text{FLH}_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{exist}}] / 1,000,000) * 7 \text{ years}) \\ &+ (([\text{FLH}_{\text{heat}} * \text{Capacity}_{\text{heating}} * 1/\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}}] / 1,000,000) * 8 \text{ years}) \\ &= (((1421 * 18,000 * 1/0.644) / 1,000,000) * 7) + (((1421 * 18,000 * 1/0.9) / 1,000,000) * 8) \\ &= 505.8 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeDMSHPSourceHeatConsumed} &= [(\text{Capacity}_{\text{heat}} * \text{EFLH}_{\text{heat}} * (1/\text{HSPF}_{\text{ee}}))/1000] * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 * 15 \text{ years} \\ &= ((1421 * 18,000 * (1/9)) / 1000) * 10,000/1,000,000 * 15 \text{ years} \\ &= 426.3 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeDMSHPSourceCoolingImpact} &= ((\text{Capacity}_{\text{cool}} * \text{EFLH}_{\text{cool}} * (1/\text{SEER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{SEER}_{\text{ee}}))/1000) * H_{\text{grid}} / 1,000,000 \\ &= (((308 * 18,000 * (1/9.3 - 1/16))/1000) * 6 \text{ years}) + (((308 * 18,000 * (1/13 - 1/16))/1000) * 9 \text{ years}) * 10,000/1,000,000 \\ &= 22.2 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{LifetimeSourceEnergySavings (MMBTUs)} &= 505.8 - 426.3 + 22.2 \\ &= 101.7 \text{ MMBtu}\end{aligned}$$

Fuel Switch Illustrative Examples continued

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} &= [\text{Heat consumption of baseline ASHP}] \\ &= [(1421 * 18,000 * 1/8.2)/1000] \\ &= 3,119 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} (\text{Remaining Useful Life}) &= [\text{Cooling Savings from existing to DMSHP}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to DMSHP}] \\ &= (308 * 18,000 * (1/9.3 - 1/16))/1000 + (1421 * 18,000 * (1/8.2 - 1/9)) / 1000 \\ &= 250 + 277 \\ &= 527 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{EfficiencyImprovement}} (\text{Remaining measure}) &= [\text{Cooling Savings from new baseline to DMSHP}] + [\text{Heat Savings from Baseline ASHP to DMSHP}] \\ &= (308 * 18,000 * (1/13 - 1/16))/1000 + (1421 * 18,000 * (1/8.2 - 1/9)) / 1000 \\ &= 80 + 277 \\ &= 357 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} (\text{Remaining Useful Life}) &= [\text{Replaced Heat consumption of existing gas system}] \\ &= [(1421 * 18,000 * 1/0.644) / 100,000] \\ &= 397 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{FuelSwitch}} (\text{Measure Life}) &= [\text{Replaced Heat consumption of new baseline gas system}] \\ &= [(1421 * 18,000 * 1/0.9) / 100,000] \\ &= 284 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Savings would be claimed as follows:

Measure supported by:	Electric Utility claims:	Gas Utility claims:
Electric utility only	$527 - 3119 + (397/0.1)$ $= 1378 \text{ kWh for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $357 - 3119 + (284/0.1)$ $= 78 \text{ kWh for remaining life}$	N/A
Electric and gas utility	$527 \text{ kWh for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $357 \text{ kWh for remaining life}$	$397 - (3119 * 0.1)$ $= 85.1 \text{ therms for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $284 - (3119 * 0.1)$ $= -27.9 \text{ therms for remaining life}$
Gas utility only	N/A	$397 - (3119 * 0.1) + (527 * 0.1)$ $= 137.8 \text{ therms for 1}^{\text{st}} 7 \text{ years}$ $284 - (3119 * 0.1) + (357 * 0.1)$ $= 7.8 \text{ therms}$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{Capacity}_{\text{cool}} * (1/\text{EER}_{\text{base}} - 1/\text{EER}_{\text{ee}})) / 1000) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

EER_base = Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh). For early replacement measures, the actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year to account for degradation over time.⁵⁰⁵ If unknown assume default provided below:

Baseline/Existing Cooling System	EER_base		
	Early Replacement (Remaining useful life of existing equipment)	Early Replacement (Remaining measure life)	Time of Sale or New Construction
Air Source Heat Pump	7.5 ⁵⁰⁶	11 ⁵⁰⁷	
Central AC	7.5 ⁵⁰⁸	10.5 ⁵⁰⁹	
Room AC	7.7 ⁵¹⁰	10.5	
No central cooling	Make '1/EER_exist' = 0 ⁵¹¹	10.5	

EER_ee = Energy Efficiency Ratio of new ductless Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)
= Actual, If not provided convert SEER to EER using this formula: ⁵¹²

$$= (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$$

For supplemental or limited zonal cooling:

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for DMSHP (during utility peak hour)
= 43.1%⁵¹³

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for DMSHP (average during PJM peak period)
= 28.0%⁵¹⁴

For whole house cooling:

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during utility peak hour)

⁵⁰⁵ Justification for degradation factors can be found on page 21 of 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁵⁰⁶ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁵⁰⁷ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

⁵⁰⁸ Based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'

⁵⁰⁹ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value provided is based on Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus metering study of Ameren HVAC program participants; See 'AIC HVAC Metering Study Memo FINAL 2_28_2018'.

⁵¹⁰ Same EER as Window AC recycling. Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

⁵¹¹ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁵¹² Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note this is appropriate for single speed units only.

⁵¹³ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁵¹⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

$$CF_{PJM} = 72\%^{515}$$

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (average during PJM peak period)

$$= 46.6\%^{516}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Calculation provided together with Electric Energy Savings above.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

COST EFFECTIVENESS SCREENING AND LOAD REDUCTION FORECASTING WHEN FUEL SWITCHING

This measure can involve fuel switching from gas to electric.

For the purposes of forecasting load reductions due to fuel switch DMSHP projects per Section 16-111.5B, changes in site energy use at the customer's meter (using ΔkWh algorithm below) adjusted for utility line losses (at-the-busbar savings), customer switching estimates, NTG, and any other adjustment factors deemed appropriate, should be used.

The inputs to cost effectiveness screening should reflect the actual impacts on the electric and fuel consumption at the customer meter and, for fuel switching measures, this will not match the output of the calculation/allocation methodology presented in the "Electric Energy Savings" and "Natural Gas Savings" sections above. Therefore in addition to the calculation of savings claimed, the following values should be used to assess the cost effectiveness of the measure. For Early Replacement measures, the efficiency terms of the existing unit should be used for the remaining useful life of the existing equipment (6 years for ASHP and Central AC, 7 years for furnace, 8 years for boilers or GSHP, 15 years for electric resistance), and the efficiency terms for a new baseline unit should be used for the remaining years of the measure.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = [\text{Heating Consumption Replaced}]$$

$$= [(Capacity_{\text{heat}} * EFLH_{\text{heat}} * 1/AFUE_{\text{base}}) / 100,000]$$

$$\Delta kWh = - [\text{DMSHP heating consumption}] + [\text{Cooling savings}]$$

$$= - [(Capacity_{\text{heat}} * EFLH_{\text{heat}} * 1/HSPFee)/1000] + [(Capacity_{\text{cool}} * EFLH_{\text{cool}} * (1/SEER_{\text{Base}} - 1/SEER_{\text{ee}})) / 1000]$$

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DHP-V08-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

⁵¹⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁵¹⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

5.3.13 Residential Furnace Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for a natural gas Residential furnace that provides space heating. The tune-up will improve furnace performance by inspecting, cleaning and adjusting the furnace and appurtenances for correct and efficient operation. Additional savings maybe realized through a complete system tune-up.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure an approved technician must complete the tune-up requirements listed below:⁵¹⁷

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer
- Check and clean blower assembly and components per manufacturer's recommendations
- Where applicable Lubricate motor and inspect and replace fan belt if required
- Inspect for gas leaks
- Clean burner per manufacturer's recommendations and adjust as needed
- Check ignition system and safety systems and clean and adjust as needed
- Check and clean heat exchanger per manufacturer's recommendations
- Inspect exhaust/flue for proper attachment and operation
- Inspect control box, wiring and controls for proper connections and performance
- Check air filter and clean or replace per manufacturer's
- Inspect duct work connected to furnace for leaks or blockages
- Measure temperature rise and adjust flow as needed
- Check for correct line and load volts/amps
- Check thermostat operation is per manufacturer's recommendations(if adjustments made, refer to 'Residential Programmable Thermostat' measure for savings estimate)
- Perform Carbon Monoxide test and adjust heating system until results are within standard industry acceptable limits

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is furnace assumed not to have had a tune-up in the past 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the clean and check tune up is 3 years.⁵¹⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune up.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no expected O&M savings associated with this measure.

⁵¹⁷ American Standard Maintenance for Indoor Units (see 'HVAC Maintenance American Standard')

⁵¹⁸ Assumed consistent with other tune-up measures.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

 ΔTherms = as calculated below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%⁵¹⁹

29.3 = kWh per therm

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{(\text{CAP}_{\text{InputPre}} * \text{EFLH} * (1/\text{Eff}_{\text{before}} - 1/(\text{Eff}_{\text{before}} + \text{Ei})))}{100,00}$$

Where:

 $\text{CAP}_{\text{InputPre}}$ = Gas Furnace input capacity pre tune-up (Btuh)

= Measured input capacity from HVAC SAVE

 EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH ⁵²⁰
1 (Rockford)	1022
2 (Chicago)	976
3 (Springfield)	836
4 (Belleville)	645
5 (Marion)	656
Weighted Average ⁵²¹	928

⁵¹⁹ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁵²⁰ Full load hours for Chicago, are based on findings in "Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1 (6/1/2011-5/31/2012) Research Report: Furnace Metering Study (August 1, 2013), prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. Values for other cities are then calculated by comparing relative HDD at base 60F.

⁵²¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

Effbefore = Efficiency of the furnace before the tune-up
= Actual

Note: Contractors should select a mid-level firing rate that appropriately represents the average building operating condition over the course of the heating season and take readings at a consistent firing rate for pre and post tune-up.

EI = Efficiency Improvement of the furnace tune-up measure
= Actual

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FTUN-V06-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

5.3.14 Boiler Reset Controls

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to improving system efficiency by adding controls to residential heating boilers to vary the boiler entering water temperature relative to heating load as a function of the outdoor air temperature to save energy. The water can be run a little cooler during fall and spring, and a little hotter during the coldest parts of the winter. A boiler reset control has two temperature sensors - one outside the house and one in the boiler water. As the outdoor temperature goes up and down, the control adjusts the water temperature setting to the lowest setting that is meeting the house heating demand. There are also limits in the controls to keep a boiler from operating outside of its safe performance range.⁵²²

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Natural gas single family residential customer adding boiler reset controls capable of resetting the boiler supply water temperature in an inverse fashion with outdoor air temperature. The system must be set so that the minimum temperature is not more than 10 degrees above manufacturer's recommended minimum return temperature. This boiler reset measure is limited to existing condensing boilers serving a single family residence. Boiler reset controls for non-condensing boilers in single family residences should be implemented as a custom measure, and the cost-effectiveness should be confirmed.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Existing condensing boiler in a single family residential setting without boiler reset controls.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The life of this measure is 16 years, which is assumed to be the remaining life of the existing boiler.⁵²³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The cost of this measure is \$612.⁵²⁴

LOADSHAPE

NA

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

⁵²² Energy Solutions Center, a consortium of natural gas utilities, equipment manufacturers and vendors, See 'Boiler Reset Control – NaturalGasEfficiency.org'.

⁵²³ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement residential HVAC measures as the application of boiler reset controls will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

⁵²⁴ Nexant. Questar DSM Market Characterization Report. August 9, 2006.

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

NA

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{Gas_Boiler_Load} * (1/\text{AFUE}) * \text{Savings Factor}$$

Where:

Gas_Boiler_Load⁵²⁵

= Estimate of annual household Load for gas boiler heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below.⁵²⁶

= or Actual if informed by site-specific load calculations, ACCA Manual J, or equivalent.⁵²⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Boiler Load (therms)
1 (Rockford)	1275
2 (Chicago)	1218
3 (Springfield)	1043
4 (Belleville)	805
5 (Marion)	819
Average	1158

AFUE = Existing Condensing Boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency Rating

= Actual.

SF = Savings Factor, 5%⁵²⁸

⁵²⁵ Boiler consumption values are informed by an evaluation which did not identify any fraction of heating load due to domestic hot water (DHW) provided by the boiler. Thus these values are an average of both homes with boilers only providing heat, and homes with boilers that also provide DHW. Heating load is used to describe the household heating need, which is equal to (gas heating consumption * AFUE)

⁵²⁶ Values are based on household heating consumption values and inferred average AFUE results from Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, *Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor*. Adjusting to a statewide average using relative HDD values to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

⁵²⁷ The Air Conditioning Contractors of America Manual J, Residential Load Calculation 8th Edition produces equipment sizing loads for Single Family, Multi-single, and Condominiums using input characteristics of the home. A best practice for equipment selection and installation of Heating and Air Conditioning, load calculations should be completed by contractors during the selection process and may be readily available for program data purposes.

⁵²⁸ Energy Solutions Center, a consortium of natural gas utilities, equipment manufacturers and vendors. See 'Boiler Reset Control – NaturalGasEfficiency.org'.

For example, boiler reset controls on a 92.5 AFUE boiler at a household in Rockford, IL

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1275 * (1/0.925) * 0.05 \\ &= 69 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BREC-V03-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.3.15 ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan

DESCRIPTION

A ceiling fan/light unit meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR version 4.0 is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard. ENERGY STAR qualified ceiling fan/light combination units are over 60% more efficient than conventional fan/light units and use improved motors and blade designs.

Due to the savings from this measure being derived from more efficient ventilation and more efficient lighting, and the loadshape and measure life for each component being very different, the savings are split into the component parts and should be claimed together. Lighting savings should be estimated utilizing the 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as an ENERGY STAR certified ceiling fan with integral CFL or LED bulbs. Upon review of the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, it was determined that 88% of ceiling fans with integrated light kits leverage LED lamps; with the remaining 12% using CFLs.⁵²⁹ Concurrently, ENERGY STAR criteria require ceiling fans with light kits to provide the consumer with either CFLs or LEDs. In the cases where light kits require screw-base sockets, the efficient lamps have to be included in the packaging of the ceiling fan.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a standard fan with efficient incandescent or halogen light bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012 followed by restrictions on 75W in 2013 and 60W and 40W in 2014, due to the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA). Finally, a provision in the EISA regulations requires that by January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt, in essence making the baseline equivalent to a current day CFL. Therefore the measure life (number of years that savings should be claimed) for the lighting portion of the savings should be reduced once the assumed lifetime of the bulb exceeds 2020. Due to expected delay in clearing retail inventory and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until 2021.

Effective January 21, 2020, all ceiling fan light kits manufactured after this date must be packaged with lamps to fill all screw-base sockets, further limiting the potential for inefficient light bulbs to be utilized. Additionally, ceiling fan light kits with pin-based sockets for fluorescent lamps must use electronic ballasts. Integrated ceiling fan light kits must adhere to the same lighting efficiency requirements.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The fan savings measure life is assumed to be 10 years.⁵³⁰

The lighting savings measure life is assumed to be 1 year for lighting savings for units installed in 2020 (see 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure).⁵³¹

⁵²⁹ ENERGY STAR version 4.0, Product Specification for Residential Ceiling Fans and Ceiling Fan Light Kits, effective June 15, 2018. Qualified Products List data pulled on 10/11/2018.

⁵³⁰ Lifetime estimate is sourced from the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator.

⁵³¹ Since the replacement baseline bulb from 2020 on will be equivalent to a CFL, no additional savings should be claimed from that point. Due to expected delay in clearing stock from retail outlets and to account for the operating life of a halogen incandescent potentially spanning over 2020, this shift is assumed not to occur until 2021.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Incremental cost of a ceiling fan with light kit is \$46.

Incremental cost of only a ceiling fan is \$30.71.⁵³²

LOADSHAPE

R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting

R11 - Residential Ventilation

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for the ventilation savings is assumed to be 30%.⁵³³

For lighting savings, see 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{fan} + \Delta kWh_{light}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{fan} = [Days * FanHours * ((\%Low_{base} * WattsLow_{base}) + (\%Med_{base} * WattsMed_{base}) + (\%High_{base} * WattsHigh_{base}))/1000] - [Days * FanHours * ((\%Low_{ES} * WattsLow_{ES}) + (\%Med_{ES} * WattsMed_{ES}) + (\%High_{ES} * WattsHigh_{ES}))/1000]$$

$$\Delta kWh_{light} = \text{see 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.}$$

Where:⁵³⁴

Days	= Days used per year
	= Actual. If unknown use 365.25 days/year
FanHours	= Daily Fan “On Hours”
	= Actual. If unknown use 3 hours
%Low _{base}	= Percent of time spent at Low speed of baseline
	= 40%
WattsLow _{base}	= Fan wattage at Low speed of baseline
	= Actual. If unknown use 15 watts

⁵³² The incremental cost of \$46 is sourced from the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator, which is based on a ceiling fan and a light kit. In order to determine the incremental cost of only a ceiling fan, the incremental cost of the lights were factored in and removed accordingly. Through review of the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed on October 11, 2018, the average ceiling fan LED light kit had 1.2 lamps, with an average wattage of 11.8W. The comparable baseline wattage, baseline cost, and efficient lamp cost is based on a scaled equivalence from the 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.

⁵³³ Assuming that the CF same as a Room AC. Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.

⁵³⁴ All fan operating conditions and baseline default assumptions are based upon assumptions provided in the ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan Savings Calculator. The efficient wattages at the low and high speed settings are sourced from the average of available products on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List (QPL), as pulled on 10/11/2018. The efficient wattage at the medium speed is interpolated based on the varying speed wattages from the ENERGY STAR version 4.0 specifications. For more information on the QPL data set, please see “Illinois Residential Ceiling Fan Analysis.xlsx”.

%Med _{base}	= Percent of time spent at Medium speed of baseline = 40%
WattsMed _{base}	= Fan wattage at Medium speed of baseline = Actual. If unknown use 34 watts
%High _{base}	= Percent of time spent at High speed of baseline = 20%
WattsHigh _{base}	= Fan wattage at High speed of baseline = Actual. If unknown use 67 watts
%LowES	= Percent of time spent at Low speed of ENERGY STAR = 40%
WattsLow _{ES}	= Fan wattage at Low speed of ENERGY STAR = Actual. If unknown use 3 watts
%Med _{ES}	= Percent of time spent at Medium speed of ENERGY STAR = 40%
WattsMed _{ES}	= Fan wattage at Medium speed of ENERGY STAR = Actual. If unknown use 13 watts
%High _{ES}	= Percent of time spent at High speed of ENERGY STAR = 20%
WattsHigh _{ES}	= Fan wattage at High speed of ENERGY STAR = Actual. If unknown use 31 watts

For ease of reference, the fan assumptions are provided below in table form:

	Low Speed	Medium Speed	High Speed
Percent of Time at Given Speed	40%	40%	20%
Conventional Unit Wattage	15	34	67
ENERGY STAR Unit Wattage	3	13	31
ΔW	12	21	36

If the lighting WattsBase and WattsEE is unknown, assume the following.⁵³⁵

WattsBase	= 1.2 x 46.5 = 55.8 W
WattsEE	= 1.2 x 11.8 = 14.2 W

⁵³⁵ Through review of the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed on October 11, 2018, the average ceiling fan LED light kit had 1.2 lamps, with an average wattage of 11.8W. The comparable baseline is based on a scaled equivalent wattage from the 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.

For example, an ENERGY STAR ceiling fan with one, 22.4W LED lamp as part of its light kit were purchased and installed to replace an existing ceiling fan that was no longer operational, the savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{fan} &= [365.25 * 3 * ((0.4 * 15) + (0.4 * 34) + (0.2 * 67)) / 1000] - \\ &\quad [365.25 * 3 * ((0.4 * 3) + (0.4 * 13) + (0.2 * 3)) / 1000] \\ &= 36.2 - 13.8 = 22.4 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh_{light} &= ((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 759 * 1.06 \\ &= 53.2 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh &= 22.4 + 53.2 = 75.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= \Delta kW_{fan} + \Delta kW_{light} \\ \Delta kW_{fan} &= ((\text{WattsHigh}_{base} - \text{WattsHigh}_{ES}) / 1000) * CF_{fan} \\ \Delta kW_{light} &= \text{see 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure.}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}CF_{fan} &= \text{Summer Peak coincidence factor for ventilation savings} \\ &= 30\%^{536} \\ CF_{light} &= \text{Summer Peak coincidence factor for lighting savings} \\ &= 7.1\%^{537}\end{aligned}$$

For example, an ENERGY STAR ceiling fan with one 22.4W LED lamp as part of its light kit were purchased and installed to replace an existing ceiling fan that was no longer operational, the savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{fan} &= ((67 - 31) / 1000) * 0.3 \\ &= 0.0108 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta kW_{light} &= ((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 1.11 * 0.071 \\ &= 0.0052 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta kW &= 0.0108 + 0.0052 \\ &= 0.016 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

See 5.5.9 LED Fixtures measure for bulb replacement costs.

⁵³⁶ Assuming that the CF same as a Room AC. Consistent with coincidence factors found in: RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.

⁵³⁷ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CFAN-V03-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.3.16 Advanced Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new thermostat(s) for reduced heating and cooling consumption through a configurable schedule of temperature setpoints (like a programmable thermostat) *and* automatic variations to that schedule to better match HVAC system runtimes to meet occupant comfort needs. These schedules may be defaults, established through user interaction, and be changed manually at the device or remotely through a web or mobile app. Automatic variations to that schedule could be driven by local sensors and software algorithms, and/or through connectivity to an internet software service. Data triggers to automatic schedule changes might include, for example: occupancy/activity detection, arrival & departure of conditioned spaces, optimization based on historical or population-specific trends, weather data and forecasts.⁵³⁸ This class of products and services are relatively new, diverse, and rapidly changing. Generally, the savings expected for this measure aren't yet established at the level of individual features, but rather at the system level and how it performs overall. Like programmable thermostats, it is not suitable to assume that heating and cooling savings follow a similar pattern of usage and savings opportunity, and so here too this measure treats these savings independently. Note that this is an active area of ongoing work to better map features to savings value, and establish standards of performance measurement based on field data so that a standard of efficiency can be developed.⁵³⁹ Since energy savings are applicable at the household level, savings should only be claimed for one thermostat of any type (i.e., one programmable thermostat or one advanced thermostat), and installation of multiple thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

Note that though these devices and service could potentially be used as part of a demand response program, the costs, delivery, impacts, and other aspects of DR-specific program delivery are not included in this characterization at this time, though they could be added in the future.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only or programmable thermostat, with one that has the default enabled capability—or the capability to automatically—establish a schedule of temperature setpoints according to driving device inputs above and beyond basic time and temperature data of conventional programmable thermostats. As summarized in the description, this category of products and services is broad and rapidly advancing in regard to their capability, usability, and sophistication, but at a minimum must be capable of two-way communication⁵⁴⁰ and exceed the typical performance of manual and conventional programmable thermostats through the automatic or default capabilities described above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is either the actual type (manual or programmable) if it is known,⁵⁴¹ or an assumed mix of these two

⁵³⁸ For example, the capabilities of products and added services that use ultrasound, infrared, or geofencing sensor systems, automatically develop individual models of home's thermal properties through user interaction, and optimize system operation based on equipment type and performance traits based on weather forecasts demonstrate the type of automatic schedule change functionality that apply to this measure characterization.

⁵³⁹ The ENERGY STAR program released version 1.0 of its Connected Thermostats Specification in 2017. Details and active discussion can be found on ENERGY STAR website; 'Connected Thermostats Specifications v1.0'.

⁵⁴⁰ This measure recognizes that field data may be available, through this 2-way communication capability, to better inform characterization of efficiency criteria and savings calculations. It is recommended that program implementations incorporate this data into their planning and operation activities to improve understanding of the measure to manage risks and enhance savings results.

⁵⁴¹ If the actual thermostat is programmable and it is found to be used in override mode or otherwise effectively being operated

types based upon information available from evaluations or surveys that represent the population of program participants. This mix may vary by program, but as a default, 51% programmed programmable and 49% manual or non-programmed programmable thermostats may be assumed.⁵⁴²

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life for advanced thermostats is assumed to be 11 years.⁵⁴³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For DI and other programs for which installation services are provided, the actual material, labor, and other costs should be used. For retail, Bring Your Own Thermostat (BYOT) programs,⁵⁴⁴ or other program types, actual costs are still preferable,⁵⁴⁵ but if unknown, then the average incremental cost for the new installation measure is assumed to be \$125.⁵⁴⁶

LOADSHAPE

ΔkWh → Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling
 $\Delta kWh_{heating}$ → Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat
 $\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ → Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

In the absence of conclusive results from empirical studies on peak savings, the TAC agreed to a temporary assumption of 50% of the cooling coincidence factor, acknowledging that while the savings from the advanced Thermostat will track with the cooling load, the impact during peak periods may be lower. This is an assumption that could use future evaluation to improve these estimates.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 34%⁵⁴⁷

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
 = 23.3%⁵⁴⁸

like a manual thermostat, then the baseline may be considered to be a manual thermostat

⁵⁴² Based on Opinion Dynamics Corporation, “ComEd Residential Saturation/End Use, Market Penetration & Behavioral Study”, Appendix 3: Detailed Mail Survey Results, p34, April 2013.

⁵⁴³ Based on 2017 Residential Smart Thermostat Workpaper, prepared by SCE and Nest for SCE (Work Paper SCE17HC054, Revision #0). Estimate ability of smart systems to continue providing savings after disconnection and conduct statistical survival analysis which yields 9.2-13.8 year range.

⁵⁴⁴ In contrast to program designs that utilize program affiliated contractors or other trade ally partners that support customer participation through thermostat distribution, installation and other services, BYOT programs enroll customers *after* the time of purchase through online rebate and program integration sign-ups.

⁵⁴⁵ Including any one-time software integration or annual software maintenance, and or individual device energy feature fees.

⁵⁴⁶ Market prices vary considerably in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The core suite of functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market roughly in the range of \$150 and \$250, excluding the availability of time or market-limited wholesale or volume pricing. The assumed incremental cost is based on the middle of this range (\$175) minus a cost of \$50 for the baseline equipment blend of manual and programmable thermostats. Note that any add-on energy service costs, which may include one-time setup and/or annual per device costs are not included in this assumption.

⁵⁴⁷ Assumes 50% of the cooling coincidence factor (based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory).

⁵⁴⁸ Assumes 50% of the cooling coincidence factor (based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{549} = \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = \% \text{ElectricHeat} * \text{Elec_Heating_Consumption} * \text{Heating_Reduction} * \text{HF} * \text{Eff_ISR} + (\Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3)$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cool}} = \% \text{AC} * ((\text{FLH} * \text{Capacity} * 1/\text{SEER})/1000) * \text{Cooling_Reduction} * \text{Eff_ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{ElectricHeat}$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	$\% \text{ElectricHeat}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	3% ⁵⁵⁰

$\text{Elec_Heating_Consumption}$

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes.⁵⁵¹ If location and heating type is unknown, assume 15,683 kWh.⁵⁵²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Electric Resistance Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)	Electric Heat Pump Elec_Heating_ Consumption (kWh)
1 (Rockford)	21,748	12,793
2 (Chicago)	20,778	12,222
3 (Springfield)	17,794	10,467
4 (Belleville)	13,726	8,074
5 (Marion)	13,970	8,218
Average	19,749	11,617

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat

⁵⁴⁹ Electrical savings are a function of both heating and cooling energy usage reductions. For heating this is a function of the percent of electric heat (heat pumps) and fan savings in the case of a natural gas furnace.

⁵⁵⁰ Value used is based on known PY8 percent of electric heat provided by Navigant as part of the ongoing evaluation work source: "Slide 21: May 22, 2018, Second Addendum IL TRM Advanced Thermostat Cooling Savings Evaluation"

⁵⁵¹ Values in table are based on converting an average household heating load (834 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table E-1, Energy Efficiency/Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1: Research Report: Furnace Metering Study, Draft, Navigant, August 1 2013 to an electric heat load (divide by 0.03412) to electric resistance and ASHP heat load (resistance load reduced by 15% to account for distribution losses that occur in furnace heating but not in electric resistance while ASHP heat is assumed to suffer from similar distribution losses) and then to electric consumption assuming efficiencies of 100% for resistance and 200% for HP (see 'Household Heating Load Summary Calculations_08222018.xls'). Finally these values were adjusted to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

⁵⁵² Assumption that 1/2 of electrically heated homes have electric resistance and 1/2 have Heat Pump, based on 2010 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Illinois.

Optimization services⁵⁵³

Existing Thermostat Type	Heating Reduction ⁵⁵⁴
Manual	10.4%
Programmable	7.3%
Unknown (Blended)	8.6%

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Mobile home	83% ⁵⁵⁵
Multifamily	65% ⁵⁵⁶
Actual	Custom ⁵⁵⁷
Unknown	96.5% ⁵⁵⁸

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and configured effectively for 2-way communication. Note that retrospective adjustments should be made during evaluation verification activities through the use of a realization rate if the program design does not ensure that each advanced thermostat is actually installed and/or if the evaluation determines that the advanced thermostat is not actually installed in the Program Administrator's service territory.

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other programs where not evaluated	90% ⁵⁵⁹

⁵⁵³ This estimate is based on a consumption data analysis with matching to non-participants and is therefore net with respect to participant spillover and between net and gross with respect to free ridership. Like all consumption data analyses, it is gross with respect to non-participant spillover. For more detail, see Table 5-3 in Volume 4 of the IL-TRM. Consistent with Section 7.2 of the Illinois EE Policy Manual, applicable net-to-gross adjustments to these factors will be determined as part of the annual SAG net-to-gross process.

⁵⁵⁴ These values represent adjusted baseline savings values (8.8% for manual, and 5.6% for programmable thermostats) as presented in Navigant's PowerPoint on Impact Analysis from Preliminary Gas savings findings (slide 28 of 'IL SAG Smart Thermostat Preliminary Gas Impact Findings 2015-12-08 to IL SAG.ppt'). These values are adjusted upwards in v9 to account for inclusion of Thermostat Optimization savings in an estimated 45% of future participants (based on reported share of Nest and ecobee participants and 2020 rates of Thermostat Optimization). The basis for the Thermostat Optimization savings is Navigant "ComEd CY2018 Seasonal Savings Heating Season Impact Evaluation Report", March 2019.

These values are used as the basis for the weighted average savings value when the type of existing thermostat is not known. Using weightings updated from PY8 data, based upon baseline type, and allocating programmability into manual and programmable based upon programmed status yields a weighted new blend of 43% manual (or non-programmed programmable) and 57% programmed. Further evaluation and regular review of this key assumption is encouraged.

⁵⁵⁵ Since mobile homes are similar to Multifamily homes with respect to conditioned floor area but to single-family homes with respect to exposure (i.e., all four wall orientations are adjacent to the outside), this factor is estimated as an average of the single family and multifamily household factors.

⁵⁵⁶ Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% reduction factor is applied to MF homes, based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

⁵⁵⁷ Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

⁵⁵⁸ When Household type is unknown, a value of 96.5% may be used as a weighted average of 90% SF and 10% MF (96.5% = 100%*90% + 65%*10%) based on a Navigant evaluation of PY8 participants in ComEd's advanced thermostat program.

⁵⁵⁹ The 2020 Guidehouse evaluation indicated that 6.75% of participants installed the advanced thermostat out of state. An additional reduction is applied to account for purchases that are never installed. Based on the available data this is estimated as an additional 3.75%.

Δ Therms	= Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system = See calculation in Natural Gas section below
F_e	= Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption = 3.14% ⁵⁶⁰
29.3	= kWh per therm
%AC	= Fraction of customers with thermostat-controlled air-conditioning

Thermostat control of air conditioning?	%AC ⁵⁶¹
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (AC-targeted program)	99%
Unknown (general program)	82.5%

FLH	= Estimate of annual household full load cooling hours for air conditioning equipment based on location and home type. If climate zone is unknown, assume the weighted average for the relevant home type. If both climate zone and home type are unknown, assume 623 hours. ⁵⁶²
-----	---

Climate zone (city based upon)	FLH (single family) ⁵⁶³	FLH (general multifamily) ⁵⁶⁴	FLH_cooling (weatherized multifamily) ⁵⁶⁵
1 (Rockford)	512	467	243
2 (Chicago)	570	506	263
3 (Springfield)	730	663	345
4 (Belleville)	1035	940	489
5 (Marion)	903	820	426
Weighted average ⁵⁶⁶	629	564	293

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

⁵⁶⁰ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBTU/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁵⁶¹ 99% of ComEd PY8 program participants (AC targeted programs) have Central AC per communication with Navigant's ongoing 2017/2018 cooling savings evaluation. Non-targeted programs are still expected to have participation with %AC above general population rates. 82.5% is an average of the 99% program participation rate, and the 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey ;

⁵⁶² When both climate zone and home type are unknown, a value of 623 hours may be used as a weighted average of 90% SF and 10% MF ($623 = 629 \times 90\% + 564 \times 10\%$) based on a Navigant evaluation of PY8 participants in ComEd's advanced thermostat program.

⁵⁶³ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

⁵⁶⁴ Ibid.

⁵⁶⁵ *All-Electric Homes PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems*, Cadmus, October 2015

⁵⁶⁶ Weighted based on number of residential occupied housing units in each zone.

- Capacity = Size of AC unit.⁵⁶⁷ (Note: One refrigeration ton is equal to 12,000 Btu/hr)
- = Use actual when program delivery allows size of AC unit to be known. If unknown assume 33,600 Btu/hr for single family homes, 28,000 Btu/hr for multifamily or 24,000 Btu/hr for mobile homes.⁵⁶⁸ If building type is unknown, assume 33,040 Btu/hr.⁵⁶⁹
- SEER = the cooling equipment's Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio rating (kBtu/kWh)
- = Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate.

Cooling System	SEER ⁵⁷⁰
Air Source Heat Pump	12
Central AC	

- 1/1000 = kBtu per Btu
- Cooling_Reduction = Assumed average percentage reduction in total household cooling energy consumption due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization:⁵⁷¹
- = 8.4%⁵⁷²

⁵⁶⁷ Actual unit size required for Multifamily building, no size assumption provided because the unit size and resulting savings can vary greatly depending on the number of units.

⁵⁶⁸ Single family cooling capacity based on Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), October 19, 2010, ComEd, Navigant Consulting. Multifamily capacity based on weighted average of PY9 Ameren and ComEd MF cooling capacities. Mobile home capacity based on ENERGY STAR's Manufactured Home Cooling Equipment Sizing Guidelines which vary by climate zone and home size. The average size of a mobile home in the East North Central region (1,120 square feet) from the 2015 RECS data is used to calculate appropriate size.

⁵⁶⁹ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 90% single family and 10% multifamily, based on a Navigant evaluation of PY8 participants in ComEd's advanced thermostat program.

⁵⁷⁰ Estimate based upon Navigant, 2018 "EIA – Technology Forecast Updates – Residential and Commercial Building Technologies – Reference Case"

⁵⁷¹ Note that "Cooling_Reduction" percentage is the savings expected from reduced cooling use, and is not the same as % cooling savings that are based on total kWh saved (including fan and heating kWh savings) as a percent of total kWh used for cooling.

⁵⁷² The Cooling_Reduction assumption is based on a TAC agreement to weight the consumption data analysis result (econometric) and the adjusted ENERGY STAR method for estimating runtime savings for advanced thermostats with stakeholder assumptions about baseline behavior (ENERGY STAR), provided by Guidehouse in 2020. The econometric result (7.8%) is weighted at 90%, and the ENERGY STAR result (10-14% range taken as reasonable by stakeholders, however 14% is used to account for increased Thermostat Optimization) weighted at 10%.

This econometric value is based upon the non-weather normalized savings percentage, adjusted for selection bias, %AC and ISR, with additional adjustment to account for the anticipated growth in Thermostat Optimization savings, from 12% of participants in the study to 45% of future participants (based on reported share of Nest and ecobee participants and 2020 rates of Thermostat Optimization). The basis for the Thermostat Optimization savings is Navigant's "ComEd CY2018 Seasonal Savings Cooling Season Impact Evaluation Report", March 2019. The estimate of cooling reduction factor includes an adjustment for apparent selection bias, per stakeholder request as part of a 2020 study by Guidehouse involving a consumption analysis of ComEd advanced thermostat rebate recipients. Guidehouse acknowledges that this adjustment is a coarse method of addressing potential bias, but believes that this adjustment may not be accurate or applicable for future studies of this type.

The adjusted ENERGY STAR analysis is gross with respect to all components of net-to-gross (free ridership, and participant and non-participant spillover). The econometric analysis uses matching to future participants and is therefore gross with respect to free ridership. Like all consumption data analyses, it is net with respect to participant spillover and gross with respect to non-participant spillover. For more detail, see Table 5-3 in Volume 4 of the IL-TRM. Consistent with Section 7.2 of the Illinois EE Policy Manual, applicable net-to-gross adjustments to these factors will be determined as part of the annual SAG net-to-gross process.

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric heat pump heated, single-family home in Springfield with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} \\
 &= 1 * 10,464 * 7.3\% * 100\% * 100\% + (0 * 0.0314 * 29.3) + 100\% * ((730 * 33,600 * (1/12))/1000) \\
 &\quad * 8.4\% * 100\% \\
 &= 764\text{kWh} + 172 \text{ kWh} \\
 &= 936 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \%AC * (\text{Cooling_DemandReduction} * \text{Btu/hr} * (1/\text{EER})/1000) * \text{EFF_ISR} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

Cooling_DemandReduction = Assumed average percentage reduction in total household cooling demand due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization services

$$= 16.4\%^{573}$$

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

$$\text{EER} = (-0.02 * \text{SEER_exist}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER_exist})^{574}$$

If SEER or EER rating unavailable, use:

Cooling System	EER ⁵⁷⁵
Air Source Heat Pump	10.5
Central AC	

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 34%⁵⁷⁶

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 23.3%⁵⁷⁷

⁵⁷³ The current Cooling_DemandReduction assumption is based on results presented on August 4th, 2020 from a Guidehouse econometric analysis and further refinements discussed throughout August.

The final value is based upon the non-weather normalized savings percentage, adjusted for selection bias, %AC and ISR, provided by the Guidehouse econometric results, and includes an additional adjustment to account for the anticipated growth in Thermostat Optimization savings. The estimate of cooling reduction factor includes an adjustment for apparent selection bias, per stakeholder request as part of a 2020 study by Guidehouse involving a consumption analysis of ComEd advanced thermostat rebate recipients. Guidehouse acknowledges that this adjustment is a coarse method of addressing potential bias, but believes that this adjustment may not be accurate or applicable for future studies of this type.

⁵⁷⁴ From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁵⁷⁵ Based on converting SEER assumption to EER.

⁵⁷⁶ Assumes 50% of the cooling coincidence factor (based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.)

⁵⁷⁷ Assumes 50% of the cooling coincidence factor (based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in an electric resistance heated, single-family home in Springfield with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 100\% * (16.4\% * 33,600 * (1/10.5)/1000) * 100\% * 34\% \\ = 0.1784 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 100\% * (16.4\% * 33,600 * (1/10.5)/1000) * 100\% * 23.3\% \\ = 0.1223 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilHeat} * \text{Gas_Heating_Consumption} * \text{Heating_Reduction} * \text{HF} * \text{Eff_ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{FossilHeat}$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	$\% \text{FossilHeat}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	97% ⁵⁷⁸

$\text{Gas_Heating_Consumption}$

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume the average below.⁵⁷⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Heating_ Consumption (therms)
1 (Rockford)	1,052
2 (Chicago)	1,005
3 (Springfield)	861
4 (Belleville)	664
5 (Marion)	676
Average	955

Other variables as provided above.

loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.)

⁵⁷⁸ Value used is based on known PY8 percent of electric heat provided by Navigant as part of the ongoing evaluation work source: "Slide 21: May 22, 2018, Second Addendum IL TRM Advanced Thermostat Cooling Savings Evaluation"

⁵⁷⁹ Values are based on adjusting the average household heating consumption (849 therms) for Chicago based on 'Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor', calculating inferred heating load by dividing by average efficiency of new in program units in the study (94.4%) and then applying standard assumption of existing unit efficiency of 83% (estimate based on 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing in 2000 (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy), assuming typical efficiencies: $(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) = 0.83$). This Chicago value was then adjusted to a statewide average using relative HDD assumptions to adjust for the evaluation results focus on northern region. Values for individual cities are then calculated by comparing average HDD to the individual city's HDD.

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a gas heated single-family home in Chicago:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * 1005 * 7.3\% * 100\% * 100\% \\ &= 73.4 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ADTH-V05-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.3.17 Gas High Efficiency Combination Boiler

DESCRIPTION

Space heating boilers are pressure vessels that transfer heat to water for use in space heating. Boilers either heat water using a heat exchanger that works like an instantaneous water heater or by adding/connecting a separate tank with an internal heat exchanger to the boiler. A combination boiler contains a separate heat exchanger that heats water for domestic hot water use. Qualifying combination boilers must be whole-house units used for both space heating and domestic water heating with one appliance and energy source. Only participants who have a natural gas account with a participating natural gas utility are eligible for this rebate.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a condensing combination boiler unit with boiler AFUE of 90% or greater. The combination boiler must have a sealed combustion unit and be capable of modulating the firing rate and must be accompanied by a programmed outdoor reset control.⁵⁸⁰ Measures that do not qualify for this incentive include boilers with a storage tank and redundant or backup boilers.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a boiler with the federal minimum of 82% AFUE and a residential, natural gas-fueled, 0.5803 UEF storage water heater.

On January 15, 2021, the federal minimum residential boiler efficiency is scheduled to increase to 84% AFUE. However, these new appliance standards will not be adopted by this measure characterization until January 1, 2022.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 21.5 years.⁵⁸¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental measure cost is assumed to be \$3,522.⁵⁸²

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

⁵⁸⁰ In a 2015 study, the Cadmus Group team conducted an analysis of optimal outdoor reset curves and discovered that “a boiler in Massachusetts with well-programmed outdoor reset controls could see an operating efficiency improvement of up to 3 to 4 percentage points from the average efficiency of 88.4% observed”.

⁵⁸¹ US Department of Energy, Technical Support Document: Energy Efficiency Program for Consumer Products and Commercial and Industrial Equipment: Residential Furnaces.” February 10, 2015. Table 8.2.1, p. 8-23. The document’s definition of furnaces includes hot water boilers with firing rates of less than 300,000 Btu/h.

⁵⁸² Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships. Incremental Cost Study Report. September 23, 2011. Incremental measure cost of \$2,791.00 for a combination boiler and \$2,461.00 for a high efficiency boiler sized at 110 Mbt. The percentage increase is applied to the current boiler incremental cost to provide a combination boiler cost of \$3,521.72.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{Boiler}} + \Delta \text{Therm}_{\text{WH}}$$

$$\Delta \text{Therms}_{\text{Boiler}} = (\text{EFLH} * \text{CAP}_{\text{Input}} * (\text{AFUE}_{\text{Eff}} / \text{AFUE}_{\text{Base}} - 1)) / 100,000$$

$$\Delta \text{Therms}_{\text{WH}} = (1/\text{UEF}_{\text{Base}} - 1/\text{UEF}_{\text{Eff}}) * (\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Where:

CAP_{Input} = Gas Furnace input capacity (Btuh)

= Actual

EFLH

= Equivalent Full Load Hours for gas heating

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH ⁵⁸³
1 (Rockford)	1022
2 (Chicago)	976
3 (Springfield)	836
4 (Belleville)	645
5 (Marion)	656
Weighted Average ⁵⁸⁴	928

AFUE_{Exist} = Existing boiler annual fuel utilization efficiency rating

= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate.

If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%.⁵⁸⁵AFUE_{Base} = Baseline boiler annual fuel utilization efficiency rating

= 82%

AFUE_{Eff} = Efficient boiler annual fuel utilization efficiency rating= Actual. If unknown, use defaults dependent on tier as listed below.⁵⁸⁶

⁵⁸³ Full load hours for Chicago, are based on findings in 'Energy Efficiency / Demand Response Nicor Gas Plan Year 1 (6/1/2011-5/31/2012) Research Report: Furnace Metering Study (August 1, 2013), prepared by Navigant Consulting, Inc. Values for other cities are then calculated by comparing relative HDD at base 60F.

⁵⁸⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

⁵⁸⁵ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4.

⁵⁸⁶ Default values per tier selected based upon the average AFUE value for the tier range except for the top tier where the minimum is used due to proximity to the maximum possible.

Measure Type	AFUE _{Eff}
AFUE ≥ 90%	92.5%
AFUE ≥ 95%	95%

- UEF_{Base}** = Uniform Energy Factor rating for baseline equipment
 = For ≤55 gallons: $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$
 = For >55 gallons: $0.7897 - (0.0004 \times \text{storage capacity in gallons})$
 = If tank size unknown for SF assume 40 gallons and UEF_{Base} of 0.58
 = If tank size unknown for MF assume 30 gallons and UEF_{Base} of 0.54
 Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily
- UEF_{Eff}** = Uniform Energy Factor rating for efficient combination boiler. This is assumed consistent with a condensing instantaneous gas-fired water heater.
 = 0.933⁵⁸⁷
- GPD** = Gallons per day of hot water use per person
 = 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household⁵⁸⁸
 = 17.6
- Household** = Average number of people per household
- | Household Unit Type | Household |
|------------------------|---|
| Single-Family - Deemed | 2.56 ⁵⁸⁹ |
| Multifamily - Deemed | 2.1 ⁵⁹⁰ |
| Custom | Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁵⁹¹ |
- Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily
- 365.25 = Days per year, on average
- V_{Water}** = Specific weight of water
 = 8.33 pounds per gallon
- T_{OUT}** = Tank temperature
 = 125°F
- T_{IN}** = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
 = 54°F⁵⁹²

⁵⁸⁷ Average Uniform Energy Factor from DOE CCMS of condensing instantaneous gas-fired water heaters. The water heater portion of a gas high efficiency combination boiler is essentially a tankless water heater.

⁵⁸⁸ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

⁵⁸⁹ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. $2.75 * 93\%$ evaluation adjustment

⁵⁹⁰ Navigant, ComEd PY3 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report Final, May 16, 2012.

⁵⁹¹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁵⁹² US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

1.0 = Heat capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

For example, a Rockford single-family home installing an 80,000 Btuh condensing combination boiler unit with boiler AFUE of 95%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{Boiler}} &= (1022 * 80,000 * (0.95/0.82 - 1))/100,000 \\ \Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WH}} &= (1/0.5803 - 1/0.933) * (17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125-54) * 1.0)/100,000 \\ \Delta\text{Therms} &= 129.6 + 63.4 \\ &= 193.0 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-COMB-V02-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.3.18 Furnace Filter Alarm – Provisional Measure

Measure has been removed in v9.0 due to evaluation results showing filter alarms being ineffectual at indicating a dirty filter.

5.3.19 Thermostatic Radiator Valves – Provisional Measure

DESCRIPTION

Thermostatic Radiator Valves (TRVs) are installed on hydronic or steam radiators to provide temperature control within a room or space. The TRV is a self-regulating valve requiring no auxiliary power, allowing the user to set the temperature to their preferred set point. On hydronic and two-pipe steam systems, as the room temperature rises the valve head expands, blocking the flow of hot water or steam into the radiator. On a one-pipe steam system the TRVs are installed on the air vent and limit the amount of air escaping the radiator, which in turn limits the amount of steam filling the radiator.

The current measure is limited to retrofit application in Multifamily buildings. TRVs are particularly effective in large multifamily buildings where some rooms tend to be overheated resulting in tenants leaving windows open even in winter.

From limited evaluation results, savings appear to be dependent on being part of a whole system commissioning and balancing project.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the TRV is installed on an existing hydronic or steam heated radiator in a multifamily building.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is an existing hydronic or steam heated radiator without a TRV installed.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a TRV is estimated as 15 years.⁵⁹³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual cost per TRV should be used. If unknown assume a measure cost of \$200 for steam systems and \$250 for hot water per TRV.⁵⁹⁴ If the heating system is required to be drained, the full cost should be used and split between all TRVs installed.

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

⁵⁹³ Estimate based on assumption used in Department of Energy, Dentz et al, "Thermostatic Radiator Valve Evaluation", January 2015.

⁵⁹⁴ Department of Energy, Dentz et al, "Thermostatic Radiator Valve Evaluation", January 2015, Table 2, Page 7.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{Gas_Heating_Load} / (\mu \text{Boiler} * \# \text{Radiators}) * \% \text{TRVSavings}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm savings per TRV installed

Gas_Heating_Load = Estimated Gas heating Load per multi family unit.⁵⁹⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Gas_Heating_Load per Multi family unit (therms)
1 (Rockford)	567
2 (Chicago)	542
3 (Springfield)	464
4 (Belleville)	358
5 (Marion)	365
Average	515

μBoiler = AFUE Efficiency of the boiler system

= Actual. If unknown assume 75%

$\# \text{Radiators}$ = Number of radiators in the multifamily unit.

= Actual. If unknown estimated as five.

$\% \text{TRVSavings}$ = Estimate of heating consumption savings from installing a TRV⁵⁹⁶

= 15% when part of a system balancing project to address overheated spaces

= 5% if installed without system balancing

⁵⁹⁵ This assumption is based on the Single Family Gas Heating Consumption for boiler values provided in 5.3.14 Boiler Reset Controls (based on Table 3-4, Program Sample Analysis, *Nicor R29 Res Rebate Evaluation Report 092611_REV FINAL to Nicor*) multiplied by a 65% adjustment factor, which is used to account for the expected lower multifamily heating consumption relative to single-family households due to overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior.

⁵⁹⁶ Based on literature review of a limited number of studies available including:

Department of Energy, Dentz et al, "Thermostatic Radiator Valve Evaluation", January 2015.

NYSERDA "Thermostatic Radiator Valve Demonstration Project", 1995.

Lublin University of Technology Cholewa et al "Actual energy savings from the use of thermostatic radiator valves in residential buildings – Long term field evaluation", July 2017.

For example, a TRV is installed on three of five radiators in a multifamily unit with a central 75% AFUE hydronic boiler, as part of a system balancing project in Chicago.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms per TRV} &= \text{Gas_Heating_Load} / (\mu\text{Boiler} * \#\text{Radiators}) * \% \text{TRVSavings} \\ &= 542 / (0.75 * 5) * 0.15 \\ &= 21.7 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

Total of $19.6 * 3 = 65.1$ Therms for the multi family unit

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-TRVS-V01-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.4 Hot Water End Use

5.4.1 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes adding insulation to un-insulated domestic hot water pipes. The measure assumes the pipe wrap is installed to the first length of both the hot and cold pipe up to the first elbow. This is the most cost effective section to insulate since the water pipes act as an extension of the hot water tank up to the first elbow which acts as a heat trap. Insulating this length therefore helps reduce standby losses. Default savings are provided per 3ft length and are appropriate up to 6ft of the hot water pipe and 3ft of the cold.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is installing pipe wrap insulation to a length of hot water pipe.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is an un-insulated hot water pipe.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 15 years.⁵⁹⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost including material and installation is assumed to be \$3 per linear foot.⁵⁹⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

This measure assumes a flat loadshape since savings relate to reducing standby losses and as such the coincidence factor is 1.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For electric DHW systems:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * \text{ISR}) / \eta_{\text{DHW}} / 3412$$

Where:

Rexist = Pipe heat loss coefficient of uninsulated pipe (existing) [(hr-°F-ft)/Btu]

⁵⁹⁷ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

⁵⁹⁸ Consistent with DEER 2008 Database Technology and Measure Cost Data (www.deeresources.com).

	$= 1.0^{599}$
R _{new}	= Pipe heat loss coefficient of insulated pipe (new) [(hr-°F-ft)/Btu] = Actual (1.0 + R value of insulation)
L	= Length of pipe from water heating source covered by pipe wrap (ft) = Actual
C _{exist}	= Circumference of pipe (ft) (Diameter (in) * $\pi/12$) = Actual (0.5" pipe = 0.131ft, 0.75" pipe = 0.196ft)
C _{new}	= Circumference of pipe (ft) (Diameter (in) * $\pi/12$) = Actual (0.5" pipe and 3/8" foam ((0.5 + 3/8 + 3/8) * $\pi/12$) = .327 ft)
ΔT	= Average temperature difference between supplied water and outside air temperature (°F) = 60°F ⁶⁰⁰
8,766	= Hours per year
ISR	= In Service Rate = 0.56 for Kits distribution, ⁶⁰¹ and 1.0 for all other program types
η_{DHW}	= Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater = 0.98 ⁶⁰²
3412	= Conversion from Btu to kWh

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-5 wrap through a Direct Install program:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * 1.0) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412 \\
 &= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 5 * 60 * 8766 * 1.0) / 0.98 / 3412 \\
 &= 106 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

If inputs above are not available, the following default per 3ft R-5 length can be used for up to 6 ft length on the hot pipe and 3 ft on the cold pipe.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh for KIT programs} &= ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * 0.56) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412 \\
 &= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 3 * 60 * 8766 * 0.56) / 0.98 / 3412 \\
 &= 34.5 \text{ kWh per 3ft length}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh for all other programs} &= ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * 1.0) / \eta_{DHW} / 3412 \\
 &= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 3 * 60 * 8766 * 1.0) / 0.98 / 3412 \\
 &= 61.6 \text{ kWh per 3ft length}
 \end{aligned}$$

⁵⁹⁹ Navigant Consulting Inc., April 2009; "Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning; Appendix C Substantiation Sheets", p77.

⁶⁰⁰ Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

⁶⁰¹ Kits installation rate for DHW pipe insulation is from 2020 survey research by Guidehouse, conducted with Peoples Gas income qualified recipients of self install efficiency kits distributed by mail in late 2019. There were 117 survey respondents.

⁶⁰² Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / 8766$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings from pipe wrap installation

8766 = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-5 wrap through a Direct Install program:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 106/8766 \\ &= 0.0121kW\end{aligned}$$

If inputs above are not available, the following default per 3ft R-4 length can be used for up to 6 ft length on the hot pipe and 3 ft on the cold pipe.

$$\Delta kW \text{ for KIT programs} = 34.5/8766$$

$$= 0.0039 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW \text{ for all other programs} = 61.6/8766$$

$$= 0.0070 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For Natural Gas DHW systems:

$$\Delta \text{Therm} = ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * \text{ISR}) / \eta_{\text{DHW}} / 100,000$$

Where:

η_{DHW} = Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater

$$= 0.78^{603}$$

Other variables as defined above

For example, insulating 5 feet of 0.75" pipe with R-5 wrap through a Direct Install program:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therm} &= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 5 * 60 * 8766 * 1.0) / 0.78 / 100,000 \\ &= 4.40 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

If inputs above are not available, the following default per 3ft R-4 length can be used for up to 6ft length on the hot pipe and 3ft on the cold pipe.

$$\Delta \text{Therm for KIT programs} = ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * \text{ISR}) / \eta_{\text{DHW}} / 100,000$$

$$= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 3 * 60 * 8766 * 0.56) / 0.78 / 100,000$$

$$= 1.48 \text{ therms per 3ft length}$$

$$\Delta \text{Therm for all other programs} = ((C_{\text{exist}} / R_{\text{exist}} - C_{\text{new}} / R_{\text{new}}) * L * \Delta T * 8,766 * \text{ISR}) / \eta_{\text{DHW}} / 100,000$$

$$= ((0.196/1 - 0.327/5) * 3 * 60 * 8766 * 1.0) / 0.78 / 100,000$$

$$= 2.64 \text{ therms per 3ft length}$$

⁶⁰³ Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PINS-V04-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

5.4.2 Gas Water Heater

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of sale or new construction:

The purchase and installation of a new efficient gas-fired water heater, in place of a Federal Standard unit in a residential setting. Savings are provided for power-vented, condensing storage, and whole-house tankless units meeting specific Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) criteria.

- b) Early replacement:

The early removal of an existing functioning natural gas water heater from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a residential gas-fired storage water heater or tankless water heater meeting ENERGY STAR criteria.⁶⁰⁴

Water Heater Type	Water Heater Volume (gallons)	Draw Pattern	Minimum Uniform Energy Factor
Gas Storage	≤ 55	Medium	≥ 0.64
		High	≥ 0.68
	> 55	Medium	≥ 0.78
		High	≥ 0.80
Gas Instantaneous	All	All	≥ 0.87

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline equipment is assumed to be a new, gas-fired storage residential water heater meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards as provided below:

Equipment Type	Sub Category	Draw Pattern	Federal Standard – Uniform Energy Factor ⁶⁰⁵
Residential Gas Storage Water Heaters ≤75,000 Btu/h	≤55 gallon tanks	Very small	UEF = 0.3456 – (0.0020 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Low	UEF = 0.5982 – (0.0019 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Medium	UEF = 0.6483 – (0.0017 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		High	UEF = 0.6920 – (0.0013 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
	>55 gallon and ≤100 gallon tanks	Very small	UEF = 0.6470 – (0.0006 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Low	UEF = 0.7689 – (0.0005 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Medium	UEF = 0.7897 – (0.0004 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		High	UEF = 0.8072 – (0.0003 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)

⁶⁰⁴ ENERGY STAR Product Specification for Residential Water Heaters, Version 3.2, effective April 16, 2015

⁶⁰⁵ DOE Standard 10 CFR 430, Residential-Duty and Commercial Federal Standard are from DOE Standard 10 CFR 431.

Draw patterns are based on first hour rating (gallons) for storage tanks as shown below:⁶⁰⁶

Storage Water Heater Draw Pattern	
Draw Pattern	First Hour Rating (gallons)
Very Small	≥ 0 and < 18
Low	≥ 18 and < 51
Medium	≥ 51 and < 75
High	≥ 75

The same draw pattern (very small, low, medium and high draw) should be used for both baseline and efficient units. If using a deemed approach, for storage water heaters with a storage capacity equal to or less than 55 gallons, the Federal energy factor requirement is calculated as $0.6483 - (0.0017 \times \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ assuming a Medium draw and $0.8072 - (0.0003 \times \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ assuming a High draw for greater than 55 gallon storage water heaters.

Early Replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing gas water heater for the remaining useful life of the unit and the efficiency of a new gas water heater of the same type meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years.⁶⁰⁷

For early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 4 years.⁶⁰⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the type of water heater as listed below.⁶⁰⁹

Early Replacement: The full installed cost is provided in the table below. The assumed deferred cost (after 4 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$650.⁶¹⁰ This cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal discount rate.

Water heater Type	Incremental Cost	Full Install Cost
Gas Storage	\$400	\$1014
Condensing gas storage	\$685	\$1299
Tankless whole-house unit	\$605	\$1219

⁶⁰⁶ Definitions provided in 10 CFR 430, Subpart B, Appendix E, Section 5.4.1

⁶⁰⁷ DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.14. Note: This source is used to support this category in aggregate. For all water heaters, life expectancy will depend on local variables such as water chemistry and homeowner maintenance. Some categories, including condensing storage and tankless water heaters do not yet have sufficient field data to support separate values. Preliminary data show lifetimes may exceed 20 years, though this has yet to be sufficiently demonstrated.

⁶⁰⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

⁶⁰⁹ Source for cost info; DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.14.

⁶¹⁰ The deemed install cost of a Gas Storage heater is based upon DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters, and applying inflation rate of 1.91%.

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale or New Construction:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (1/\text{UEF}_{\text{BASE}} - 1/\text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * (\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Early replacement:⁶¹¹

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 3.7 years for gas storage unit and 1st 6.7 years for gas tankless unit):

$$= (1/\text{UEF}_{\text{EXISTING}} - 1/\text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * (\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 7.3 years for gas storage unit and next 13.3 years for gas tankless unit):

$$= (1/\text{UEF}_{\text{BASE}} - 1/\text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * (\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Where:

UEF_Baseline = Uniform Energy Factor rating of standard storage water heater according to federal standards provided in table in baseline section and using the same draw pattern as the efficient equipment. For a deemed approach:

= For gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons: $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$

= For gas storage water heaters >55 gallons: $0.8072 - (0.0003 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$

= If tank size is unknown, assume 0.563 for a gas storage water heater with a 50-gallon storage capacity

UEF_Efficient = Uniform Energy Factor Rating for efficient equipment

= Actual. If unknown assume 0.64 for gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons, 0.78 for gas

⁶¹¹ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

	storage water heaters >55 gallons, and 0.87 for gas tankless water heaters. ⁶¹²								
UEF_Existing	= Uniform Energy Factor rating for existing equipment = Use actual UEF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. = if unknown assume 0.52 ⁶¹³								
GPD	= Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person = 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household. ⁶¹⁴ = 17.6								
Household	= Average number of people per household								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Household Unit Type</th><th>Household</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Single-Family - Deemed</td><td>2.56⁶¹⁵</td></tr> <tr> <td>Multifamily - Deemed</td><td>2.1⁶¹⁶</td></tr> <tr> <td>Custom</td><td>Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms⁶¹⁷</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Household Unit Type	Household	Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁶¹⁵	Multifamily - Deemed	2.1 ⁶¹⁶	Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁶¹⁷
Household Unit Type	Household								
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁶¹⁵								
Multifamily - Deemed	2.1 ⁶¹⁶								
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁶¹⁷								
	Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily								
365.25	= Days per year, on average								
γ_{Water}	= Specific Weight of water = 8.33 pounds per gallon								
T_{OUT}	= Tank temperature = 125°F								
T_{IN}	= Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system = 54°F ⁶¹⁸								
1.0	= Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)								

For example, a 40 gallon condensing gas storage water heater, with a uniform energy factor of 0.80 in a single family house:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (1/0.58 - 1/0.80) * (17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54) * 1) / 100,000$$

$$= 46.15 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁶¹² ENERGY STAR Product Specification for Residential Water Heaters, Version 3.2, effective April 16, 2015.

⁶¹³ Based on DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters.

⁶¹⁴ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

⁶¹⁵ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁶¹⁶ Navigant, ComEd PY3 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report Final, May 16, 2012.

⁶¹⁷ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁶¹⁸ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-GWHT-V09-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.4.3 Heat Pump Water Heaters

DESCRIPTION

The installation of a heat pump domestic hot water heater in place of a standard electric water heater in a home. Savings are presented dependent on the heating system installed in the home due to the impact of the heat pump water heater on the heating loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.
If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR Heat Pump domestic water heater.⁶¹⁹

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a new electric water heater meeting federal minimum efficiency standards,⁶²⁰ dependent on the storage volume (in gallons) of the water heater.

Equipment Type	Sub Category	Draw Pattern	Federal Standard – Uniform Energy Factor ⁶²¹
Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters ≤ 75,000 Btu/h	≤55 gallon tanks	Very small	UEF = 0.8808 – (0.0008 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Low	UEF = 0.9254 – (0.0003 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Medium	UEF = 0.9307 – (0.0002 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		High	UEF = 0.9349 – (0.0001 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
	>55 gallon and ≤120 gallon tanks ⁶²²	Very small	UEF = 1.9236 – (0.0011 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Low	UEF = 2.0440 – (0.0011 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		Medium	UEF = 2.1171 – (0.0011 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
		High	UEF = 2.2418 – (0.0011 * Rated Storage Volume in Gallons)
Residential Electric Instantaneous Water Heaters	≤12kW and ≤2 gal	All other	UEF = 0.91
		High	UEF = 0.92

The same draw pattern (very small, low, medium and high draw) should be used for both baseline and efficient units. If using a deemed approach, for units ≤55 gallons – baseline is assumed to be a resistance storage unit with efficiency: 0.9307 – (0.0002 * rated volume in gallons) assuming medium draw.

For units >55 gallons – assume a 50 gallon resistance tank baseline,⁶²³ i.e., 0.9299 UEF assuming high draw .

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 15 years.⁶²⁴

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied

⁶¹⁹ If the water heater does not have a UEF rating, but a EF rating, revert to using the previous version of this measure.

⁶²⁰ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015, and updated in a Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in 2016 assuming medium draw pattern.

⁶²¹ All Residential sized Federal Standards are from DOE Standard 10 CFR 430, Residential-Duty and Commercial Federal Standard are from DOE Standard 10 CFR 431.

⁶²² It is assumed that tanks <75,000Btu/h and >55 gallons will not be eligible measures due to the high baseline.

⁶²³ A 50 gallon volume tank for the baseline is assumed to capture market practice of using larger heat pump water heaters to achieve greater efficiency of the heat pump cycle and preventing the unit from going in electric resistance mode.

⁶²⁴ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.⁶²⁵ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For Time of Sale or New Construction the incremental installation cost (including labor) should be used. Defaults are provided below.⁶²⁶ Actual efficient costs can also be used although care should be taken as installation costs can vary significantly due to complexities of a particular site.

For retrofit costs, the actual full installation cost should be used (default provided below if unknown).

Capacity	Efficiency Range	Baseline Installed Cost	Efficient Installed Cost	Incremental Installed Cost
≤55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,062	\$1,030
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,231	\$1,199
>55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$2,432	\$1,113
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$3,116	\$1,797

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R18 - Residential Heat Pump Water Heater

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to be 12%.⁶²⁷

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (((1/\text{UEF}_{\text{BASE}} - 1/\text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}) * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412) + \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} - \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}}$$

Where:

UEF_{BASE} = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of standard electric water heater according to federal standards provided in table in baseline section and using the same draw pattern as the efficient equipment. For a deemed approach:

For ≤55 gallons: $0.9307 - (0.0002 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

For >55 gallons: 0.9299

= If unknown volume, use 0.9207 for a 50 gallon tank, the most common size for HPWH assuming medium draw

$\text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}}$ = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of Heat Pump water heater

⁶²⁵ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

⁶²⁶ Costs for <2.6 UEF are based upon averages from the NEEP Phase 3 Incremental Cost Study. The assumption for higher efficiency tanks is based upon averaged from NEEP Phase 4 Incremental Cost Study. See 'HPWH Cost Estimation.xls' for more information.

⁶²⁷ Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; 'Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters' as $(\text{average kW usage during peak period} * \text{hours in peak period}) / [(\text{annual kWh savings} / \text{FLH}) * \text{hours in peak period}] = (0.1 \text{ kW} * 5 \text{ hours}) / [(2100 \text{ kWh (default assumptions)} / 2533 \text{ hours}) * 5 \text{ hours}] = 0.12$

= Actual

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person
= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household ⁶²⁸
= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁶²⁹
Multifamily - Deemed	2.1 ⁶³⁰
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁶³¹

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

365.25 = Days per year

γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water
= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature
= 125°F

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or munipicle system
= 54°F ⁶³²

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

$\text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}}^{633}$ = Cooling savings from conversion of heat in home to water heat

$$= (((((\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412) -$$

$$((1 / \text{UEF}_{\text{NEW}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412)) *$$

$$\text{LF} * 27\%) / \text{COP}_{\text{COOL}}) * \text{LM}$$

Where:

LF = Location Factor
= 1.0 for HPWH installation in a conditioned space
= 0.5 for HPWH installation in an unknown location

⁶²⁸ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

⁶²⁹ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁶³⁰ Navigant, ComEd PY3 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report Final, May 16, 2012.

⁶³¹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁶³² US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

⁶³³ This algorithm calculates the heat removed from the air by subtracting the HPWH electric consumption from the total water heating energy delivered. This is then adjusted to account for location of the HP unit and the coincidence of the waste heat with cooling requirements, the efficiency of the central cooling and latent cooling demands.

	= 0.0 for installation in an unconditioned space
27%	= Portion of reduced waste heat that results in cooling savings ⁶³⁴
COP _{COOL}	= COP of central air conditioning
	= Actual, if unknown, assume 2.8 ⁶³⁵
LM	= Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand
	= 1.33 ⁶³⁶
kWh_heating	= Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat (dependent on heating fuel)
	= (((((GPD * Household * 365.25 * γ _{Water} * (T _{OUT} - T _{IN}) * 1.0) / 3412) - ((1/ UEF _{NEW} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * γ _{Water} * (T _{OUT} - T _{IN}) * 1.0) / 3412)) * LF * 49%) / COP _{HEAT}) * (1 - %NaturalGas)

Where:

49%	= Portion of reduced waste heat that results in increased heating load ⁶³⁷
COP _{HEAT}	= COP of electric heating system
	= actual. If not available use. ⁶³⁸

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP _{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ⁶³⁹	N/A	N/A	1.28

⁶³⁴ REMRate determined percentage (27%) of lighting savings that result in reduced cooling loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

⁶³⁵ Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP$.

⁶³⁶ A sensible heat ratio (SHR) of 0.75 corresponds to a latent multiplier of 4/3 or 1.33. SHR of 0.75 for typical split system from page 10 of "Controlling Indoor Humidity Using Variable-Speed Compressors and Blowers" by M. A. Andrade and C. W. Bullard, 1999.

⁶³⁷ REMRate determined percentage (49%) of lighting savings that result in increased heating loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

⁶³⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

⁶³⁹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

For example, a 2.0 UEF heat pump water heater, in a conditioned space in a single family home with gas space heat and central air conditioning (SEER 10.5) in Belleville:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= [(1 / 0.9207 - 1 / 2.0) * 17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54)] / 3412 + 188.9 - 0 \\ &= 1861 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh} / \text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

Hours = Full load hours of water heater

$$= 2533^{640}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.12^{641}$$

For example, a 2.0 UEF heat pump water heater, in a conditioned space in a single family home with gas space heat and central air conditioning in Belleville:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{kW} &= 1861 / 2533 * 0.12 \\ &= 0.088\text{kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= - (((((\text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412) - (\text{GPD} * \text{Household} \\ &\quad * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0) / 3412) / \text{UEF}_{\text{EFFICIENT}})) * \text{LF} * 49\% * 0.03412) / \eta_{\text{Heat}} \\ &\quad * \%_{\text{NaturalGas}}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat for homes with Natural Gas heat⁶⁴²

0.03412 = conversion factor (therms per kWh)

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual.⁶⁴³ If not available use 70%.⁶⁴⁴

⁶⁴⁰ Full load hours assumption based on Efficiency Vermont analysis of Itron eShapes.

⁶⁴¹ Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; 'Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters' as (average kW usage during peak period * hours in peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH) * hours in peak period] = (0.1 kW * 5 hours) / [(2100 kWh / 2533 hours) * 5 hours] = 0.12

⁶⁴² This is the additional energy consumption required to replace the heat removed from the home during the heating season by the heat pump water heater. kWh_heating (electric resistance) is that additional heating energy for a home with electric resistance heat (COP 1.0). This formula converts the additional heating kWh for an electric resistance home to the MMBtu required in a Natural Gas heated home, applying the relative efficiencies.

⁶⁴³ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: ([see 'DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf'](#)) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁶⁴⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of

%NaturalGas = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%NaturalGas
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel ⁶⁴⁵	87%

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 2.0 COP heat pump water heater in conditioned space, in a single family home with gas space heat (70% system efficiency):

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = -((((17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54) * 1.0) / 3412) - (17.6 * 2.56 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (125 - 54) * 1.0 / 3412 / 2.0)) * 1 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.7) * 1$$

$$= - 34.1 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
ηCool	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
ηHeat	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.⁶⁴⁶ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

⁶⁴⁵ 2010 American Community Survey.

⁶⁴⁶ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-HPWH-V10-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.4.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow faucet aerator in a household kitchen or bath faucet fixture.

This measure may be used for units provided through Efficiency Kits however the in service rate for such measures should be derived through evaluation results specifically for this implementation methodology.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a low flow faucet aerator, for bathrooms rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) or less, or for kitchens rated at 2.2 GPM or less. Savings are calculated on an average savings per faucet fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard bathroom faucet aerator rated at 2.2 GPM or greater, or a standard kitchen faucet aerator rated at 2.2 GPM or greater.

Average measured flow rates are used in the algorithm and are lower, reflecting the penetration of previously installed low flow fixtures (and therefore the freerider rate for this measure should be 0), use of the faucet at less than full flow, debris buildup, and lower water system pressure than fixtures are rated at.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.⁶⁴⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For time of sale or new construction the incremental cost for this measure is \$3,⁶⁴⁸ or program actual.

For faucet aerators provided through Direct Install or within Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs (including labor if applicable) should be utilized. If unknown, assume \$8 for Direct Install⁶⁴⁹ and \$3 for Efficiency Kits.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.2%.⁶⁵⁰

⁶⁴⁷ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

⁶⁴⁸ 2011, Market research average of \$3.

⁶⁴⁹ Includes assess and install labor time of \$5 (20min @ \$15/hr)

⁶⁵⁰ Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.18 * 65 / 365 = 3.21\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $3.21\% * 180 = 5.8$ hours of recovery during peak period where 180 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use including SF and MF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $5.8 / 260 = 0.022$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

Note these savings are *per faucet retrofitted*⁶⁵¹ (unless faucet type is unknown, then it is per household).

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \% \text{ElectricDHW} * ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * \text{L}_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * \text{L}_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \text{DF} / \text{FPH}) * \text{EPG}_{\text{electric}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{ElectricDHW}$ = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	$\% \text{ElectricDHW}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁶⁵²

GPM_{base} = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet “as-used.” This includes the effect of existing low flow fixtures and therefore the freerider rate for this measure should be 0.

= If unknown assume values in table below, or custom based on metering studies,⁶⁵³ or if measured during DI:

= Measured full throttle flow * 0.83 throttling factor⁶⁵⁴

Faucet Type	GPM ⁶⁵⁵
Kitchen	1.63
Bathroom	1.53
If faucet location unknown	1.58

GPM_{low} = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the low-flow faucet aerator “as-used”

⁶⁵¹ This algorithm calculates the amount of energy saved per aerator by determining the fraction of water consumption savings for the upgraded fixture.

⁶⁵² Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁶⁵³ Measurement should be based on actual average flow consumed over a period of time rather than a onetime spot measurement for maximum flow. Studies have shown maximum flow rates do not correspond well to average flow rate due to occupant behavior which does not always use maximum flow.

⁶⁵⁴ 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf

⁶⁵⁵ Based on flow meter bag testing conducted from June 2013 to January 2014 by Franklin Energy. Over 300 residential sites in the Chicago area were tested.

= 0.94,⁶⁵⁶ or custom based on metering studies,⁶⁵⁷ or if measured during DI:

= Rated full throttle flow * 0.95 throttling factor⁶⁵⁸

L_base = Average baseline daily length faucet use per capita for faucet of interest in minutes

= if available custom based on metering studies, if not use:

Faucet Type	L_base (min/person/day)
Kitchen	4.5 ⁶⁵⁹
Bathroom	1.6 ⁶⁶⁰
If faucet location unknown (total for household): Single-Family except mobile homes	9.0 ⁶⁶¹
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily and mobile homes	6.9 ⁶⁶²
If faucet location and building type unknown (total for household)	8.3 ⁶⁶³

L_low = Average retrofit daily length faucet use per capita for faucet of interest in minutes

= if available custom based on metering studies, if not use:

Faucet Type	L_low (min/person/day)
Kitchen	4.5 ⁶⁶⁴
Bathroom	1.6 ⁶⁶⁵
If faucet location unknown (total for household): Single-Family except mobile homes	9.0 ⁶⁶⁶
If faucet location unknown (total for household):	6.9 ⁶⁶⁷

⁶⁵⁶ Average retrofit flow rate for kitchen and bathroom faucet aerators from sources 2, 4, 5, and 7(see source table at end of characterization). This accounts for all throttling and differences from rated flow rates. Assumes all kitchen aerators at 2.2 gpm or less and all bathroom aerators at 1.5 gpm or less. The most comprehensive available studies did not disaggregate kitchen use from bathroom use, but instead looked at total flow and length of use for all faucets. This makes it difficult to reliably separate kitchen water use from bathroom water use. It is possible that programs installing low flow aerators lower than the 2.2 gpm for kitchens and 1.5 gpm for bathrooms will see a lower overall average retrofit flow rate.

⁶⁵⁷ Measurement should be based on actual average flow consumed over a period of time rather than a onetime spot measurement for maximum flow. Studies have shown maximum flow rates do not correspond well to average flow rate due to occupant behavior which does not always use maximum flow.

⁶⁵⁸ 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265.

⁶⁵⁹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and Multifamily homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

⁶⁶⁰ Ibid.

⁶⁶¹ One kitchen faucet plus 2.83 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁶² One kitchen faucet plus 1.5 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁶³ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁶⁶⁴ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁶⁶⁵ Ibid.

⁶⁶⁶ One kitchen faucet plus 2.83 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁶⁷ One kitchen faucet plus 1.5 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites,

Faucet Type	L_low (min/person/day)
Multifamily	
If faucet location and building type unknown (total for household)	8.3 ⁶⁶⁸

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁶⁶⁹
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁶⁷⁰
Household type unknown	2.42 ⁶⁷¹
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁶⁷²

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

365.25 = Days in a year, on average.

DF = Drain Factor

Faucet Type	Drain Factor ⁶⁷³
Kitchen	75%
Bath	90%
Unknown	79.5%

FPH = Faucets Per Household

Faucet Type	FPH
Kitchen Faucets Per Home (KFPH)	1
Bathroom Faucets Per Home (BFPH): Single-Family except mobile homes	2.83 ⁶⁷⁴
Bathroom Faucets Per Home (BFPH): Multifamily and mobile homes	1.5 ⁶⁷⁵
If faucet location unknown (total for household): Single-Family except mobile homes	3.83
If faucet location unknown (total for household):	2.5

provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁶⁸ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁶⁶⁹ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁶⁷⁰ Navigant, ComEd PY3 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report Final, May 16, 2012.

⁶⁷¹ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁶⁷² Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁶⁷³ Because faucet usages are at times dictated by volume, only usage of the sort that would go straight down the drain will provide savings. VEIC is unaware of any metering study that has determined this specific factor and so through consensus with the Illinois Technical Advisory Group have deemed these values to be 75% for the kitchen and 90% for the bathroom. If the aerator location is unknown an average of 79.5% should be used which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom $(0.7*0.75)+(0.3*0.9)=0.795$.

⁶⁷⁴Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁷⁵ Ibid.

Faucet Type	FPH
Multifamily and mobile homes	
If faucet location and building type unknown (total for household)	3.42 ⁶⁷⁶

EPG _{electric}	= Energy per gallon of water used by faucet supplied by electric water heater $= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$ $= (8.33 * 1.0 * (86 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412)$ $= 0.0795 \text{ kWh/gal (Bath), } 0.0969 \text{ kWh/gal (Kitchen), } 0.0919 \text{ kWh/gal (Unknown)}$
8.33	= Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)
1.0	= Heat Capacity of water (btu/lb-°F)
WaterTemp	= Assumed temperature of mixed water = 86F for Bath, 93F for Kitchen 91F for Unknown ⁶⁷⁷
SupplyTemp	= Assumed temperature of water entering house = 54.1F ⁶⁷⁸
RE _{electric}	= Recovery efficiency of electric water heater = 98% ⁶⁷⁹
3412	= Converts Btu to kWh (btu/kWh)
ISR	= In service rate of faucet aerators dependant on install method as listed in table below

⁶⁷⁶ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁶⁷⁷ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. If the aerator location is unknown an average of 91% should be used which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom $(0.7*93)+(0.3*86)=0.91$.

⁶⁷⁸ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

⁶⁷⁹ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%. <http://www.ahridirectory.org/ahridirectory/pages/home.aspx>

Selection	ISR
Direct Install - Single Family	0.95 ⁶⁸⁰
Direct Install –Multifamily Kitchen	0.91 ⁶⁸¹
Direct Install –Multifamily Bathroom	0.95 ⁶⁸²
Efficiency Kit Bathroom Aerator	0.61 ⁶⁸³
Efficiency Kit Kitchen Aerator	0.58 ⁶⁸⁴
Community Distributed Kit Aerators	0.45 ⁶⁸⁵
Distributed School Efficiency Kit Bathroom Aerator	0.27 ⁶⁸⁶
Distributed School Efficiency Kit Kitchen Aerator	0.27 ⁶⁸⁷

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

For example, a direct installed kitchen low flow faucet aerator in an individual electric DHW home:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = 1.0 * (((1.63 * 4.5 - 0.94 * 4.5) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.75) / 1) * 0.0969 * 0.95$$

$$= 200 \text{ kWh}$$

For example, a direct installed bath low flow faucet aerator in a shared electric DHW home:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = 1.0 * (((1.53 * 1.6 - 0.94 * 1.6) * 2.1 * 365.25 * 0.90) / 1.5) * 0.0795 * 0.95$$

$$= 33.0 \text{ kWh}$$

For example, a direct installed low flow faucet aerator in unknown faucet in an individual electric DHW home:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = 1.0 * (((1.58 * 9.0 - 0.94 * 9.0) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795) / 3.83) * 0.0919 * 0.95$$

$$= 97.6 \text{ kWh}$$

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{water}} = \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$E_{\text{water total}} = \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)}$$

$$= 5010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{688}$$

⁶⁸⁰ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8

⁶⁸¹ Navigant, ComEd-Nicor Gas EPY4/GPY1 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report DRAFT 2013-01-28

⁶⁸² Ibid.

⁶⁸³ A weighted ISR was found by weighting Nicor and Ameren efficiency kit program uptake and their previously found ISRs. This analysis can be found in Faucet Aerators and Showerheads Weighted Average ISR IL TRM.xlsx.

⁶⁸⁴ A weighted ISR was found by weighting Nicor and Ameren efficiency kit program uptake and their previously found ISRs. This analysis can be found in Faucet Aerators and Showerheads Weighted Average ISR IL TRM.xlsx.

⁶⁸⁵ Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

⁶⁸⁶ Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus. 2018 AIC Residential Program Annual Impact Evaluation Report. April 30, 2019. Results from implementer-administered participant survey.

⁶⁸⁷ Ibid

⁶⁸⁸ This factor includes 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

= 2,937 for measures installed in Cook County^{689,690}

For example, a direct installed kitchen low flow aerator in an single family home

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} &= (((1.63 * 4.5 - 0.94 * 4.5) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.75) / 1) * 0.95 \\ &= 2068 \text{ gallons} \\ \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{water}} &= 2068 / 1000000 * 5010 \\ &= 10.4 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \Delta \text{kWh} / \text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this calculation.

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use per faucet

$$= ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * \text{L}_{\text{base}}) * \text{Household}/\text{FPH} * 365.25 * \text{DF}) * 0.545^{691} / \text{GPH}$$

Building Type	Faucet location	Calculation	Hours per faucet
Single Family	Kitchen	$((1.63 * 4.5) * 2.56 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75) * 0.545 / 27.4$	102
	Bathroom	$((1.53 * 1.6) * 2.56 / 2.83 * 365.25 * 0.9) * 0.545 / 27.4$	14
	Unknown	$((1.58 * 9.0) * 2.56 / 3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795) * 0.545 / 27.4$	55
Multifamily	Kitchen	$((1.63 * 4.5) * 2.1 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75) * 0.545 / 27.4$	84
	Bathroom	$((1.53 * 1.6) * 2.1 / 1.5 * 365.25 * 0.9) * 0.545 / 27.4$	22
	Unknown	$((1.58 * 6.9) * 2.1 / 2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795) * 0.545 / 27.4$	53

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70.9F temp rise (125-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

$$= 27.4$$

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

$$= 0.022^{692}$$

⁶⁸⁹ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

⁶⁹⁰ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

⁶⁹¹ 54.5% is the proportion of hot 120F water mixed with 54.1F supply water to give 90F mixed faucet water.

⁶⁹² Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage

For example, a direct installed kitchen low flow faucet aerator in a single family electric DHW home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 200/110 * 0.022 \\ &= 0.04 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilDHW} * ((\text{GPM_base} * \text{L_base} - \text{GPM_low} * \text{L_low}) * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \text{DF} / \text{FPH}) * \text{EPG_gas} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{FossilDHW}$ = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	$\% \text{Fossil_DHW}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁶⁹³

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas
 $= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_gas} * 100,000)$
 $= 0.00341 \text{ Therm/gal for SF homes (Bath), } 0.00415 \text{ Therm/gal for SF homes (Kitchen), } 0.00394 \text{ Therm/gal for SF homes (Unknown)}$
 $= 0.00397 \text{ Therm/gal for MF homes (Bath), } 0.00484 \text{ Therm/gal for MF homes (Kitchen), } 0.00459 \text{ Therm/gal for MF homes (Unknown)}$

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater
 $= 78\% \text{ For individual water heater}^{694}$
 $= 67\% \text{ For shared water heater}^{695}$

If unknown, use individual water heater value for single family, use shared water heater value for multifamily. Use multifamily if building meets utility's definition for multifamily.

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)
 Other variables as defined above.

of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.18 * 65 / 365 = 3.21\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $3.21\% * 180 = 5.8$ hours of recovery during peak period where 180 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use including SF and MF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $5.8 / 260 = 0.022$

⁶⁹³ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁶⁹⁴ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁶⁹⁵ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

For example, a direct-installed kitchen low flow faucet aerator in a fuel DHW single-family home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * (((1.63 * 4.5 - 0.94 * 4.5) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.75) / 1) * 0.00415 * 0.95 \\ &= 8.58 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed bath low flow faucet aerator in a fuel DHW multi-family home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * (((1.53 * 1.6 - 0.94 * 1.6) * 2.1 * 365.25 * 0.90) / 1.5) * 0.003974 * 0.95 \\ &= 1.64 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed low flow faucet aerator in unknown faucet in a fuel DHW single-family home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * (((1.58 * 9.0 - 0.94 * 9.0) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795) / 3.83) * 0.00394 * 0.95 \\ &= 4.18 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} = ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * L_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \text{DF} / \text{FPH}) * \text{ISR}$$

Variables as defined above

For example, a direct-installed kitchen low flow aerator in a single family home

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} &= (((1.63 * 4.5 - 0.94 * 4.5) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.75) / 1) * 0.95 \\ &= 2068 \text{ gallons}\end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed bath low flow faucet aerator in a multi-family home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} &= (((1.53 * 1.6 - 0.94 * 1.6) * 2.1 * 365.25 * 0.90) / 1.5) * 0.95 \\ &= 413 \text{ gallons}\end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed low flow faucet aerator in unknown faucet in a single family home:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} &= (((1.58 * 9.0 - 0.94 * 9.0) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.795) / 3.83) * 0.95 \\ &= 1062 \text{ gallons}\end{aligned}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFFA-V10-210101**REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024**

5.4.5 Low Flow Showerheads

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow showerhead in a single or multi-family household.

This measure may be used for units provided through Efficiency Kits; however the in service rate for such measures should be derived through evaluation results specifically for this implementation methodology.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a low flow showerhead rated at least 0.5 gallons per minute (GPM) less than the existing showerhead. Savings are calculated on a per showerhead fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For Direct install programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a standard showerhead rated at 2.0 GPM or greater.

For retrofit and time-of-sale programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a representative average of existing showerhead flow rates of participating customers including a range of low flow showerheads, standard-flow showerheads, and high-flow showerheads.

Average measured flow rates are used in the algorithm and are lower, reflecting the penetration of previously installed low flow fixtures (and therefore the freerider rate for this measure should be 0), use of the shower at less than full flow, debris buildup, and lower water system pressure than fixtures are rated at.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.⁶⁹⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For time of sale or new construction the incremental cost for this measure is \$7 or program actual.⁶⁹⁷

For low flow showerheads provided through Direct Install or within Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs (including labor if applicable) should be utilized. If unknown assume \$12 for Direct Install⁶⁹⁸ and \$7 for Efficiency Kits.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.78%.⁶⁹⁹

⁶⁹⁶ Table C-6, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. Evaluations indicate that consumer dissatisfaction may lead to reductions in persistence, particularly in Multifamily.

⁶⁹⁷ Market research average of \$7.

⁶⁹⁸ Includes assess and install labor time of \$5 (20min @ \$15/hr)

⁶⁹⁹ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

Note these savings are per showerhead fixture

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \% \text{ElectricDHW} * ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * \text{L}_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}} * \text{L}_{\text{low}}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{EPG}_{\text{electric}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

$\% \text{ElectricDHW}$ = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	$\% \text{ElectricDHW}$
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁷⁰⁰

GPM_{base} = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet “as-used.” This includes the effect of existing low flow fixtures and therefore the freerider rate for this measure should be 0.

Program	GPM_{base}
Direct-install	2.24 ⁷⁰¹
Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC or TOS	2.35 ⁷⁰²

GPM_{low} = As-used flow rate of the low-flow showerhead, which may, as a result of measurements of program evaluations deviate from rated flows, see table below:

Rated Flow
2.0 GPM
1.75 GPM
1.5 GPM
Custom or Actual ⁷⁰³

total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 369 = 7.23$ hours of recovery during peak period, where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $7.23 / 260 = 0.0278$

⁷⁰⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷⁰¹ Based on measurements conducted from June 2013 to January 2014 by Franklin Energy. Over 300 residential sites in the Chicago area were tested.

⁷⁰² Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

⁷⁰³ Note that actual values may be either a) program-specific minimum flow rate, or b) program-specific evaluation-based value of actual effective flow-rate due to increased duration or temperatures. The latter increases in likelihood as the rated flow drops and may become significant at or below rated flows of 1.5 GPM. The impact can be viewed as the inverse of the throttling described in the footnote for baseline flowrate.

L_base = Shower length in minutes with baseline showerhead
= 7.8 min⁷⁰⁴

L_low = Shower length in minutes with low-flow showerhead
= 7.8 min⁷⁰⁵

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type ⁷⁰⁶	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁷⁰⁷
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁷⁰⁸
Household type unknown	2.42 ⁷⁰⁹
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁷¹⁰

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day
= 0.6⁷¹¹

365.25 = Days per year, on average.

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Type	SPH
Single-Family except mobile homes	1.79 ⁷¹²
Multifamily and mobile homes	1.3 ⁷¹³
Household type unknown	1.64 ⁷¹⁴
Custom	Actual

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

EPG_electric = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric

⁷⁰⁴ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and Multifamily homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

⁷⁰⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁰⁶ If household type is unknown, as may be the case for time of sale measures, then single family deemed value shall be used.

⁷⁰⁷ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁷⁰⁸ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁷⁰⁹ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁷¹⁰ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁷¹¹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁷¹² Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁷¹³ Ibid.

⁷¹⁴ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_electric} * 3412)$$

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (101 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412)$$

$$= 0.117 \text{ kWh/gal}$$

8.33 = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (btu/lb-°)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water

$$= 101\text{F}^{715}$$

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house

$$= 54.1\text{F}^{716}$$

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater

$$= 98\%^{717}$$

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead

= Dependant on program delivery method as listed in table below

Selection	ISR
Direct Install - Single Family	0.97 ⁷¹⁸
Direct Install –Multifamily	0.95 ⁷¹⁹
Efficiency Kits--One showerhead kit	0.62 ⁷²⁰
Efficiency Kits—Two showerhead kit	0.67 ⁷²¹
Distributed School Efficiency Kit showerhead	0.25 ⁷²²

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

For example, a direct-installed 1.5 GPM low flow showerhead in a single family home with electric DHW where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= 1.0 * ((2.24 * 7.8 - 1.5 * 7.8) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.117 * 0.97 \\ &= 205 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

⁷¹⁵ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁷¹⁶ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

⁷¹⁷ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

⁷¹⁸ Weighted average of 98% found in ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8 (quantity surveyed = 163), and 87% from ComEd Single Family Retrofits CY2018 Field Work Memo 2019-07-19, Table 1 (quantity surveyed = 15).

Alternative ISRs may be developed for program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

⁷¹⁹ Navigant, ComEd-Nicor Gas EPY4/GPY1 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report FINAL 2013-06-05

⁷²⁰ A weighted ISR was found by weighting Nicor and Ameren efficiency kit program uptake and their previously found ISRs. This analysis can be found in Faucet Aerators and Showerheads Weighted Average ISR IL TRM.xlsx.

⁷²¹ A weighted ISR was found by weighting Nicor and Ameren efficiency kit program uptake and their previously found ISRs. This analysis can be found in Faucet Aerators and Showerheads Weighted Average ISR IL TRM.xlsx.

⁷²² Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus. 2018 AIC Residential Program Annual Impact Evaluation Report. April 30, 2019. Results from implementer-administered participant survey.

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure, but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{water}} = \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{water total}} &= \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)} \\ &= 5010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{723} \\ &= 2,937 \text{ for measures installed in Cook County}^{724,725} \end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM low flow showerhead in a single family where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} &= ((2.24 * 7.8 - 1.5 * 7.8) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.97 \\ &= 1756 \text{ gallons} \\ \Delta kWh_{\text{water}} &= 1756 / 1,000,000 * 5010 \\ &= 8.9 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \text{calculated value above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this calculation.} \\ \text{Hours} &= \text{Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use} \\ &= ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * L_{\text{base}}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25) * 0.712^{726} / \text{GPH} \\ &= 255 \text{ for SF Direct Install; 208 for MF Direct Install} \\ &= 267 \text{ for SF Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS; 219 for MF Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS} \\ &\text{Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily} \\ \text{GPH} &= \text{Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9F temp rise (120-54.1), 98\% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.} \end{aligned}$$

⁷²³ This factor includes 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

⁷²⁴ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

⁷²⁵ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

⁷²⁶ 71.2% is the proportion of hot 120F water mixed with 54.1F supply water to give 101F shower water.

$$= 27.4$$

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

$$= 0.0278^{727}$$

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM low flow showerhead in a single family home with electric DHW where the number of showers is not known:

$$\Delta kW = 205/255 * 0.0278$$

$$= 0.022 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilDHW} * ((\text{GPM_base} * \text{L_base} - \text{GPM_low} * \text{L_low}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{EPG_gas} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%Fossil_DHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁷²⁸

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_gas} * 100,000)$$

$$= 0.00501 \text{ Therm/gal for SF homes}$$

$$= 0.00583 \text{ Therm/gal for MF homes}$$

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

$$= 78\% \text{ For individual water heater}^{729}$$

$$= 67\% \text{ For shared water heater}^{730}$$

If unknown, use individual water heater value for single family, use shared water heater value for multifamily. Use multifamily if building meets utility's definition for multifamily.

⁷²⁷ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 369 = 7.23$ hours of recovery during peak period where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $7.23 / 260 = 0.0278$

⁷²⁸ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷²⁹ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁷³⁰ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above.

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM low flow showerhead in a gas fired DHW single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 1.0 * ((2.24 * 7.8 - 1.5 * 7.8) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00501 * 0.97 \\ &= 8.8 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} = ((\text{GPM_base} * \text{L_base} - \text{GPM_low} * \text{L_low}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{ISR}$$

Variables as defined above

For example, a direct installed 1.5 GPM low flow showerhead in a single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} &= ((2.24 * 7.8 - 1.5 * 7.8) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.97 \\ &= 1754 \text{ gallons}\end{aligned}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFSH-V09-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.4.6 Water Heater Temperature Setback

DESCRIPTION

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC, RF, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

High efficiency is a hot water tank with the thermostat reduced to no lower than 120 degrees.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a hot water tank with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120 degrees, typically systems with settings of 130 degrees or higher. Note if there are more than one DHW tanks in the home at or higher than 130 degrees and they are all turned down, then the savings per tank can be multiplied by the number of tanks.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 2 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a setback is assumed to be \$5 for contractor time, or where the measure is installed as part of a kit program, the cost of the informational insert or other product should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 1.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For homes with electric DHW tanks:

$$\Delta kWh^{731} = (U * A * (T_{pre} - T_{post}) * \text{Hours} * \text{ISR}) / (3412 * RE_{\text{electric}})$$

Where:

U = Overall heat transfer coefficient of tank (Btu/Hr-°F-ft²).
 = Actual if known. If unknown assume R-12, U = 0.083

⁷³¹ Note this algorithm provides savings only from reduction in standby losses. The TAC considered avoided energy from not heating the water to the higher temperature but determined that dishwashers are likely to boost the temperature within the unit (roughly canceling out any savings), faucet and shower use is likely to be at the same temperature so there would need to be more lower temperature hot water being used (cancelling any savings) and clothes washers will only see savings if the water from the tank is taken without any temperature control. It was felt the potential impact was too small to be characterized.

A = Surface area of storage tank (square feet)
 = Actual if known. If unknown use table below based on capacity of tank. If capacity unknown assume 50 gal tank; A = 24.99ft²

Capacity (gal)	A (ft ²) ⁷³²
30	19.16
40	23.18
50	24.99
80	31.84

Tpre = Actual hot water setpoint prior to adjustment

Tpost = Actual new hot water setpoint, which may not be lower than 120 degrees

Default Hot Water Temperature Inputs	
Tpre	135
Tpost	120

Hours = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).
 = 8766

ISR = In service rate of measure
 = Dependent on program delivery method as listed in table below

Delivery method	ISR
Distributed school efficient kit instructions	13% ⁷³³
Instructions provided in all other Kit programs	10% ⁷³⁴
All other	100%

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
 = 0.98⁷³⁵

A deemed savings assumption for non-kit programs, where site specific assumptions are not available would be as follows:

⁷³² Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation.

⁷³³ Opinion Dynamics and Cadmus. 2018 AIC Residential Program Annual Impact Evaluation Report. April 30, 2019. Results from implementer-administered participant survey.

⁷³⁴ Opinion Dynamics. Impact and Process Evaluation of 2014 (PY7) Illinois Power Agency Rural Kits Program. April 19, 2016.

⁷³⁵ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= (U * A * (T_{\text{pre}} - T_{\text{post}}) * \text{Hours} * \text{ISR}) / (3412 * \text{RE}_{\text{electric}}) \\ &= (((0.083 * 24.99) * (135 - 120) * 8766 * 1.0) / (3412 * 0.98)) \\ &= 81.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

For school kit programs, the default savings is 10.6 kWh and for all other kit programs the default savings is 8.2 kWh.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = \Delta\text{kWh} / \text{Hours} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\text{Hours} = 8766$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CF} &= \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure} \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

A deemed savings assumption for non-kit programs, where site specific assumptions are not available would be as follows:

$$\Delta\text{kW} = (81.6 / 8766) * 1$$

$$\Delta\text{kW default} = 0.0093 \text{ kW}$$

For school kit programs, the default savings is 0.0012kW and for all other kit programs the default savings is 0.00094kW.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with gas water heaters:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (U * A * (T_{\text{pre}} - T_{\text{post}}) * \text{Hours} * \text{ISR}) / (100,000 * \text{RE}_{\text{gas}})$$

Where

$$100,000 = \text{Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)}$$

$$\text{RE}_{\text{gas}} = \text{Recovery efficiency of gas water heater}$$

$$= 78\% \text{ For SF homes}^{736}$$

$$= 67\% \text{ For MF homes}^{737}$$

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared DHW

A deemed savings assumption for non-kit programs, where site specific assumptions are not available would be as follows:

For Single Family homes:

⁷³⁶ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁷³⁷ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (U * A * (T_{\text{pre}} - T_{\text{post}}) * \text{Hours} * \text{ISR}) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}}) \\ &= ((0.083 * 24.99) * (135 - 120) * 8766 * 1.0) / (100,000 * 0.78) \\ &= 3.5 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

For school kit programs, the default savings is 0.45 Therms and for all other kit programs the default savings is 0.35 Therms.

For Multi Family homes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (U * A * (T_{\text{pre}} - T_{\text{post}}) * \text{Hours} * \text{ISR}) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}}) \\ &= ((0.083 * 24.99) * (135 - 120) * 8766 * 1.0) / (100,000 * 0.67) \\ &= 4.1 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

For school kit programs, the default savings is 0.53 Therms and for all other kit programs the default savings is 0.41 Therms.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TMPS-V08-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

5.4.7 Water Heater Wrap

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to a Tank Wrap or insulation “blanket” that is wrapped around the outside of a hot water tank to reduce stand-by losses. This measure applies only for homes that have an electric water heater that is not already well insulated. Generally this can be determined based upon the appearance of the tank.⁷³⁸

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure is a properly installed, R-8 or greater insulating tank wrap to reduce standby energy losses from the tank to the surrounding ambient area.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a standard electric domestic hot water tank without an additional tank wrap. Gas storage water heaters are excluded due to the limitations of retrofit wrapping and the associated impacts on reduced savings and safety.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 5 years.⁷³⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure will be the actual material cost of procuring and labor cost of installing the tank wrap.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

This measure assumes a flat loadshape and as such the coincidence factor is 1.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For electric DHW systems:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((A_{\text{base}} / R_{\text{base}} - A_{\text{insul}} / R_{\text{insul}}) * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (3412 * \eta_{\text{DHW}})$$

Where:

$$R_{\text{base}} = \text{Overall thermal resistance coefficient prior to adding tank wrap (Hr-}^{\circ}\text{F-ft}^2\text{/BTU)}.$$

⁷³⁸ Visually determine whether it is insulated by foam (newer, rigid, and more effective) or fiberglass (older, gives to gently pressure, and not as effective)

⁷³⁹ This estimate assumes the tank wrap is installed on an existing unit with 5 years remaining life.

R_{insul}	= Overall thermal resistance coefficient after addition of tank wrap ($Hr \cdot ^\circ F \cdot ft^2 / BTU$).
A_{base}	= Surface area of storage tank prior to adding tank wrap (square feet) ⁷⁴⁰
A_{insul}	= Surface area of storage tank after addition of tank wrap (square feet) ⁷⁴¹
ΔT	= Average temperature difference between tank water and outside air temperature ($^\circ F$) = $60^\circ F$ ⁷⁴²
Hours	= Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year). = 8766
3412	= Conversion from Btu to kWh
η_{DHW}	= Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater = 0.98 ⁷⁴³

The following table has default savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-VALUES.

Capacity (gal)	R_{base}	R_{insul}	$A_{base} (ft^2)^{744}$	$A_{insul} (ft^2)^{745}$	ΔkWh	ΔkW
30	8	16	19.16	20.94	171	0.0195
30	10	18	19.16	20.94	118	0.0135
30	12	20	19.16	20.94	86	0.0099
30	8	18	19.16	20.94	194	0.0221
30	10	20	19.16	20.94	137	0.0156
30	12	22	19.16	20.94	101	0.0116
40	8	16	23.18	25.31	207	0.0236
40	10	18	23.18	25.31	143	0.0164
40	12	20	23.18	25.31	105	0.0120
40	8	18	23.18	25.31	234	0.0268
40	10	20	23.18	25.31	165	0.0189
40	12	22	23.18	25.31	123	0.0140
50	8	16	24.99	27.06	225	0.0257
50	10	18	24.99	27.06	157	0.0179
50	12	20	24.99	27.06	115	0.0131
50	8	18	24.99	27.06	255	0.0291
50	10	20	24.99	27.06	180	0.0206
50	12	22	24.99	27.06	134	0.0153
80	8	16	31.84	34.14	290	0.0331
80	10	18	31.84	34.14	202	0.0231
80	12	20	31.84	34.14	149	0.0170
80	8	18	31.84	34.14	328	0.0374
80	10	20	31.84	34.14	232	0.0265
80	12	22	31.84	34.14	173	0.0198

⁷⁴⁰ Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

⁷⁴¹ Ibid.

⁷⁴² Assumes $125^\circ F$ water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of $65^\circ F$.

⁷⁴³ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

⁷⁴⁴ Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

⁷⁴⁵ Assumptions from PA TRM. A_{insul} was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / 8766 * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings from tank wrap installation

8766 = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year).

CF = Summer Coincidence Factor for this measure

= 1.0

The table above has default kW savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-WRAP-V02-150601

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.4.8 Thermostatic Restrictor Shower Valve

DESCRIPTION

The measure is the installation of a thermostatic restrictor shower valve in a single or multi-family household. This is a valve attached to a residential showerhead which restricts hot water flow through the showerhead once the water reaches a set point (generally 95F or lower).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, NC, DI. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be a thermostatic restrictor shower valve installed on a residential showerhead.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is the residential showerhead without the restrictor valve installed.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.⁷⁴⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of the measure should be the actual program cost (including labor if applicable), or \$30⁷⁴⁷ plus \$20 labor⁷⁴⁸ if not available.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 0.22%.⁷⁴⁹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \% \text{ElectricDHW} * ((\text{GPM_base_S} * \text{L_showerdevice}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) *$$

⁷⁴⁶ Assumptions based on NY TRM, Pacific Gas and Electric Company Work Paper PGECODHW113, and measure life of low-flow showerhead.

⁷⁴⁷ Based on actual cost of the SS-1002CP-SB Ladybug Water-Saving Shower-Head adapter from Evolve showerheads.

⁷⁴⁸ Estimate for contractor installation time.

⁷⁴⁹ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 29.5 = 0.577$ hours of recovery during peak period, where 29.5 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use prevented by the device including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $0.577 / 260 = 0.0022$

$$\text{EPG_electric} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁷⁵⁰

GPM_base_S = Flow rate of the basecase showerhead, or actual if available

Program	GPM
Direct-install, device only	2.24 ⁷⁵¹
New Construction or direct install of device and low flow showerhead	Rated or actual flow of program-installed showerhead
Retrofit or TOS	2.35 ⁷⁵²

L_showerdevice = Hot water waste time avoided due to thermostatic restrictor valve

= 0.89 minutes⁷⁵³

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type ⁷⁵⁴	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁷⁵⁵
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁷⁵⁶
Household type unknown	2.42 ⁷⁵⁷
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁷⁵⁸

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

⁷⁵⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷⁵¹ Based on measurements conducted from June 2013 to January 2014 by Franklin Energy. Over 300 residential sites in the Chicago area were tested.

⁷⁵² Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

⁷⁵³ Average of the following sources: ShowerStart LLC survey; "Identifying, Quantifying and Reducing Behavioral Waste in the Shower: Exploring the Savings Potential of ShowerStart", City of San Diego Water Department survey; "Water Conservation Program: ShowerStart Pilot Project White Paper", and PG&E Work Paper PGECODHW113.

⁷⁵⁴ If household type is unknown, as may be the case for time of sale measures, then single family deemed value shall be used.

⁷⁵⁵ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁷⁵⁶ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁷⁵⁷ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁷⁵⁸ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day
= 0.6⁷⁵⁹

365.25 = Days per year, on average.

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Type	SPH
Single-Family	1.79 ⁷⁶⁰
Multifamily	1.3 ⁷⁶¹
Household type unknown	1.64 ⁷⁶²
Custom	Actual

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

EPG_electric = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric
= $(8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_electric} * 3412)$
= $(8.33 * 1.0 * (101 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412)$
= 0.117 kWh/gal

8.33 = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (btu/lb-°)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water
= 101F⁷⁶³

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house
= 54.1F⁷⁶⁴

RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
= 98%⁷⁶⁵

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead
= Dependent on program delivery method as listed in table below

Selection	ISR
Direct Install - Single Family	0.98 ⁷⁶⁶

⁷⁵⁹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁷⁶⁰ Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

⁷⁶¹ Ibid.

⁷⁶² Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁷⁶³ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁷⁶⁴ US DOE Building America Program. Building America Analysis Spreadsheet.

⁷⁶⁵ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%.

⁷⁶⁶ Deemed values are from ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8. Alternative ISRs may be developed for

Selection	ISR
Direct Install – Multi Family	0.95 ⁷⁶⁷
Efficiency Kits	To be determined through evaluation

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

For example, a direct installed valve in a single-family home with electric DHW:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= 1.0 * (2.24 * 0.89 * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.117 * 0.98 \\ &= 72 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure, but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{water}} = \Delta\text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}E_{\text{water total}} &= \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)} \\ &= 5,010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{768} \\ &= 2,937 \text{ for measures installed in Cook County}^{769,770}\end{aligned}$$

For example, a direct installed thermostatic restrictor device in a single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Water (gallons)} &= ((2.24 * 0.89) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.98 \\ &= 612 \text{ gallons} \\ \Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{water}} &= 612 / 1,000,000 * 5010 \\ &= 3.1 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

⁷⁶⁷ Navigant, ComEd-Nicor Gas EPY4/GPY1 Multifamily Home Energy Savings Program Evaluation Report FINAL 2013-06-05

⁷⁶⁸ This factor include 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

⁷⁶⁹ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

⁷⁷⁰ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this calculation.

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for wasted showerhead use prevented by device

$$= ((GPM_base_S * L_showerdevice) * Household * SPCD * 365.25) * 0.712^{771} / GPH$$

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9F temp rise (120-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

$$= 27.51$$

$$= 34.4 \text{ for SF Direct Install; } 28.3 \text{ for MF Direct Install}$$

$$= 30.3 \text{ for SF Retrofit and TOS; } 24.8 \text{ for MF Retrofit and TOS}$$

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

$$= 0.0022^{772}$$

For example, a direct installed thermostatic restrictor device in a home with electric DHW where the number of showers is not known.

$$\Delta kW = 72 / 34.4 * 0.0022$$

$$= 0.0046 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \%FossilDHW * ((GPM_base_S * L_showerdevice) * Household * SPCD * 365.25 / SPH) * EPG_gas * ISR$$

Where:

$\%FossilDHW$ = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	$\%Fossil_DHW$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁷⁷³

⁷⁷¹ 71.2% is the proportion of hot 120F water mixed with 54.1F supply water to give 101F shower water.

⁷⁷² Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 29.5 = 0.577$ hours of recovery during peak period, where 29.5 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use prevented by the device including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $0.577 / 260 = 0.0022$

⁷⁷³ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_gas} * 100,000)$$
 = 0.00501 Therm/gal for SF homes
 = 0.00583 Therm/gal for MF homes

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater
 = 78% For SF homes⁷⁷⁴
 = 67% For MF homes⁷⁷⁵
 Use Multifamily if: Building has shared DHW.

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)
 Other variables as defined above.

For example, a direct installed thermostatic restrictor device in a gas fired DHW single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = 1.0 * ((2.24 * 0.89) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00501 * 0.98$$

$$= 3.1 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = ((\text{GPM_base_S} * \text{L_showerdevice}) * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * 365.25 / \text{SPH}) * \text{ISR}$$

 Variables as defined above

For example, a direct installed thermostatic restrictor device in a single family home where the number of showers is not known:

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = ((2.24 * 0.89) * 2.56 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.98$$

$$= 612 \text{ gallons}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.
2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.

⁷⁷⁴ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁷⁷⁵ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

Source ID	Reference
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.
8	2011, Lutz, Jim. "Water and Energy Wasted During Residential Shower Events: Findings from a Pilot Field Study of Hot Water Distribution Systems", Energy Analysis Department Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, September 2011.
9	2008, Water Conservation Program: ShowerStart Pilot Project White Paper, City of San Diego, CA.
10	2012, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Work Paper PGECODHW113, Low Flow Showerhead and Thermostatic Shower Restriction Valve, Revision # 4, August 2012.
11	2008, "Simply & Cost Effectively Reducing Shower Based Warm-Up Waste: Increasing Convenience & Conservation by Attaching ShowerStart to Existing Showerheads", ShowerStart LLC.
12	2014, New York State Record of Revision to the TRM, Case 07-M-0548, June 19, 2014.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TRVA-V05-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.4.9 Shower Timer

DESCRIPTION

Shower Timers are designed to make it easy for people to consistently take short showers, resulting in water and energy savings.

The shower timer provides a reminder to participants on length of their shower visually or auditorily.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program type: KITS, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The shower timer should provide a reminder to participants to keep showers to a length of 5 minutes or less.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is no shower timer.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The deemed lifetime is 2 years.⁷⁷⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For shower timers provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be utilized.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.78%.⁷⁷⁷

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \% \text{Electric DHW} * \text{GPM} * (\text{L}_{\text{base}} - \text{L}_{\text{timer}}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor} * \text{EPG}_{\text{Electric}}$$

Where:

$\% \text{Electric DHW}$ = Proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

⁷⁷⁶ Estimate of persistence of behavior change instigated by the shower timer.

⁷⁷⁷ Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 369 = 7.23$ hours of recovery during peak period, where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $7.23 / 260 = 0.0278$

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	16% ⁷⁷⁸

- GPM = Flow rate of showerhead as used
= Custom, to be determined through evaluation. If data is not available use 1.93⁷⁷⁹
- L_base = Number of minutes in shower without a shower timer
= 7.8 minutes⁷⁸⁰
- L_timer = Number of minutes in shower after shower timer
= Custom, to be determined through evaluation. If data is not available use 5.79.⁷⁸¹
- Household = Number in household using timer

Household Unit Type ⁷⁸²	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁷⁸³
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ⁷⁸⁴
Household type unknown	2.42 ⁷⁸⁵
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁷⁸⁶

- Days/yr = 365.25
- SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day
= 0.6⁷⁸⁷
- UsageFactor = How often each participant is using shower timer
= Custom, to be determined through evaluation. If data is not available use 0.34⁷⁸⁸
- EPG_Electric = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric

⁷⁷⁸ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷⁷⁹ Navigant Elementary Education GPY4 Evaluation Report, dated May 12, 2016. Average of all utilities.

⁷⁸⁰ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and Multifamily homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

⁷⁸¹ Navigant Elementary Education GPY4 Evaluation Report, dated May 12, 2016. Average of all utilities.

⁷⁸² If household type is unknown, as may be the case for time of sale measures, then single family deemed value shall be used.

⁷⁸³ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁷⁸⁴ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

⁷⁸⁵ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁷⁸⁶ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁷⁸⁷ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁷⁸⁸ Navigant Elementary Education GPY4 Evaluation Report, dated May 12, 2016. Average of all utilities.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (8.33 * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE_electric} * 3412) \\
 &= (8.33 * 1.0 * (101 - 54.1)) / (0.98 * 3412) \\
 &= 0.117 \text{ kWh/gal}
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on default assumptions provided above, the savings for a single family home would be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= \% \text{Electric DHW} * \text{GPM} * (\text{L_base} - \text{L_timer}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor} \\
 &\quad * \text{EPG_Electric} \\
 &= 0.16 * 1.93 * (7.8 - 5.79) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.6 * 0.34 * 0.117 \\
 &= 13.9 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure, but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{water}} = \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\text{water total}} &= \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)} \\
 &= 5,010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{789} \\
 &= 2,937 \text{ for measures installed in Cook County}^{790,791}
 \end{aligned}$$

Based on default assumptions provided above, the savings for a single family home would be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} &= \text{GPM} * (\text{L_base} - \text{L_timer}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor} \\
 &= 1.93 * (7.8 - 5.79) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.6 * 0.34 \\
 &= 740.0 \text{ gallons} \\
 \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{water}} &= 740 / 1,000,000 * 5010 \\
 &= 3.7 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = \Delta \text{kWh/Hours} * \text{CF}$$

⁷⁸⁹ This factor include 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

⁷⁹⁰ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

⁷⁹¹ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above. Note do not include the secondary savings in this calculation.

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use

$$= ((GPM_base * L_base) * Household\ Users * SPCD * 365.25) * 0.712 / GPH$$

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9F temp rise (120-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

$$= 27.51$$

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

$$= 0.0278^{792}$$

Based on default assumptions provided above, the savings for a single family home would be:

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / Hours * CF$$

$$= 0.0013\ kW$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$\Delta Therms$ = %FossilDHW * GPM * (L_base – L_timer) * Household * Days/yr * SPCD * UsageFactor * EPG_Gas

%FossilDHW = Proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%FossilDHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	84% ⁷⁹³

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of Hot water supplied by gas

$$= (8.33 * 1.0 * (ShowerTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_gas * 100,000)$$

$$= 0.00501\ Therm/gal\ for\ SF\ homes$$

$$= 0.00583\ Therm/gal\ for\ MF\ homes$$

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

$$= 78\%\ For\ SF\ homes^{794}$$

⁷⁹² Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Oreo et al, "The end uses of hot water in single family homes from flow trace analysis", 2001.). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is $0.11 * 65 / 365 = 1.96\%$. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be $1.96\% * 369 = 7.23$ hours of recovery during peak period where 369 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use including SF and MF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is $7.23 / 260 = 0.0278$

⁷⁹³ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IL. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

⁷⁹⁴ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

= 67% For MF homes⁷⁹⁵

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared DHW.

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above.

Based on default assumptions provided above, the savings for a single family home would be:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{ Therms} &= \% \text{FossilDHW} * \text{GPM} * (\text{L_base} - \text{L_timer}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor} \\ &\quad * \text{EPG_Gas} \\ &= 0.84 * 1.93 * (7.8 - 5.79) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.6 * 0.34 * 0.00501 \\ &= 3.1 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = \text{GPM} * (\text{L_base} - \text{L_timer}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor}$$

Variables as defined above

Based on default assumptions provided above, the savings for a single family home would be:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} &= \text{GPM} * (\text{L_base} - \text{L_timer}) * \text{Household} * \text{Days/yr} * \text{SPCD} * \text{UsageFactor} \\ &= 1.93 * (7.8 - 5.79) * 2.56 * 365.25 * 0.6 * 0.34 \\ &= 740.0 \text{ gallons}\end{aligned}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-DHW-SHTM-V03-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2026

⁷⁹⁵ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

5.4.10 Pool Covers

DESCRIPTION

This measure refers to the installation of covers on residential use pools that are heated with gas-fired equipment located either indoors or outdoors. By installing pool covers, the heating load on the pool boiler will be reduced by reducing the heat loss from the water to the environment and the amount of actual water lost due to evaporation (which then requires additional heated water to make up for it). An additional benefit to pool covers are the electricity savings from the reduced fresh water required to replace the evaporated water.

The main source of energy loss in pools is through evaporation. This is particularly true of outdoor pools where wind plays a larger role. The point of installing pool covers is threefold. First, it will reduce convective losses due to the wind by shielding the water surface. Second, it will insulate the water from the colder surrounding air. And third, it will reduce radiative losses to the night sky. In doing so, evaporative losses will also be minimized, and the boiler will not need to work as hard in replenishing the pool with hot water to keep the desired temperature.

This measure can be used for pools that (1) currently do not have pool covers, (2) have pool covers that are past the useful life of the existing cover, or (3) have pool covers that are past their warranty period and have failed.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program type: RF. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

For indoor pools, the efficient case is the installation of an indoor pool cover with a 5 year warranty on an indoor pool that is used all year.

For outdoor pools, the efficient case is the installation of an outdoor pool cover with a 5 year warranty on an outdoor pool that is used through the summer season.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For indoor pools, the base case is an uncovered indoor pool that operates all year.

For outdoor pools, the base case is an outdoor pool that is uncovered and is open through the summer season.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The useful life of this measure is assumed to be 6 years.⁷⁹⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The table below shows the costs for the various options and cover sizes. Since this measure covers a mix of various sizes, the average cost of these options is taken to be the incremental measure cost.⁷⁹⁷ Costs are per square foot.

⁷⁹⁶ The effective useful life of a pool cover is typically one year longer than its warranty period. SolaPool Covers. Pool Covers Website, FAQ- "How long will my SolaPool cover blanket last?". Pool covers are typically offered with 3 and 5 year warranties with at least one company offering a 6 year warranty. Conversation with Trade Ally. Knorr Systems

⁷⁹⁷ Pool Cover Costs: Lincoln Pool Equipment online catalog. Accessed 7/18/2019.

Cover Size	Edge Style	
	Hemmed (indoor)	Weighted (outdoor)
1-299 sq. ft.	\$3.91	\$4.08
300-999 sq. ft.	\$2.61	\$2.78
Average	\$3.26	\$3.43

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R15 – Residential Pool Pumps

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**Secondary kWh Savings for Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure, but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{water}} = \Delta \text{Water (gallons)} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water supply}}$$

Where

$$E_{\text{water supply}} = \text{Water Supply Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)}$$

$$= 2,571^{798}$$

⁷⁹⁸ This factor include 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'. Note since the water loss associated with this measure is due to evaporation and does not discharge into the wastewater system, only the water supply factor is used here.

For example:

For a 392 ft² Indoor Swimming Pool:

$$\Delta \text{Water} = \text{WaterSavingFactor} \times \text{Size of Pool}$$

$$= 15.28 \text{ gal./ft}^2/\text{year} \times 392 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$= 5,990 \text{ gal./year}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWhwater} = \Delta \text{Water} / 1,000,000 \times E_{\text{water total}}$$

$$= 5,990 \text{ gal./year} / 1,000,000 \times 2,571 \text{ kWh/million gallons}$$

$$= 15.4 \text{ kWh/year}$$

For a 392 ft² Outdoor Swimming Pool:

$$\Delta \text{Water} = \text{WaterSavingFactor} \times \text{Size of Pool}$$

$$= 8.94 \text{ gal./ft}^2/\text{year} \times 392 \text{ ft}^2$$

$$= 3,504 \text{ gal./year}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWhwater} = \Delta \text{Water} / 1,000,000 \times E_{\text{water supply}}$$

$$= 3,504 \text{ gal./year} / 1,000,000 \times 2,571 \text{ kWh/million gallons}$$

$$= 9.0 \text{ kWh/year}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

The calculations are based on modeling runs using RSPEC! Energy Smart Pools Software that was created by the U.S. Department of Energy.⁷⁹⁹

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{SavingFactor} \times \text{Size of Pool}$$

Where

Savings factor = dependant on pool location and listed in table below.⁸⁰⁰

Location	Therm / sq-ft
Indoor	2.61
Outdoor	1.01

Size of Pool = Actual. If unknown assume 392 ft².⁸⁰¹

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water (gallons)} = \text{WaterSavingFactor} \times \text{Size of Pool}$$

Where

WaterSavingFactor = Water savings for this measure dependant on pool location and listed in table below.⁸⁰²

⁷⁹⁹ Full method and supporting information found in reference document: IL TRM – Residential Pool Covers WorkPaper.docx. Note that the savings estimates are based upon Chicago weather data.

⁸⁰⁰ Calculations can be found in Residential Pool Covers.xlsx

⁸⁰¹ The average size of an installed in-ground swimming pool is 14 ft x 28 ft, giving a surface area of 392 ft².
<<https://www.homeadvisor.com/cost/swimming-pools-hot-tubs-and-saunas/inground-pool/>>

⁸⁰² Ibid.

Location	Annual Savings Gal / sq-ft
Indoor	15.28
Outdoor	8.94

Size of Pool = 392 ft²

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

There are no O&M cost adjustments for this measure.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PLCV-V01-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.4.11 Drain Water Heat Recovery

DESCRIPTION

Drain Water Heat Recovery (DWHR) is a technology that captures waste heat in the drain line during a shower event, using the reclaimed heat to preheat cold water that is then delivered either to the shower or the water heater. The device can be installed in either an equal flow configuration (with preheated water being routed to both the water heater and the shower) or an unequal flow configuration (preheated water directed to either the water heater or shower). The energy harvested from a DWHR device is maximized in an equal flow configuration. It uses a non-regenerative heat exchanger to pre-heat the incoming cold fresh water with the outgoing warm drain water. It has been proven that DWHR devices only recover energy during simultaneous draws,⁸⁰³ i.e., showers, and that for energy savings purposes all other water draws can be ignored. Savings are calculated per drain water heat recovery unit. Other benefits include increased first-hour rating of water tank, improved comfort due to slower temperature degradation at run-out and reduction of coincident peak demand.⁸⁰⁴

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Efficient equipment is a DWHR unit retrofitted to the main drain which includes outlets from showers, sinks and other fixtures too. Note, that the DWHR unit can either be installed in a vertical configuration or a horizontal configuration. Although, this measure covers both horizontal and vertical DWHR,⁸⁰⁵ the energy savings calculations focuses on vertical. Due to the lack of any moving parts, no maintenance is required for either types of DWHR units. Vertical units are said to comprise 95% of the market currently.⁸⁰⁶

The device can be installed in either an equal flow configuration or an unequal flow configuration. A equal flow installation is ideal with all the incoming cold water passing through the DWHR heat exchanger apparatus, after which it splits into cold water and inlet to water heater. Units should be installed in single-family homes and multi-family homes.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a storage type water heater without DWHR devices in a residential application.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 30 years.⁸⁰⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$742 per unit.⁸⁰⁸

LOADSHAPE

Load Shape R03 – Residential Electric DHW

⁸⁰³ Charles Zaloum, John Gusdorf, and Anil Parekh; "Performance Evaluation of Drain Water Heat Recovery Technology at the Canadian Centre for Housing Technology", January 2007, accessed April 2020.

⁸⁰⁴ G.Proskiw, "Technology Profile: Residential Greywater Heat Recovery Systems", June 1998, accessed April 2020.

⁸⁰⁵ 2019 Title 24, Part 6 CASE Report. "Drain Water Heat Recovery – Final Report."

⁸⁰⁶ Ibid

⁸⁰⁷ Ibid

⁸⁰⁸ Ibid

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 2.78%.⁸⁰⁹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

For electric water heating, annual energy savings per unit are calculated through the following formula:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(ShowerTemp - SupplyTemp) \times 8.33 \frac{BTU}{gal \cdot ^\circ F} \times GPM \times T_{shower-length} \times N_{persons} \times N_{units} \times SPCD \times 365.25 \frac{days}{yr} \times SF}{3412 \frac{BTU}{kWh} \times RE}$$

Where:

ShowerTemp	= assumed water temperature during shower = 101°F ⁸¹⁰
SupplyTemp	= assumed temperature of cold water entering house = 54.1°F ⁸¹¹
8.33	= Energy required (BTU) to heat one gallon of water by one degree Fahrenheit
GPM	= gallon per minute, flow rate of showerhead = 2.24 Gallon/minute for direct installed showerheads ⁸¹² = 2.35 Gallon/minute for retrofit, efficiency kits, NC, or TOS ⁸¹³
T _{shower-length}	= shower length in minutes = 7.8 minute ⁸¹⁴
N _{persons}	= average number of people per household

⁸⁰⁹ Assume coincidence factor for DWHR units is the same with that of low flow showerheads (see 2020 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency, section 5.4.5, low flow showerheads)

⁸¹⁰ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁸¹¹ US DOE Building America Program, Building America Analysis Spreadsheet (for Chicago, IL), Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy.

⁸¹² Based on measurements conducted from June 2013 to January 2014 by Franklin Energy. Over 300 residential sites in the Chicago area were tested.

⁸¹³ 2020 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency, section 5.4.5, low flow showerheads

⁸¹⁴ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and Multifamily homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

Household Unit Type	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ⁸¹⁵
Multi-Family – Deemed	2.1 ⁸¹⁶
Household type unknown	2.42 ⁸¹⁷

N_{units} = Number of units in a multifamily building with drains connected to the DWHR unit

Household Unit	N_{units}
Single-Family	1
Multi-Family	1 or Actual

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day

= 0.6⁸¹⁸

365.25 = Days per year, on average.

SF = Water heating energy savings factor

= 0.4⁸¹⁹

3,412 = Conversion factor, 1 kWh equals 3,412 BTU

RE = Recovery efficiency of electric water heater

= 0.98⁸²⁰ or Actual

For example, for electric water heating, DHWR energy savings for a single family home can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(101 - 54.1) \times 8.33 \times 2.24 \times 7.8 \times 2.56 \times 1 \times 0.6 \times 365.25 \times 0.4}{3,412 \times 0.98}$$

= 458.1 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

⁸¹⁵ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

⁸¹⁶ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx (see 2020 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency, section 5.4.5, low flow showerheads)

⁸¹⁷ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁸¹⁸ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

⁸¹⁹ Federal Energy Management Program, <Heat Recovery from Wastewater Using a Gravity-Film Exchanger>, “based on our measurements, a 30 to 50% savings in the energy needed to heat shower water seems reasonable.” Here, we adopt an average of 40% as water heating energy savings factor;

⁸²⁰ Electric water heaters typically have recovery efficiency of 98%.

ΔkWh	= calculated value from above.
Hours	= Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use $= ((GPM * T_{shower-length}) * N_{persons} * SPCD * 365.25) * 0.712^{821} / GPH$ = 255 for SF Direct Installed showerheads; 208 for MF Direct Installed showerheads = 267 for SF Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS showerheads; = 219 for MF Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS showerheads Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily
GPH	= Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 65.9°F temp rise (120-54.1), 98% recovery efficiency, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank. $= 27.4$
CF	= Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction $= 0.0278$

For example, DHWR summer coincident peak demand savings for single family home with direct installed showerheads can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta kW = (458.1 / 255) * 0.0278$$

$$= 0.05 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For gas water heating, annual energy savings per unit are calculated through the following formula:

$$\Delta \text{therms} = \frac{(ShowerTemp - SupplyTemp) \times 8.33 \frac{BTU}{gal \cdot ^\circ F} \times GPM \times T_{shower-length} \times N_{persons} \times N_{units} \times SPCD \times 365.25 \frac{days}{yr} \times SF}{100,000 \frac{BTU}{therm} \times RE}$$

Where:

100,000	= Conversion factor, 1 therm equals 100,000 BTU
RE	= efficiency of gas water heater: 78% for single family ⁸²² and 67% for multi family ⁸²³

For example, for gas water heating, DHWR energy savings for single family home can be calculated as follows:

$$\Delta \text{therms} = \frac{(101-54.1) \times 8.33 \times 2.24 \times 7.8 \times 2.56 \times 1 \times 0.6 \times 365.25 \times 0.4}{100,000 \times 0.78}$$

$$= 19.64 \text{ therms}$$

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁸²¹ 71.2% is the proportion of hot 120°F water mixed with 54.1°F supply water to give 101°F shower water.

⁸²² DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

⁸²³ Water heating in Multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. This suggests that the average recovery efficiency is somewhere between a typical central boiler efficiency of 0.59 and the 0.75 for single family homes. An average efficiency of 0.67 is used for this analysis as a default for Multifamily buildings.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-DHW-DWHR-V01-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.5 Lighting End Use

- 5.5.1 Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8
- 5.5.2 ENERGY STAR Specialty Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL)—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8
- 5.5.3 ENERGY STAR Torchiere—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8
- 5.5.4 Exterior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8
- 5.5.5 Interior Hardwired Compact Fluorescent Lamp (CFL) Fixture—Retired 12/31/2018, Removed in v8

5.5.6 LED Specialty Lamps

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from a variety of specialty LED lamp types (including globe, decorative and downlights). This characterization assumes that the LED lamp is installed in a residential location. Where the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program) a deemed split of 96% Residential and 4% Commercial assumptions should be used.⁸²⁴

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR LED lamp or fixture. Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.1 becomes effective on 1/2/2017.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Specialty and Directional lamps were not included in the original definition of General Service Lamps in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA). Therefore, the initial baseline is an incandescent / halogen lamp described in the table below.

A DOE Final Rule released on 1/19/2017 updated the EISA regulations to remove the exemption for these lamp types such that they become subject to the backstop provision defined within the original legislation. However, in September 2019 this decision was revoked in a new DOE Final Rule.

The natural growth of LED market share however, has and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the LED measures installed. The TAC convened a Lamp Forecast Working Group to develop a forecast of the baseline growth of LED, based upon historical growth rates provided via CREED LightTracker data, comparisons of with and no-program states and review of projections provided by the Department of Energy.⁸²⁵

This baseline forecast was then used to estimate how replacement lamps would change over the lifetime of an LED. A single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings.

Income Eligible Program Adjustments

The Lamp Forecast Working Group also developed forecasts for estimated Income Eligible market growth in LEDs. These forecasts are used to provide a separate mid-life adjustment for programs supporting income eligible populations. Note that upstream lighting programs in DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods should not assume that all customers are from income eligible populations, as data has indicated that the product selection and low prices found in these stores attract customers from beyond.⁸²⁶ A weighted blend of the two measure types (Income eligible and non-income eligible) can be used for DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods based upon primary evaluation research at these store types, or using a default of 30% income eligible customers.⁸²⁷

⁸²⁴ RES v C&I split is based on a weighted (by sales volume) average of ComEd PY8, PY9 and CY2018 in store intercept survey results. See 'RESvCI Split_2019.xlsx'.

⁸²⁵ US Department of Energy, "Energy Savings Forecast of Solid State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", December 2019. The resultant forecast is provided on the SharePoint site "Lamp Forecast Workbook.xls".

⁸²⁶ Navigant and Itron, "CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations".

⁸²⁷ 30% of the respondents at the three Income Eligible Program stores where in-store intercepts were conducted met ComEd's income eligible definition; Navigant and Itron, "CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations".

New Construction Programs

Since IECC 2015 energy code, there has been mandatory requirements for lighting in New Construction: *“Not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the lamps in permanently installed lighting fixtures shall be high-efficacy lamps or not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the permanently installed lighting fixtures shall contain only high-efficacy lamps”*. To meet the ‘high efficacy’ requirements, lamps need to be CFL or LED, however since CFLs are no longer commonly purchased (only 1% baseline forecast) it is assumed that 75% (IECC 2015) or 90% (IECC 2018) of the New Construction baseline is an LED and therefore savings are reduced by that percentage for bulbs provided in New Construction projects.

Early Replacement

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the existing bulb being replaced.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The average rated life for Decorative lamps on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products list (accessed 6/16/2020) is approximately 17,000 hours, and for Directional Lamps is approximately 25,000 hours.

The deemed measure life is 6.9 years for exterior application of decorative lamps, and lifetimes are capped at 10 years for all other applications.⁸²⁸

For early replacement measures, if replacing a halogen or incandescent bulb, the remaining life is assumed to be 333 hours. For CFLs, the remaining life is 3,333 hours.⁸²⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The price of LED lamps is falling quickly. Where possible, the actual cost should be used and compared to the baseline cost provided below. If the incremental cost is unknown, assume the following:⁸³⁰

Bulb Type	Year	Incandescent	LED	Incremental Cost	Incremental Cost for New Construction	Incremental Cost for New Construction
					(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2015)
Directional	2019 and on	\$3.53	\$5.18	\$1.65	\$0.41	\$0.17
Decorative and Globe	2019 and on	\$1.74	\$3.40	\$1.66	\$0.42	\$0.17

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.109 for residential and in-unit multifamily bulbs,⁸³¹ 0.273

⁸²⁸ Based on recommendation in the Dunskey Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18.

⁸²⁹ Representing a third of the expected lamp lifetime.

⁸³⁰ Baseline and LED lamp costs for both directional and decorative and globe are based on field data collected by CLEAResult and provided by ComEd. See ComEd Pricing Projections 06302016.xlsx for analysis.

⁸³¹ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

for exterior bulbs⁸³² and 0.117 for unknown⁸³³. Use Multifamily if the building meets the utility’s definition for multifamily.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{WHFe}$$

Where:

Watts_{base} = Input wattage of the existing or baseline system. Reference the table below for default values.⁸³⁴

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed. If unknown, use default provided below.

⁸³² Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for specialty LEDs in exterior applications.

⁸³³ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸³⁴ See file “LED baseline and EE wattage table_2018.xlsx” for details on lamp wattage calculations.

Decorative Lamps – ENERGY STAR Minimum Luminous Efficacy = 65Lm/W for all lamps

Bulb Type	Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Lumens used to calculate LED Wattage (midpoint)	LED Wattage (Watts _{EE})	Baseline (Watts _{Base})	Baseline for New Construction (Watts _{Base})		Delta Watts (Watts _{EE})	Delta Watts for New Construction (Watts _{EE})	
						IECC 2015	IECC 2018		IECC 2015	IECC 2018
3-Way	250	449	350	4.4	25	9.6	6.5	20.6	5.2	2.1
	450	799	625	7.9	40	15.9	11.1	32.1	8.0	3.2
	800	1,099	950	12.1	60	24.1	16.9	47.9	12.0	4.8
	1,100	1,599	1350	17.1	75	31.6	22.9	57.9	14.5	5.8
	1,600	1,999	1800	22.8	100	42.1	30.5	77.2	19.3	7.7
	2,000	2,549	2275	28.9	125	52.9	38.5	96.1	24.0	9.6
	2,550	2,999	2775	35.2	150	63.9	46.7	114.8	28.7	11.5
Globe (medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	90	179	135	2.1	10	4.1	2.9	7.9	2.0	0.8
	180	249	215	3.3	15	6.2	4.5	11.7	2.9	1.2
	250	349	300	4.6	25	9.7	6.6	20.4	5.1	2.0
	350	749	550	8.5	40	16.4	11.7	31.5	7.9	3.2
Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	70	89	80	1.2	10	3.4	2.1	8.8	2.2	0.9
	90	149	120	1.8	15	5.1	3.1	13.2	3.3	1.3
	150	299	225	3.5	25	8.9	5.7	21.5	5.4	2.2
	300	749	525	8.1	40	16.1	11.3	31.9	8.0	3.2
Globe (candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	90	179	135	2.1	10	4.1	2.9	7.9	2.0	0.8
	180	249	215	3.3	15	6.2	4.5	11.7	2.9	1.2
	250	349	300	4.6	25	9.7	6.6	20.4	5.1	2.0
	350	499	425	6.5	40	14.9	9.9	33.5	8.4	3.4
	500	1,049	775	11.9	60	23.9	16.7	48.1	12.0	4.8
Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	70	89	80	1.2	10	3.4	2.1	8.8	2.2	0.9
	90	149	120	1.8	15	5.1	3.1	13.2	3.3	1.3
	150	299	225	3.5	25	8.9	5.7	21.5	5.4	2.2
	300	499	400	6.1	40	14.6	9.5	33.9	8.5	3.4
	500	1,049	775	11.9	60	23.9	16.7	48.1	12.0	4.8

Directional Lamps - ENERGY STAR Minimum Luminous Efficacy = 70Lm/W for <90 CRI lamps and 61 Lm/W for ≥90CRI lamps.

For Directional R, BR, and ER lamp types.⁸³⁵

⁸³⁵ From pg. 13 of the ENERGY STAR Specification for lamps v2.1

Bulb Type	Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Lumens used to calculate LED Wattage (midpoint)	LED Wattage (Watts _{EE})	Baseline (Watts _{Base})	Baseline for New Construction (Watts _{Base})		Delta Watts (Watts _{EE})	Delta Watts for New Construction (Watts _{EE})	
						IECC 2015	IECC 2018		IECC 2015	IECC 2018
R, ER, BR with medium screw bases w/ diameter >2.25" (*see exceptions below)	420	472	446	6.6	40	15.0	9.9	33.4	8.4	3.3
	473	524	499	7.3	45	16.7	11.1	37.7	9.4	3.8
	525	714	620	9.1	50	19.3	13.2	40.9	10.2	4.1
	715	937	826	12.1	65	25.3	17.4	52.9	13.2	5.3
	938	1259	1099	16.2	75	30.9	22.1	58.8	14.7	5.9
	1260	1399	1330	19.6	90	37.2	26.6	70.4	17.6	7.0
	1400	1739	1570	23.1	100	42.3	30.8	76.9	19.2	7.7
	1740	2174	1957	28.8	120	51.6	37.9	91.2	22.8	9.1
	2175	2624	2400	35.3	150	64.0	46.8	114.7	28.7	11.5
	2625	2999	2812	41.3	175	74.7	54.7	133.7	33.4	13.4
	3000	4500	3750	55.1	200	91.3	69.6	144.9	36.2	14.5
*R, BR, and ER with medium screw bases w/ diameter <=2.25"	400	449	425	6.2	40	14.7	9.6	33.8	8.5	3.4
	450	499	475	7.0	45	16.5	10.8	38.0	9.5	3.8
	500	649	575	8.5	50	18.9	12.7	41.5	10.4	4.2
	650	1199	925	13.6	65	26.5	18.7	51.4	12.9	5.1
*ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40	400	449	425	6.2	40	14.7	9.6	33.8	8.5	3.4
	450	499	475	7.0	45	16.5	10.8	38.0	9.5	3.8
	500	649	575	8.5	50	18.9	12.7	41.5	10.4	4.2
*BR30, BR40, or ER40	650	1419	1035	15.2	65	27.7	20.2	49.8	12.5	5.0
*R20	400	449	425	6.2	40	14.7	9.6	33.8	8.5	3.4
	450	719	585	8.6	45	17.7	12.2	36.4	9.1	3.6
*All reflector lamps below lumen ranges specified above	200	299	250	3.7	20	7.8	5.3	16.3	4.1	1.6
	300	399	350	5.1	30	11.3	7.6	24.9	6.2	2.5

For PAR, MR, and MRX Lamps Types:

For these highly focused directional lamp types, it is necessary to have Center Beam Candle Power (CBCP) and beam angle measurements to accurately estimate the equivalent baseline wattage. The formula below is based on the ENERGY STAR Center Beam Candle Power tool.⁸³⁶ If CBCP and beam angle information are not available or if the equation below returns a negative value (or undefined), use the manufacturer's recommended baseline wattage equivalent.⁸³⁷

Watts_{base} =

$$375.1 - 4.355(D) - \sqrt{227,800 - 937.9(D) - 0.9903(D^2) - 1479(BA) - 12.02(D * BA) + 14.69(BA^2) - 16,720 * \ln(CBCP)}$$

Where:

D = Bulb diameter (e.g. for PAR20 D = 20)

⁸³⁶ See 'ESLampCenterBeamTool.xls'.

⁸³⁷ The ENERGY STAR Center Beam Candle Power tool does not accurately model baseline wattages for lamps with certain bulb characteristic combinations – specifically for lamps with very high CBCP.

BA = Beam angle

CBCP = Center beam candle power

The result of the equation above should be rounded DOWN to the nearest wattage established by ENERGY STAR:

Diameter	Permitted Wattages
16	20, 35, 40, 45, 50, 60, 75
20	50
30S	40, 45, 50, 60, 75
30L	50, 75
38	40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 75, 85, 90, 100, 120, 150, 250

Additional EISA non-exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type	Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Lumens used to calculate LED Wattage (midpoint)	LED Wattage (Watts _{EE})	Baseline (Watts _{Base})	Baseline for New Construction (Watts _{Base})		Delta Watts (Watts _{EE})	Delta Watts for New Construction (Watts _{EE})	
						IECC 2015	IECC 2018		IECC 2015	IECC 2018
Dimmable Twist, Globe (less than 5" in diameter and > 749 lumens), candle (shapes B, BA, CA > 749 lumens), Candelabra Base Lamps (>1049 lumens), Intermediate Base Lamps (>749 lumens)	310	749	530	6.7	29	12.3	8.9	22.3	5.6	2.2
	750	1049	900	11.4	43	19.3	14.6	31.6	7.9	3.2
	1050	1489	1270	16.1	53	25.3	19.8	36.9	9.2	3.7
	1490	2600	2045	26.0	72	37.5	30.6	46.0	11.5	4.6

ISR = In Service Rate or the percentage of lamps rebated that get installed

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	81.5% ⁸³⁸	8.9%	7.6%	98.0% ⁸³⁹

⁸³⁸ 1st year in service rate is based upon analysis of ComEd PY8, PY9 and CY2018 intercept data (see 'Res Lighting ISR_2019.xlsx' for more information).

⁸³⁹ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is based upon the standard CFL measure in the absence of any better reference. This value is based upon review of two evaluations:

'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report; Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

Program		Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Direct Install		94.5% ⁸⁴⁰			
Efficiency Kits ⁸⁴¹	LED Distribution ⁸⁴²	59%	13%	11%	83%
	School Kits ⁸⁴³	60%	13%	11%	84%
	Direct Mail Kits ⁸⁴⁴	66%	14%	12%	93%
	Direct Mail Kits, Income Qualified ⁸⁴⁵	68%	15%	12%	95%
	Community Distributed Kits ⁸⁴⁶	88%	4%	3%	95%

Leakage = Adjustment to account for the percentage of program bulbs that move out (and in if deemed appropriate)⁸⁴⁷ of the Utility Jurisdiction.

KITS programs = Determined through evaluation

Upstream (TOS) Lighting programs = Use deemed assumptions below:⁸⁴⁸

ComEd: 1.1%

Ameren: 13.1%

All other programs = 0

Hours = Average hours of use per year

⁸⁴⁰ Consistent with assumption for standard LEDs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type). Based upon average of Navigant low income single family direct install field work LED ISR and review of the PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. This value includes bulb failures in the 1st year to be consistent with the Commission approval of annualization of savings for first year savings claims. ComEd PY2 All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Evaluation, Navigant Consulting, December 21, 2010.

⁸⁴¹ In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Given the significant differences in program design and the level of education provided through Efficiency Kits programs, the evaluators should apply the ISR estimated through evaluations (either past evaluations or the current program year evaluation) of the specific Efficiency Kits program. In cases where program-specific evaluation results for an ISR are unavailable, the default ISR values for Efficiency Kits provide may be used.

⁸⁴² Free bulbs provided without request, with little or no education. Consistent with Standard CFL assumptions.

⁸⁴³ 1st year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program. Final ISR assumptions are based upon comparing with CFL Distribution First year ISR and multiplying by the CFL Distribution Final ISR value, and second and third year estimates based on same proportion of future installs.

⁸⁴⁴ Opt-in program to receive kits via mail, with little or no education. Consistent with Standard CFL assumptions.

⁸⁴⁵ Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

⁸⁴⁶ Kits distributed in a community setting, targeted to income qualified communities. Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

⁸⁴⁷ Leakage in is only appropriate to credit to IL utility program savings if it is reasonably expected that the IL utility program marketing efforts played an important role in influencing customer to purchase the light bulbs. Furthermore, consideration that such customers might be free riders should be addressed. If leakage in is assessed, efforts should be made to ensure no double counting of savings occurs if the evaluation is estimating both leakage in and spillover savings of light bulbs.

⁸⁴⁸ Leakage rate is based upon review of PY8-CY2018 evaluations from ComEd and PY5,6 and 8 for Ameren.

Installation Location	Annual hours of use (HOU)
Residential and In-Unit Multi Family	763 ⁸⁴⁹
Exterior	2,475 ⁸⁵⁰
Unknown	1,020 ⁸⁵¹

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family	1.06 ⁸⁵²
Multifamily in unit	1.04 ⁸⁵³
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.046 ⁸⁵⁴

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program and installed in place of a 750 lumen PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp with medium screw base, diameter >2.5" in a single family interior location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= ((45 - 13) / 1000) * 0.840 * (1 - 0.011) * 763 * 1.06 \\ &= 21.5 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

DEFERRED INSTALLS

As presented above, the characterization assumes that a percentage of bulbs purchased are not installed until Year 2 and Year 3 (see ISR assumption above). The Illinois Technical Advisory Committee has determined the following methodology for calculating the savings of these future installs.

Year 2 and 3 installs: Characterized using delta watts assumption and hours of use from the Install Year; i.e., the actual deemed assumptions active in Year 2 and 3

⁸⁴⁹ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

⁸⁵⁰ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. The IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide hours of use for specialty LEDs in exterior applications.

⁸⁵¹ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸⁵² The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $\text{COP} = \text{EER} / 3.412 = 2.8\text{COP}$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

⁸⁵³ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁸⁵⁴ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

should be applied.

The NTG factor for the Purchase Year (Year 1) should be applied.

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{855} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta_{\text{Heat}}$$

Where:

HF	= Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
	= 49% for interior location ⁸⁵⁶
	= 0% for exterior location
	= 42% for unknown location ⁸⁵⁷
η_{Heat}	= Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
	= Actual. If not available use: ⁸⁵⁸

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP _{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ⁸⁵⁹	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program and installed in place of a 750 lumen PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp with medium screw base, diameter >2.5" in a single family interior location with a 2016 heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= - (((45 - 13) / 1000) * 0.840 * (1 - 0.011) * 763 * 0.49) / 2.04 \\ &= - 4.87 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

⁸⁵⁵ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁵⁶ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁸⁵⁷ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸⁵⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

⁸⁵⁹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

During the lifetime of an LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and so a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings. See 'Lamp Forecast Workbook_2020.xls' for details.

The calculated mid-life adjustments for 2021 are provided below for each population:

Population	Lamp Type	Year from which adjustment is applied	Adjustment Factor applied to Annual kWh Savings
Income Eligible	Decorative	2028	62%
	Directional	2028	70%
All others	Decorative	2025	61%
	Directional	2025	60%

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program and installed in place of a 750 lumen PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp with medium screw base, diameter >2.5" in a single family interior location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh (2021-2024)} &= ((45 - 13) / 1000) * 0.840 * (1 - 0.011) * 763 * 1.06 \\ &= 21.5 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh (2025 on)} &= 21.5 * 0.60 \\ &= 12.9 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family	1.11 ⁸⁶⁰
Multifamily in unit	1.07 ⁸⁶¹
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.083 ⁸⁶²

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

⁸⁶⁰ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁸⁶¹ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁸⁶² Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

= 0.109 for residential and in-unit multifamily bulbs⁸⁶³, 0.273 for exterior bulbs,⁸⁶⁴ and 0.117 for unknown.⁸⁶⁵

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

Other factors as defined above

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program and installed in place of a 750 lumen PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp with medium screw base, diameter >2.5" in a single family interior location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (((45 - 13) / 1000) * 0.840 * (1 - 0.011) * 1.11 * 0.109 \\ &= 0.0032 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{therms} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

= 49% for interior⁸⁶⁶

= 0% for exterior location

= 42% for unknown location⁸⁶⁷

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

η Heat = Average heating system efficiency.

= 0.70⁸⁶⁸

Other factors as defined above

⁸⁶³ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

⁸⁶⁴ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for specialty LEDs in exterior applications.

⁸⁶⁵ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸⁶⁶ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁸⁶⁷ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸⁶⁸ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

For example, a 13W PAR20 LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program and installed in place of a 750 lumen PAR20 incandescent screw-in lamp with medium screw base, diameter >2.5" in single family interior location with gas heating at 70% total efficiency:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{therms} &= - (((45 - 13) / 1000) * 0.840 * (1 - 0.011) * 763 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.70 \\ &= - 0.48 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below:⁸⁶⁹

Lamp Type	Standard Incandescent	EISA Compliant Halogen	CFL	LED
Decorative	\$1.74	\$1.74	\$2.50	\$3.40
Directional	\$3.53	\$3.53	\$4.50	\$5.18

For non-exempt EISA bulb types defined above, in order to account for natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost is calculated and applied over the life of the measure life.

The NPV for replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the societal real discount rate of 0.42% are presented below:⁸⁷⁰

Lamp Type	Population	Location	NPV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
			2021	2021
Decorative	Income eligible	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$13.96	\$1.43
		Exterior	\$20.62	\$3.05
	All others	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$12.46	\$1.28
		Exterior	\$18.63	\$2.76
Directional	Income eligible	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$28.72	\$2.94
		Exterior	\$60.14	\$6.15
	All others	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$24.83	\$2.54
		Exterior	\$51.03	\$5.17

It is important to note that for cost-effectiveness screening purposes, the O&M cost adjustments should only be applied in cases where the light bulbs are actually in service and so should be multiplied by the appropriate ISR.

⁸⁶⁹ Baseline costs are based on field data collected by CLEAResult and provided by ComEd. See ComEd Pricing Projections 06302016.xlsx for analysis.

⁸⁷⁰ See "Lamp Forecast Workbook_2020.xlsx" for calculation.

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDD-V12-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.5.7 LED Exit Signs

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the savings associated with installing a Light Emitting Diode (LED) exit sign in place of a fluorescent or incandescent exit sign in a Multifamily building within unit (use 4.5.5 Commercial Exit Signs for multifamily common area exit signs). Light Emitting Diode exit signs have a string of very small, typically red or green, glowing LEDs arranged in a circle or oval. The LEDs may also be arranged in a line on the side, top or bottom of the exit sign. LED exit signs provide the best balance of safety, low maintenance, and very low energy usage compared to other exit sign technologies.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an exit sign illuminated by LEDs.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an existing fluorescent or incandescent model.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 5 years.⁸⁷¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual material and labor costs should be used if available. If actual costs are unavailable, assume a total installed cost of at \$32.50.⁸⁷²

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape C53 - Flat

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 100%.⁸⁷³

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{HOURS} * \text{WHF}_e$$

Where:

WattsBase = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume the following:

⁸⁷¹ Estimate of remaining life of existing unit being replaced.

⁸⁷² Price includes new exit sign/fixture and installation. LED exit cost/unit is \$22.50 from the NYSERDA Deemed Savings Database and assuming 1 labor cost of 15 minutes @ \$40/hr.

⁸⁷³ Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

Baseline Type	Watts _{Base}
Incandescent	35W ⁸⁷⁴
CFL (dual sided)	14W ⁸⁷⁵
CFL (single sided)	7W
Unknown	7W

WattsEE = Actual wattage if known, if singled sided or unknown assume 2W, if dual sided assume 4W.⁸⁷⁶

HOURS = Annual operating hours
= 8766

WHF_e = Waste heat factor for energy; accounts for cooling savings from efficient lighting.
= 1.04⁸⁷⁷

Default if replacing incandescent fixture

$$\Delta kWh = (35 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 1.04$$

$$= 301 \text{ kWh}$$

Default if replacing dual sided fluorescent fixture

$$\Delta kWh = (14 - 4)/1000 * 8766 * 1.04$$

$$= 91 \text{ kWh}$$

Default if replacing single sided fluorescent (or unknown) fixture

$$\Delta kWh = (7 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 1.04$$

$$= 46 \text{ kWh}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta kWh^{878} = - (((WattsBase - WattsEE) / 1000) * Hours * HF) / \eta_{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
= 49%⁸⁷⁹

⁸⁷⁴ Based on review of available product.

⁸⁷⁵ Average CFL single sided (5W, 7W, 9W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages.

⁸⁷⁶ Average LED single sided (2W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages.

⁸⁷⁷ The value is estimated at 1.04 (calculated as $1 + (0.45 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 3.1 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = $EER/3.412 = 2.8COP$) and estimate of 45% of multi family buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁸⁷⁸ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁸⁷⁹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
= Actual. If not available use:⁸⁸⁰

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP_{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ⁸⁸¹	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example, a 2.0 COP (including duct loss) Heat Pump heated building:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If incandescent fixture: } \Delta \text{kWh} &= -(35 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 0.49 / 2 \\ &= -71 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If unknown fixture } \Delta \text{kWh} &= -(7 - 2)/1000 * 8766 * 0.49 / 2 \\ &= -10.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{WHF}_d * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHF_d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting. The cooling savings are only added to the summer peak savings.
=1.07⁸⁸²

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 1.0

Default if incandescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kW} &= (35 - 2)/1000 * 1.07 * 1.0 \\ &= 0.035 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Default if dual sided fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kW} &= (14 - 4)/1000 * 1.07 * 1.0 \\ &= 0.0107 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

⁸⁸⁰ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

⁸⁸¹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

⁸⁸² The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.45 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

Default if single sided fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (7 - 2) / 1000 * 1.07 * 1.0 \\ &= 0.0054 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated building, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

$$= 49\%^{883}$$

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

η Heat = Average heating system efficiency.

$$= 0.70^{884}$$

Other factors as defined above

Default if incandescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= - (((35 - 2) / 1000) * 8766 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.70 \\ &= -6.9 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

Default if dual sided fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= - (((14 - 4) / 1000) * 8766 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.70 \\ &= -2.1 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

Default if single sided fluorescent fixture

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= - (((7 - 2) / 1000) * 8766 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.70 \\ &= -1.05 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁸⁸³ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁸⁸⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings should be calculated using the following component costs and lifetimes.

Component	Baseline Measures	
	Cost	Life (yrs)
Lamp	\$12.45 ⁸⁸⁵	1.37 years ⁸⁸⁶

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDE-V03-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

⁸⁸⁵ Consistent with assumption for a Standard CFL bulb (\$2.45) with an estimated labor cost of \$10 (assuming \$40/hour and a task time of 15 minutes).

⁸⁸⁶ Assumes a lamp life of 12,000 hours and 8766 run hours $12000/8766 = 1.37$ years.

5.5.8 LED Screw Based Omnidirectional Bulbs

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Screw Based Omnidirectional (e.g., A-Type lamps) lamps within the residential and multifamily sectors. This characterization assumes that the LED lamp is installed in a residential location. Where the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program) a deemed split of 97% Residential and 3% Commercial assumptions should be used.⁸⁸⁷

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled. Note a new ENERGY STAR specification v2.1 became effective on 1/2/2017.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

In 2012, Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) will require all general-purpose light bulbs between 40 watts and 100 watts to have ~30% increased efficiency, essentially phasing out standard incandescent technology. In 2012, the 100 w lamp standards apply; in 2013 the 75 w lamp standards will apply, followed by restrictions on the 60 w and 40 w lamps in 2014. Since measures installed under this TRM all occur after 2014, baseline equipment are the values after EISA. These are shown in the baseline table below.

Additionally, an EISA backstop provision was included that would require replacement baseline lamps to meet an efficacy requirement of 45 lumens/watt or higher beginning on 1/1/2020. However, in December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that this more stringent standard was not economically justified.

The natural growth of LED market share however, has and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the LED measures installed. The TAC convened a Lamp Forecast Working Group to develop a forecast of the baseline growth of LED, based upon historical growth rates provided via CREED LightTracker data, comparisons of with and no-program states and review of projections provided by the Department of Energy.⁸⁸⁸

This baseline forecast was then used to estimate how replacement lamps would change over the lifetime of an LED. A single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings.

Income Eligible Program Adjustments

The Lamp Forecast Working Group also developed forecasts for estimated Income Eligible market growth in LEDs. These forecasts are used to provide a separate mid-life adjustment for programs supporting income eligible populations. Note that upstream lighting programs in DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods should not assume that all customers are from income eligible populations, as data has indicated that the product selection and low prices found in these stores attract customers from beyond.⁸⁸⁹ A weighted blend of the two measure types (Income eligible and non-income eligible) can be used for DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods based upon primary evaluation research at these store types, or

⁸⁸⁷ RES v C&I split is based on a weighted (by sales volume) average of ComEd PY8, PY9 and CY2018 and Ameren PY8 in store intercept survey results. See 'RESvCI Split_2019.xlsx'.

⁸⁸⁸ US Department of Energy, "Energy Savings Forecast of Solid State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", December 2019. The resultant forecast is provided on the SharePoint site "Lamp Forecast Workbook.xls".

⁸⁸⁹ Navigant and Itron, "CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations".

using a default of 30% income eligible customers.⁸⁹⁰

New Construction Programs

Since IECC 2015 energy code, there has been mandatory requirements for lighting in New Construction: *“Not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the lamps in permanently installed lighting fixtures shall be high-efficacy lamps or not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the permanently installed lighting fixtures shall contain only high-efficacy lamps”*. To meet the ‘high efficacy’ requirements, lamps need to be CFL or LED, however since CFLs are no longer commonly purchased (only 1% baseline forecast) it is assumed that 75% (IECC 2015) or 90% (IECC 2018) of the New Construction baseline is an LED and therefore savings are reduced by that percentage for bulbs provided in New Construction projects.

Early Replacement

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the existing bulb being replaced.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The average rated life for Omnidirectional lamps on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products list (accessed 6/16/2020) is approximately 20,000 hours.

The deemed measure life is 8 years for exterior application and lifetimes are capped at 10 years for other applications.⁸⁹¹

For early replacement measures, if replacing a halogen or incandescent bulb, the remaining life is assumed to be 333 hours. For CFL’s, the remaining life is 3,333 hours.⁸⁹²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The price of LED lamps is falling quickly. Where possible, the actual LED lamp cost should be used and compared to the baseline cost provided below. If the incremental cost is unknown, assume the following.⁸⁹³

Year	EISA Compliant Halogen	LED A-Lamp	Incremental Cost	Incremental Cost for New Construction	
				(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)
2020 and on	\$1.25	\$2.70	\$1.45	\$0.36	\$0.15

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R06 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape R07 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.128 for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs,⁸⁹⁴ 0.273

⁸⁹⁰ 30% of the respondents at the three Income Eligible Program stores where in-store intercepts were conducted met ComEd’s income eligible definition; Navigant and Itron, “CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations”.

⁸⁹¹ Based on recommendation in the Dunsy Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18.

⁸⁹² Representing a third of the expected lamp lifetime.

⁸⁹³ Baseline and LED lamp costs are based on field data collected by CLEAResult and provided by ComEd. See ComEd Pricing Projections 06302016.xlsx for analysis.

⁸⁹⁴ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

for exterior bulbs,⁸⁹⁵ and 0.135 for unknown,⁸⁹⁶

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ((Watts_{base} - Watts_{EE}) / 1000) * ISR * (1 - Leakage) * Hours * WHF_e$$

Where:

$Watts_{base}$ = Input wattage of the existing or baseline system. Reference the "LED New and Baseline Assumptions" table for default values.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed. If unknown, use default provided below:⁸⁹⁷

LED New and Baseline Assumptions Table

Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	Lumens used to calculate LED Wattage (midpoint)	LED Wattage ⁸⁹⁸ (WattsEE)	Baseline (WattsBase)	Baseline for New Construction (WattsBase)		Delta Watts (WattsEE)	Delta Watts for New Construction (WattsEE)	
					(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)		(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)
5280	6209	5745	72.9	300.0	129.7	95.6	227.1	56.8	22.7
3301	5279	4290	54.5	200.0	90.9	69.1	145.5	36.4	14.6
2601	3300	2951	37.5	150.0	65.6	48.8	112.5	28.1	11.3
1490	2600	2045	26.0	72.0	37.5	30.6	46.0	11.5	4.6
1050	1489	1270	16.1	53.0	25.3	19.8	36.9	9.2	3.7
750	1049	900	11.4	43.0	19.3	14.6	31.6	7.9	3.2
310	749	530	6.7	29.0	12.3	8.9	22.3	5.6	2.2
250	309	280	3.5	25.0	8.9	5.7	21.5	5.4	2.2

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of lamps rebated that are actually in service.

⁸⁹⁵ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

⁸⁹⁶ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁸⁹⁷ See file "LED baseline and EE wattage table_2018.xlsx" for details on lamp wattage calculations.

⁸⁹⁸ Based on ENERGY STAR V2.1 specs – for omnidirectional <90CRI: 80 lm/W and for omnidirectional ≥90 CRI: 70 lm/W. To weight these two criteria, the ENERGY STAR qualified list was reviewed and found to contain 87.8% lamps <90CRI and 12.2% ≥90CRI.

Program		Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate ⁸⁹⁹
Retail (Time of Sale)		76.0% ⁹⁰⁰	11.9%	10.1%	98.0% ⁹⁰¹
Direct Install		94.5% ⁹⁰²			
Efficiency Kits ⁹⁰³	LED Distribution ⁹⁰⁴	59%	13%	11%	83%
	School Kits ⁹⁰⁵	60%	13%	11%	84%
	Direct Mail Kits ⁹⁰⁶	66%	14%	12%	93%
	Direct Mail Kits, Income Qualified ⁹⁰⁷	68%	15%	12%	95%
	Community Distributed Kits ⁹⁰⁸	88%	4%	3%	95%
Food Bank / Pantry Distribution ⁹⁰⁹		80.3% ⁹¹⁰	9.6%	8.1%	98% ⁹¹¹

Leakage = Adjustment to account for the percentage of program bulbs that move out (and in if deemed appropriate)⁹¹² of the Utility Jurisdiction.

⁸⁹⁹ Final ISR assumptions for efficiency kits are based upon comparing with CFL Distribution First year ISR and multiplying by the CFL Distribution Final ISR value, capped at 95%, and second and third year estimates based on same proportion of future installs. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

⁹⁰⁰ 1st year in service rate is based upon analysis of ComEd PY8, PY9 and CY2018 and Ameren PY8 intercept data (see 'RES Lighting ISR_2019.xlsx' for more information).

⁹⁰¹ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is based upon the standard CFL measure in the absence of any better reference. This value is based upon review of two evaluations:

'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report; Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed.

⁹⁰² Based upon average of Navigant low income single family direct install field work LED ISR and Standard CFL assumption in the absence of better data, and is based upon review of the PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. This value includes bulb failures in the 1st year to be consistent with the Commission approval of annualization of savings for first year savings claims. ComEd PY2 All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Evaluation, Navigant Consulting, December 21, 2010.

⁹⁰³ In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Given the significant differences in program design and the level of education provided through Efficiency Kits programs, the evaluators should apply the ISR estimated through evaluations (either past evaluations or the current program year evaluation) of the specific Efficiency Kits program. In cases where program-specific evaluation results for an ISR are unavailable, the default ISR values for Efficiency Kits provide may be used.

⁹⁰⁴ Free bulbs provided without request, with little or no education. Consistent with Standard CFL assumptions.

⁹⁰⁵ 1st year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program. Final ISR assumptions are based upon comparing with CFL Distribution First year ISR and multiplying by the CFL Distribution Final ISR value, and second and third year estimates based on same proportion of future installs.

⁹⁰⁶ Opt-in program to receive kits via mail, with little or no education. Consistent with Standard CFL assumptions.

⁹⁰⁷ Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

⁹⁰⁸ Kits distributed in a community setting, targeted to income qualified communities. Research from 2018 Ameren Illinois Income Qualified participant survey.

⁹⁰⁹ Free bulbs provided through local food banks and food pantries.

⁹¹⁰ 1st year ISR is determined based on online surveys conducted for ComEd CY2018 Food Bank LED Distribution program. See 'CY2018 ComEd Foodbank LED Dist Survey Results_Navigant'.

⁹¹¹ In the absence of any program specific data, 98% lifetime ISR assumption is made based on similarity between 1st year ISR values with the Retail (Time of Sale) program and the 2nd and 3rd year installations are scaled accordingly.

⁹¹² Leakage in is only appropriate to credit to IL utility program savings if it is reasonably expected that the IL utility program marketing efforts played an important role in influencing customer to purchase the light bulbs. Furthermore, consideration that such customers might be free riders should be addressed. If leakage in is assessed, efforts should be made to ensure no double

KITS programs = Determined through evaluation

Upstream (TOS) Lighting programs = Use deemed assumptions below:⁹¹³

ComEd: 0.8%

Ameren: 13.1%

All other programs = 0

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	1,089 ⁹¹⁴
Exterior	2,475 ⁹¹⁵
Unknown	1,159 ⁹¹⁶

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family	1.06 ⁹¹⁷
Multifamily in unit	1.04 ⁹¹⁸
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.051 ⁹¹⁹

counting of savings occurs if the evaluation is estimating both leakage in and spillover savings of light bulbs.

⁹¹³ Leakage rate is based upon review of PY8-CY2018 evaluations from ComEd and PY8 for Ameren.

⁹¹⁴ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

⁹¹⁵ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. The IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide hours of use for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

⁹¹⁶ Based on a weighted average of hours of use in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹¹⁷ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $COP = EER / 3.412 = 2.8COP$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

⁹¹⁸ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁹¹⁹ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

For example, an 8W LED lamp, 450 lumens, is installed in the interior of a home. The customer purchased the lamp through a ComEd upstream program:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((29.0 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.784 * (1 - 0.008) * 1,089 * 1.06 \\ &= 20.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

DEFERRED INSTALLS

As presented above, the characterization assumes that a percentage of bulbs purchased are not installed until Year 2 and Year 3 (see ISR assumption above). The Illinois Technical Advisory Committee has determined the following methodology for calculating the savings of these future installs.

Year 2 and 3 installs: Characterized using delta watts assumption and hours of use from the Install Year; i.e., the actual deemed assumptions active in Year 2 and 3 should be applied.

The NTG factor for the Purchase Year should be applied.

For example: using the assumptions from above, for an 8W LED, 450 Lumens purchased for the interior of a residential homes through a ComEd upstream program.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{2nd year installs}} &= ((29 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.106 * (1 - 0.008) * 1,089 * 1.06 \\ &= 2.7 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta\text{kWh}_{\text{3rd year installs}} &= ((29 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.09 * (1 - 0.008) * 1,089 * 1.06 \\ &= 2.3 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Note: Here we assume no change in hours assumption. NTG value from Purchase year should be applied.

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta\text{kWh}^{920} = -(((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta\text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
 = 49% for interior⁹²¹
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location
 = 42% for unknown location⁹²²

ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = actual. If not available use:⁹²³

⁹²⁰ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁹²¹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁹²² Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹²³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP _{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ⁹²⁴	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example: using the same 8 W LED that is installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump (including duct loss) through a ComEd upstream program:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{1\text{st year}} &= - ((29 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.784 * (1 - 0.008) * 1,089 * 0.42) / 2.0 \\ &= - 4.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Second and third year install savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and the delta watts and hours from the install year.

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of a standard Omnidirectional LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the ‘Backstop’ provision), was not economically justified. However, natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and so a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings. See ‘Lamp Forecast Workbook_2020.xls’ for details.

The calculated mid-life adjustments for 2021 are provided below for each population:

Population	Year from which adjustment is applied	Adjustment Factor applied to Annual kWh Savings
Income Eligible	2028	57%
All others	2025	38%

For example, an 8W LED lamp, 450 lumens, is installed in the interior of a home. The customer purchased the lamp through a ComEd upstream program:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh (2021-2024)} &= ((29.0 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.784 * (1 - 0.008) * 1,089 * 1.06 \\ &= 20.0 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta\text{kWh (2025 on)} &= 20.0 * 0.38 \\ &= 7.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

⁹²⁴ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”, using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family	1.11 ⁹²⁵
Multifamily in unit	1.07 ⁹²⁶
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.093 ⁹²⁷

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Interior	0.128 ⁹²⁸
Exterior	0.273 ⁹²⁹
Unknown	0.135 ⁹³⁰

Other factors as defined above

For example: for the same 8 W LED that is installed in a single family interior location through a ComEd upstream program:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((29 - 6.7) / 1000) * 0.784 * (1 - 0.008) * 1.11 * 0.128 \\ &= 0.0025 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year install savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and the delta watts and hours from the install year.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta_{\text{Heat}}$$

⁹²⁵ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁹²⁶ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁹²⁷ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁹²⁸ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

⁹²⁹ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

⁹³⁰ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

Where:

HF	= Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.
	= 49% for interior ⁹³¹
	= 0% for exterior location
	= 42% for unknown location ⁹³²
0.03412	= Converts kWh to Therms
η_{Heat}	= Average heating system efficiency.
	= 0.70 ⁹³³

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost is calculated and applied over the life of the measure as described above.

The NPV for replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the societal real discount rate of 0.42% are presented below.⁹³⁴ It is important to note that for cost-effectiveness screening purposes, the O&M cost adjustments should only be applied in cases where the light bulbs area actually in service and so should be multiplied by the appropriate ISR:

⁹³¹ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁹³² Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹³³ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$(0.24 \times 0.92) + (0.76 \times 0.8) \times (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$

⁹³⁴ See "Lamp Forecast Workbook_2020.xlsx" for calculation.

Population	Location	NPV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
		2021	2021
Income eligible	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$9.97	\$1.02
	Exterior	\$16.66	\$2.12
All others	Residential and in-unit Multi Family, and Unknown	\$7.83	\$0.80
	Exterior	\$9.97	\$1.02

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDA-V10-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.5.9 LED Fixtures

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Fixtures and is broken into four ENERGY STAR fixture types: Indoor Fixtures (including track lighting, wall-wash, sconces, ceiling and fan lights), Task and Under Cabinet Fixtures, Outdoor Fixtures (including flood light, hanging lights, security/path lights, outdoor porch lights), and Downlight Fixtures.

For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the residential v commercial split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion. A default deemed split of 97% Residential and 3% Commercial assumptions can be used based on Omnidirectional Bulbs.⁹³⁵

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new fixtures must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for luminaires. Specifications are as follows:

Fixture Category	Lumens/Watt
Indoor	65
Task and Under Cabinet	50
Outdoor	60
Downlight	55

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be an average of EISA-equivalent wattages for ENERGY STAR-qualified products. Most of the lamp types in this measure are considered specialty so the baseline adjustments are consistent with the 5.5.6 LED Specialty Lamps.

Specialty and Directional lamps were not included in the original definition of General Service Lamps in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA). Therefore, the initial baseline is an incandescent / halogen lamp described in the tables below.

A DOE Final Rule released on 1/19/2017 updated the EISA regulations to remove the exemption for these lamp types such that they become subject to the backstop provision defined within the original legislation. However, in September 2019 this decision was revoked in a DOE Final Rule.

The natural growth of LED market share however, has and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the LED measures installed. The TAC convened a Lamp Forecast Working Group to develop a forecast of the baseline growth of LED, based upon historical growth rates provided via CREED LightTracker data, comparisons of with and no-program states and review of projections provided by the Department of Energy.⁹³⁶

This baseline forecast was then used to estimate how replacement lamps would change over the lifetime of an LED. A single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings.

⁹³⁵ RES v C&I split is based on a weighted (by sales volume) average of ComEd PY7, PY8 and PY9 and Ameren PY8 in store intercept survey results. See 'RESvCI Split_2018.xlsx'.

⁹³⁶ US Department of Energy, "Energy Savings Forecast of Solid State Lighting in General Illumination Applications", December 2019. The resultant forecast is provided on the SharePoint site "Lamp Forecast Workbook.xls".

Income Eligible Program Adjustments

The Lamp Forecast Working Group also developed forecasts for estimated Income Eligible market growth in LEDs. These forecasts are used to provide a separate mid-life adjustment for programs supporting income eligible populations. Note that upstream lighting programs in DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods should not assume that all customers are from income eligible populations, as data has indicated that the product selection and low prices found in these stores attract customers from beyond.⁹³⁷ A weighted blend of the two measure types (Income eligible and non-income eligible) can be used for DIY, Warehouse, and Big Box stores located in income eligible neighborhoods based upon primary evaluation research at these store types, or using a default of 30% income eligible customers.⁹³⁸

New Construction Programs

Since IECC 2015 energy code, there has been mandatory requirements for lighting in New Construction: *“Not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the lamps in permanently installed lighting fixtures shall be high-efficacy lamps or not less than 75 percent (90 percent in IECC 2018) of the permanently installed lighting fixtures shall contain only high-efficacy lamps”*. To meet the ‘high efficacy’ requirements, lamps need to be CFL or LED, however since CFLs are no longer commonly purchased (only 1% baseline forecast) it is assumed that 75% (IECC 2015) or 90% (IECC 2018) of the New Construction baseline is an LED and therefore savings are reduced by that percentage for bulbs provided in New Construction projects.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The lifetime of a fixture is a function of its rated life and average hours of use. The rated life is 47,000 hours for indoor and downlight, 45,000 for task and cabinet, and 49,000 for outdoor fixtures.⁹³⁹ This would imply a lifetime of 51 years for indoor and downlight, 62 years for task and under cabinet, and 20 years for outdoor fixtures. However, all fixture lifetimes are capped at 15 years,⁹⁴⁰ so a 15 year measure life should be assumed.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs:

Fixture Category	Incremental Cost	Incremental Cost for New Construction	
		(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)
Indoor	\$26 ⁹⁴¹	\$6.50	\$2.60
Task /Under Cabinet	\$18 ⁹⁴²	\$4.50	\$1.80
Outdoor	\$26	\$6.50	\$2.60
Downlight	\$13	\$3.25	\$1.30

⁹³⁷ Navigant and Itron, “CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations”.

⁹³⁸ 30% of the respondents at the three Income Eligible Program stores where in-store intercepts were conducted met ComEd’s income eligible definition; Navigant and Itron, “CY2018 ComEd Income Eligible Product Discounts – Lighting NTG Recommendations”.

⁹³⁹ Average rated lives are based on the average rated lives of fixtures available on the ENERGY STAR qualifying list as of 2/26/2018.

⁹⁴⁰ Based on recommendation in the Dunskey Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18.

⁹⁴¹ Incremental costs for indoor and outdoor fixtures based on ENERGY STAR Light Fixtures and Ceiling Fans Calculator, which cites “EPA research on available products, 2012.” ENERGY STAR cost assumptions were reduced by 20% to account for falling LED prices.

⁹⁴² Incremental costs for task/under cabinet and downlight fixtures are from the 2018 Michigan Energy Measures Database.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R06 - Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.119 for residential and in-unit multifamily fixtures,⁹⁴³ 0.273 for exterior fixtures,⁹⁴⁴ and 0.127 for unknown.⁹⁴⁵

Algorithm**CALCULATION OF SAVINGS****ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = ((Watts_{base} - Watts_{EE}) / 1000) * ISR * (1 - Leakage) * Hours * WHF_e$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Baseline is an average of lumen-equivalent EISA wattages for ENERGY STAR products within the fixture category;⁹⁴⁶ see table below.

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual wattage of LED fixture purchased / installed - If unknown, use default provided below.⁹⁴⁷

Fixture Category	$Watts_{Base}$	Baseline for New Construction ($Watts_{Base}$)		$Watts_{EE}$	Delta Watts for New Construction ($Watts_{EE}$)	
		(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)		(IECC 2015)	(IECC 2018)
Indoor	88.5	38.9	29.0	22.4	16.5	6.6
Task /Under Cabinet	45.2	20.0	15.0	11.6	8.4	3.4
Outdoor	79.6	33.6	24.4	18.3	15.3	6.1
Downlight	72.8	33.4	25.6	20.3	13.1	5.3

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service
= 1.0⁹⁴⁸

Leakage = Adjustment to account for the percentage of program bulbs that move out (and in if deemed appropriate)⁹⁴⁹ of the Utility Jurisdiction.

⁹⁴³ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs. Average of values for standard and specialty bulbs.

⁹⁴⁴ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

⁹⁴⁵ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹⁴⁶ See "Analysis" tab within file Residential LED Fixtures_Analysis_June 2018.xlsx for baseline calculations.

⁹⁴⁷ Average of ENERGY STAR product category watts for products at or above the version 2.1 efficacy specification

⁹⁴⁸ ISR recommendation for fixtures in the Dunsky Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-22.

⁹⁴⁹ Leakage in is only appropriate to credit to IL utility program savings if it is reasonably expected that the IL utility program marketing efforts played an important role in influencing customer to purchase the light bulbs. Furthermore, consideration that

Upstream (TOS) Lighting programs = Use deemed assumptions below:⁹⁵⁰

ComEd: 0.7%

Ameren: 6.6%

All other programs = 0

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Fixture Category	Hours
Indoor and Downlight	926 ⁹⁵¹
Task/Under Cabinet	730 ⁹⁵²
Outdoor	2,475 ⁹⁵³

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family	1.06 ⁹⁵⁴
Multifamily in unit	1.04 ⁹⁵⁵
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.051 ⁹⁵⁶

For example, an indoor LED fixture is purchased through a ComEd retail program in 2019:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= ((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 1.0 * (1 - 0.007) * 926 * 1.06 \\ &= 64.4 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

such customers might be free riders should be addressed. If leakage in is assessed, efforts should be made to ensure no double counting of savings occurs if the evaluation is estimating both leakage in and spillover savings of light bulbs.

⁹⁵⁰ Leakage rate is based upon review of PY7-9 evaluations from ComEd and PY8 for Ameren (see for more information) for LED omnidirectional and specialty lamps. Leakage rates for fixtures are an average of rates for standard and specialty lamps, reduced by half according to TAC agreement.

⁹⁵¹ Assuming 365.25 days/year and average of recommended values for standard LED lamps (2.98) and specialty LED lamps (2.09) in interior locations from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs

⁹⁵² Task/under cabinet hours of use are estimated at 2 hours per day.

⁹⁵³ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. The IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide hours of use for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

⁹⁵⁴ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to $\text{COP} = \text{EER} / 3.412 = 2.8\text{COP}$) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

⁹⁵⁵ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁹⁵⁶ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{957} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta_{\text{Heat}}$$

Where:

HF	= Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
	= 49% ⁹⁵⁸ for interior location
	= 0% for exterior or unheated location
	= 42% ⁹⁵⁹ for unknown location
η_{Heat}	= Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
	= actual. If not available use: ⁹⁶⁰

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP _{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ⁹⁶¹	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example, using the same indoor LED fixture that is installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump (including duct loss) through a ComEd retail program in 2019:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh}_{1\text{st year}} &= - (((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 1.0 * (1 - 0.007) * 926 * 0.49) / 2.0 \\ &= - 14.9 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Second and third year install savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and the delta watts and hours from the install year. The appropriate baseline shift adjustment should then be applied to all installs.

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of an LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. Natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and so a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast

⁹⁵⁷ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁹⁵⁸ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁹⁵⁹ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹⁶⁰ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

⁹⁶¹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

decline in annual savings. For fixtures the directional lamp adjustments from the 'Lamp Forecast Workbook_2020.xls' are applied.

The calculated mid-life adjustments for 2021 are provided below for each population:

Population	Year from which adjustment is applied	Adjustment
Income Eligible	2028	70%
All others	2025	60%

For example, an indoor LED fixture is purchased through a ComEd retail program in 2021:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh (2021-2024)} &= ((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 1.0 * (1 - 0.007) * 926 * 1.06 \\ &= 64.4 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{kWh (2025 on)} &= 64.4 * 0.60 \\ &= 38.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family	1.11 ⁹⁶²
Multifamily in unit	1.07 ⁹⁶³
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.093 ⁹⁶⁴

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Interior	0.119 ⁹⁶⁵
Exterior	0.273 ⁹⁶⁶

⁹⁶² The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

⁹⁶³ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁹⁶⁴ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

⁹⁶⁵ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs. Average of values for standard and specialty bulbs.

⁹⁶⁶ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

Bulb Location	CF
Unknown	0.127 ⁹⁶⁷

Other factors as defined above

For example, for the same indoor LED fixture that is installed in a single family interior location through a ComEd retail program in 2019, the demand savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((88.5 - 22.4) / 1000) * 1.0 * (1 - 0.007) * 1.11 * 0.119 \\ &= 0.0087 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

Second and third year install savings should be calculated using the appropriate ISR and the delta watts and hours from the install year. The appropriate baseline shift adjustment should then be applied to all installs.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = -(((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

= 49% for interior or unknown location⁹⁶⁸

= 0% for exterior location

= 42% for unknown location⁹⁶⁹

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

ηHeat = Average heating system efficiency.

= 0.70⁹⁷⁰

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁹⁶⁷ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹⁶⁸ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

⁹⁶⁹ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, assuming 15% exterior specialty lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

⁹⁷⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below:⁹⁷¹

Year	Standard Incandescent	CFL	LED
2019	\$1.90	N/A	
2020	\$1.90	N/A	
2021 & after	\$1.90	\$3.15	\$4.35

In order to account for the natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an equivalent annual levelized baseline replacement cost is calculated and applied over the life of the measure life.

The NPV for replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the societal real discount rate of 0.42% are presented below.⁹⁷² It is important to note that for cost-effectiveness screening purposes, the O&M cost adjustments should only be applied in cases where the light bulbs are actually in service and so should be multiplied by the appropriate ISR:

Population	Location	NPV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
		2021	2021
Income eligible	Indoor and Downlight, Task/Under Cabinet	\$10.57	\$0.73
	Exterior	\$22.84	\$1.57
All others	Indoor and Downlight, Task/Under Cabinet	\$7.57	\$0.52
	Exterior	\$15.97	\$1.10

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LDFX-V04-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

⁹⁷¹ Baseline and LED lamp costs are based on field data collected by CLEAResult and provided by ComEd. See ComEd Pricing Projections 06302016.xlsx for analysis. Costs for standard, decorative, and directional bulbs were averaged.

⁹⁷² See "Residential LED Fixtures_Analysis_2019b.xlsx" for calculation.

5.5.10 Holiday String Lighting

DESCRIPTION

This measure categorizes the savings from customers handing in incandescent string lighting typically used during the holidays and receiving equivalent LED string lighting. LED bulbs on string lights can consume up to 98% less power when compared to incandescent bulbs. Besides less energy to operate, LED string lighting offers many other advantages over incandescent: longer bulb life, a higher brightness, less heat buildup making them safer especially when used indoors on live trees, and better durability since they use a plastic covering over the diode instead of a glass bulb.⁹⁷³

This measure applies to mini, C7, and C9 bulb shape types used in residential locations. Description of the bulb types of string lighting are listed below:^{974, 975}

- Mini: About 1/4" wide x 5/8" high with a shape described as a miniature candle with a pointed tip. The mini is the most common type of string light today and shares about 80% of the market. They have a female-to-male push type base.
- C7: Approximately 1" wide x 1-1/2" high with a shape described as a strawberry. The C7 (and C9) are thought of as more "old fashioned" or traditional since they were the first types of string lighting used for decorative purposes. The C7 shares about 7% of the market and has a screw-in E12 candelabra base.
- C9: Similar in shape to the C7, the C9 is slightly larger at 1-1/4" wide x 2-1/2" high. The C9 shares about 5% of the market and has a screw-in E17 intermediate base.

A third variant of the "C" bulb exists, which is called C6. However, due to lack of availability of the C6 incandescent from retailers, it is assumed the market has already adopted the LED as the baseline for this bulb shape type and should not be claimed for utility program savings.

The implementation strategy for this measure is only geared towards residential customers. Furthermore, the deemed hours of operation are sourced on residential only. As such, the proposed deemed split of 100% Residential and 0% Commercial assumptions should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: EREP. To ensure that the baseline is appropriate, the measure is limited to an exchange event where the customer has to turn in a string of inefficient lighting.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, new string lights must be LED and one of the eligible bulb shape categories listed in this measure (mini, C7, C9).

Some manufacturers offer integrated "smart" control of new LED strings; however, these are not included in this measure.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing incandescent mini, C7, or C9 string lighting turned in during an exchange event.

⁹⁷³ See 'Christmas Lights Buying Guide – Hayneedle'.

⁹⁷⁴ See 'Christmas Lights Buying Guide – Hayneedle'.

⁹⁷⁵ See 'Christmas Lights Guide Visual'.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated lifespan of LED bulbs for string lighting is in the range of 20,000 to 100,000 hours of use. However, the measure lifetime is capped at 7 years due to wear on bulbs and string from weather, sunlight, and annual installation and storage.⁹⁷⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Where possible, the actual, full cost of new LED string lighting should be used. If unavailable, assume the following costs.

Bulb Type	Measure Cost ⁹⁷⁷
Mini	\$15.38
C7	\$21.42
C9	\$17.28

Loadshape

Loadshape R16; Residential Holiday String Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

Due to the seasonal nature and evening operation of holiday string lights, there is no expected reduction in a utility's peak demand.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = ((Watts_{base} - Watts_{EE}) / 1000) * ISR * (1 - Leakage) * Hours * WHF_e$$

Where:

$Watts_{base}$ = Total wattage of the existing incandescent string lights = Bulb Wattage * # Bulbs; see table below for baseline bulb wattage assumptions

$Watts_{EE}$ = Actual total wattage of the new LED string lights = Bulb Wattage * # Bulbs. If unknown, assume total wattage of new LED string lights = Bulb Wattage * # Bulbs; see table below for LED bulb wattage assumptions

Where:

Bulb Wattage = Reference the "Bulb Wattage Assumptions" table below.

Bulb Wattage Assumptions⁹⁷⁸

Type	Incandescent Bulb (Watts)	LED Bulb (Watts)
Mini	0.49	0.11
C7	5.00	0.31
C9	7.00	0.13

⁹⁷⁶ LED string lighting lifetime from <https://www.christmasdesigners.com/blog/how-long-do-led-christmas-lights-really-last/> 'How Long Do LED Christmas Lights Really Last' Christmas Designers'

⁹⁷⁷ See file Holiday Lights Research and Calcs_2018.xlsx for CLEAResult research on holiday string lighting costs.

⁹⁷⁸ Average wattages provided from market research by CLEAResult. See file Holiday Lights Research and Calcs_2018.xlsx.

# Bulbs	= Actual quantity of bulbs on the string. If baseline is unknown, assume same as the new string.
ISR	= In Service Rate, or percentage of string lights that get installed. Derive from program evaluation analysis, otherwise assume 100%.
Leakage	<p>= Adjustment to account for the percentage of program string lights that move out (and in, if deemed appropriate) of the Utility Jurisdiction.</p> <p>= For an exchange event, assume 0% if customer is required to be a utility customer. If not, determine leakage rate through evaluation. If customer is not required to be utility customer and if leakage is not determined through evaluation, use the deemed leakage rates LED omnidirectional bulbs sold through Upstream (TOS) programs:⁹⁷⁹</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">ComEd: 1.6%</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Ameren: 13.1%</p>
Hours	<p>= Average hours of use per year</p> <p>= 210 hours⁹⁸⁰</p>
WHFe	= Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting, assumed value of 1.0 since operation of string lights (if indoors) does not coincide with cooling season and there are no interactive effects for outdoor string lights.

For example, a customer replaces a 50-bulb mini incandescent string with a 50-bulb mini LED string through exchange event:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((0.49 * 50) - (0.11 * 50)) / 1000 * 1.00 * (1 - 0) * 210 * 1.0$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{981} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF	<p>= Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated</p> <p>= 49% for interior or unknown location⁹⁸²</p> <p>= 0% for exterior or unheated location</p>
ηHeat	<p>= Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment</p> <p>= actual. If not available, use:⁹⁸³</p>

⁹⁷⁹ Leakage rate is based upon review of PY8-CY2018 evaluations from ComEd and PY8 for Ameren.

⁹⁸⁰ Based on typical holiday lighting hours of use (6 hours per day, 7 days per week for 5 weeks) from California Municipal Utilities Association "TRM 205 LED Holiday Lights."

⁹⁸¹ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁹⁸² This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁹⁸³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COPheat (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413) * 0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006-2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown ⁹⁸⁴	N/A	N/A	1.28

For example, using the same 50-bulb mini LED string that is installed in home with 2.0 COP Heat Pump (including duct loss):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= - (((0.49 * 50) - (0.11 * 50)) / 1000) * 1.00 * (1 - 0) * 210 * 0.49 / 2.0 \\ &= - 1.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if installed in a natural gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF	= Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system. = 49% for interior or unknown location ⁹⁸⁵ = 0% for exterior location
0.03412	= Converts kWh to Therms
ηHeat	= Actual heating system efficiency = 70% ⁹⁸⁶

⁹⁸⁴ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

⁹⁸⁵ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

⁹⁸⁶ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in

For example, using the same 50-bulb mini LED string that is installed in a single family interior location with gas heating at 70% total efficiency:

$$\Delta \text{therms} = - (((0.49 * 50) - (0.11 * 50)) / 1000) * 1.00 * (1 - 0) * 210 * 0.49 * 0.03412 / 0.70$$

$$= - 0.10 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDH-V02-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

5.5.11 LED Nightlights

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from LED nightlights. This characterization assumes that the LED nightlight is installed in a residential location.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

For this characterization to apply, the high-efficiency equipment must be a qualified LED nightlight.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be an incandescent/halogen nightlight.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life of the is estimated is 8 years.⁹⁸⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Where possible, the actual cost should be used and compared to the baseline cost. If the incremental cost is unknown, assume the following:⁹⁸⁸

Bulb Type	Year	Incandescent	LED	Incremental Cost
Nightlights	All	\$2.84	\$6.19	\$3.35

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R07 - Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

Demand savings is assumed to be zero for this measure.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{Hours} * \text{WHFe}$$

Where:

$$\text{Watts}_{\text{base}} = \text{Actual wattage if known, if unknown, assume 7W.}^{989}$$

⁹⁸⁷ Southern California Edison Company, "LED, Electroluminescent & Fluorescent Night Lights", Work Paper WPSCRELG0029 Rev. 1, February 2009, p. 2. and p.3.

⁹⁸⁸ Average cost data provided in Stanley Mertz, "LED Nightlights Energy Efficiency Retail products programs", March 2018.

⁹⁸⁹ Based on Stanley Mertz, "LED Nightlights Energy Efficiency Retail products programs", March 2018.

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed.

ISR = In Service Rate or the percentage of nightlights rebated that get installed

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR)	2 nd year Installations	3 rd year Installations	Final Lifetime In Service Rate
Retail (Time of Sale)	84.0% ⁹⁹⁰	7.6%	6.4%	98.0% ⁹⁹¹
Direct Install	96.9% ⁹⁹²			
School Kits	60% ⁹⁹³	13%	11%	84%

Leakage = Adjustment to account for the percentage of program bulbs that move out (and in if deemed appropriate)⁹⁹⁴ of the Utility Jurisdiction.

KITS programs = Determined through evaluation

Upstream (TOS) Lighting programs = Use deemed assumptions below:⁹⁹⁵

ComEd: 2.0%

Ameren: 13.1%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

= 4,380⁹⁹⁶

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family	1.06 ⁹⁹⁷

⁹⁹⁰ 1st year in service rate is based upon analysis of ComEd PY7, PY8, and PY9 intercept data (see 'Res Lighting ISR_2018.xlsx' for more information).

⁹⁹¹ The 98% Lifetime ISR assumption is based upon the standard CFL measure in the absence of any better reference. This value is based upon review of two evaluations:

'Nexus Market Research, RLW Analytics and GDS Associates study; "New England Residential Lighting Markdown Impact Evaluation, January 20, 2009' and 'KEMA Inc, Feb 2010, Final Evaluation Report:, Upstream Lighting Program, Volume 1.' This implies that only 2% of bulbs purchased are never installed. The second and third year installations are based upon Ameren analysis of the Californian KEMA study showing that 54% of future installs occur in year 2 and 46% in year 3. The 2nd and 3rd year installations should be counted as part of those future program year savings.

⁹⁹² Consistent with assumption for standard CFLs (in the absence of evidence that it should be different for this bulb type). Based upon review of the PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. This value includes bulb failures in the 1st year to be consistent with the Commission approval of annualization of savings for first year savings claims. ComEd PY2 All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Evaluation, Navigant Consulting, December 21, 2010.

⁹⁹³ 1st year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program.

⁹⁹⁴ Leakage in is only appropriate to credit to IL utility program savings if it is reasonably expected that the IL utility program marketing efforts played an important role in influencing customer to purchase the light bulbs. Furthermore, consideration that such customers might be free riders should be addressed. If leakage in is assessed, efforts should be made to ensure no double counting of savings occurs if the evaluation is estimating both leakage in and spillover savings of light bulbs.

⁹⁹⁵ Leakage rate is based upon review of PY7-9 evaluations from ComEd and PY5,6 and 8 for Ameren (see for more information).

⁹⁹⁶ Assumes nightlight is operating 12 hours per day, consistent with the 2016 Pennsylvania TRM.

⁹⁹⁷ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP =

Bulb Location	WHFe
Multifamily in unit	1.04 ⁹⁹⁸
Unknown location	1.054 ⁹⁹⁹

For example, a 0.3W LED nightlight is direct installed in single family interior location within ComEd territory:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= ((7 - 0.3) / 1000) * 0.969 * (1 - 0) * 4380 * 1.06 \\ &= 30.1 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

HEATING PENALTY

If electric heated home (if heating fuel is unknown assume gas, see Natural Gas section):

$$\Delta \text{kWh}^{1000} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF}) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:=(

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
= 49% for interior¹⁰⁰¹
- ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
= Actual. If not available use: ¹⁰⁰²

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	COP _{HEAT} (COP Estimate) = (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00
Unknown ¹⁰⁰³	N/A	N/A	1.28

EER/3.412 = 2.8COP) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

⁹⁹⁸ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

⁹⁹⁹ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

¹⁰⁰⁰ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

¹⁰⁰¹ This means that heating loads increase by 49% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes.

¹⁰⁰² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate. Note efficiency should include duct losses. Defaults provided assume 15% duct loss for heat pumps.

¹⁰⁰³ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

For example, a 0.3W LED nightlight is direct installed in single family interior location with a 2016 heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= - (((7 - 0.3) / 1000) * 0.969 * (1-0) * 4380 * 0.49) / 2.04 \\ &= - 6.83 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = ((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{WHFd} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family or unknown location	1.11 ¹⁰⁰⁴
Multifamily in unit	1.07 ¹⁰⁰⁵
Unknown location	1.098 ¹⁰⁰⁶

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.
= 0

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty if Natural Gas heated home, or if heating fuel is unknown.

$$\Delta \text{therms} = - (((\text{WattsBase} - \text{WattsEE}) / 1000) * \text{ISR} * \text{Hours} * \text{HF} * 0.03412) / \eta \text{Heat}$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.

= 49% for interior¹⁰⁰⁷

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

ηHeat = Average heating system efficiency

= 0.70¹⁰⁰⁸

Other factors as defined above

¹⁰⁰⁴ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

¹⁰⁰⁵ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

¹⁰⁰⁶ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

¹⁰⁰⁷ Average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes

¹⁰⁰⁸ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Illinois residences (66% of Illinois homes have a Natural Gas Furnace (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey) In 2000, 24% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 10 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:

$$(0.24 * 0.92) + (0.76 * 0.8) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.70$$

For example, a 0.3W LED nightlight is direct installed in single family interior location with gas heating at 70% total efficiency:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{therms} &= - (((7 - 0.3) / 1000) * 0.969 * (1-0) * 4380 * 0.49 * 0.03412) / 0.70 \\ &= - 0.68 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-NITL-V01-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

5.5.12 Connected LED Lamps

DESCRIPTION

Many home devices in the market have become integrated with smart technology in recent years. Home devices able to connect to Wifi or a mobile network allow the user to control the device over the internet. This measure defines the savings associated with connected lighting. Connected LEDs allow for remote user control through a smart device, such as smart phone, tablet, or smart speaker. The standard LED provides light in one shade at one lumen level and color temperature. Connected LEDs have options integrated that allow for customizable color, color temperature, and lumen output. The Connected LED can also be turned on and off with a set schedule or controlled remotely. Savings from this measure come from both reduced hours of operation and dimming.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

For this characterization to apply, the efficient condition must be LED lighting that is controlled by a smart device. The savings for this measure are the estimated incremental control savings compared to a non-connected efficient lamp. Some connected LEDs come with hubs for managing their operations. Connected LEDs with hubs do not qualify for this savings characterization, as the energy use by the hub cancels out the savings attributed to the connectivity of the lamp.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the efficient LED without the connected capabilities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The deemed measure life is 6.1 years for exterior application.¹⁰⁰⁹ For all other applications, lifetimes are capped at 10 years.¹⁰¹⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost can be assumed to be \$20, the difference between the average cost of the baseline non-connected LED and the average cost of the connected LED.¹⁰¹¹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R06 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape R07 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor is assumed to be 0.128 for Residential and in-unit Multi Family bulbs,¹⁰¹² 0.273

¹⁰⁰⁹ ENERGY STAR v2.1 requires omnidirectional LED bulbs to be rated for at least 15,000 hours. 15000/2475 (exterior hours of use) = 6.1 years.

¹⁰¹⁰ Based on recommendation in the Dunskey Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18.

¹⁰¹¹ Estimate based on review of available product and estimates provided in King J., ACEEE, "Energy Impacts of Smart Home Technologies", April 2018.

¹⁰¹² Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

for exterior bulbs,¹⁰¹³ and 0.135 for unknown.¹⁰¹⁴

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (((\text{Watts}_{\text{EE}}/1000) * \text{HOURS} * \text{SVGe} * \text{WHFe}) - \text{Standby}_{\text{kWh}}) * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage})$$

Where:

WattsEE = Actual wattage of LED. If unknown, then use the following default assumption:
= 0.034¹⁰¹⁵

HOURS = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential and in-unit Multi Family	1,089 ¹⁰¹⁶
Exterior	2,475 ¹⁰¹⁷
Unknown	1,159 ¹⁰¹⁸

SVGe = Percentage of annual lighting energy saved by lighting control; determined on a site-specific basis or using default below
= 0.30¹⁰¹⁹

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of lamps rebated that are actually in service.

Program	Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR) ¹⁰²⁰
Retail (Time of Sale)	98.0%
Direct Install	96.9%

¹⁰¹³ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

¹⁰¹⁴ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

¹⁰¹⁵ Connecticut LED Lighting Study Report (R154). Average connected wattage of lamps in dining room, living space, bedroom, bathroom, and kitchen spaces.

¹⁰¹⁶ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

¹⁰¹⁷ Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. The IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide hours of use for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

¹⁰¹⁸ Based on a weighted average of hours of use in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

¹⁰¹⁹ Mid Atlantic Technical Reference Manual Version 8, May 2018. SVGe value adjusted downward (from original TRM value of 0.49 to 0.30) based on phone conversations with Navigant in support of the MEMD.

¹⁰²⁰ ISRs are consistent with the LED Screw Based Standard Lamp measure, however since 2nd and 3rd year savings for this measure are so minimal, for ease of implementation the 3 year installs are discounted using the real discount rate to a single assumption.

Program		Weighted Average 1 st year In Service Rate (ISR) ¹⁰²⁰
Efficiency Kits	LED Distribution	83%
	School Kits	84%
	Direct Mail Kits	92%
Food Bank / Pantry Distribution		98%

Leakage = Adjustment to account for the percentage of program bulbs that move out (and in if deemed appropriate)¹⁰²¹ of the Utility Jurisdiction.

KITS programs = Determined through evaluation

Upstream (TOS) Lighting programs = Use deemed assumptions below:¹⁰²²

ComEd: 0.8%

Ameren: 13.1%

All other programs = 0

WHFe = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings

Bulb Location	WHFe
Interior single family	1.06 ¹⁰²³
Multifamily in unit	1.04 ¹⁰²⁴
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.051 ¹⁰²⁵

StandbykWh = Standby power draw of the controlled lamp. Use actual value from manufacturer specification. If not known then assume:

= 0.35 kWh¹⁰²⁶

¹⁰²¹ Leakage in is only appropriate to credit to IL utility program savings if it is reasonably expected that the IL utility program marketing efforts played an important role in influencing customer to purchase the light bulbs. Furthermore, consideration that such customers might be free riders should be addressed. If leakage in is assessed, efforts should be made to ensure no double counting of savings occurs if the evaluation is estimating both leakage in and spillover savings of light bulbs.

¹⁰²² Leakage rate is based upon review of PY8-CY2018 evaluations from ComEd and PY8 for Ameren.

¹⁰²³ The value is estimated at 1.06 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * (0.27 / 2.8))$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 27% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different configurations and IL locations of homes), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP) and 66% of homes in Illinois having central cooling ("Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009 from Energy Information Administration", 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)

¹⁰²⁴ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

¹⁰²⁵ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

¹⁰²⁶ Laccarino, et. Al. "Only as Smart as its owner: A connected device study". Cadmus study presented at ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings, 2018.

For example, a 9W Connected LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh}_{1\text{st year installs}} &= (((9/1000) * 1,089 * 0.3 * 1.051) - 0.35) * 0.9 * (1 - 0.008) \\ &= 2.45 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kWh} = (\text{Watts}_{\text{EE}}/1000) * \text{SVGd} * \text{WHFd} * \text{ISR} * (1 - \text{Leakage}) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

SVGd = Percentage of annual lighting demand saved by lighting control; determined on a site-specific basis or using default below

$$= 0.30^{1027}$$

WHFd = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFd
Interior single family	1.11 ¹⁰²⁸
Multifamily in unit	1.07 ¹⁰²⁹
Exterior or uncooled location	1.0
Unknown location	1.093 ¹⁰³⁰

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Interior	0.128 ¹⁰³¹
Exterior	0.273 ¹⁰³²
Unknown	0.135 ¹⁰³³

¹⁰²⁷ Mid Atlantic Technical Reference Manual Version 8, May 2018. SVGe value adjusted downward (from original TRM value of 0.49 to 0.30) based on phone conversations with Navigant in support of the MEMD.

¹⁰²⁸ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.66 * 0.466 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 46.6% factor represents the average Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated by dividing average load during the peak hours divided by the maximum cooling load.

¹⁰²⁹ As above but using estimate of 45% of multifamily buildings in Illinois having central cooling (based on data from "Table HC7.1 Air Conditioning in U.S. Homes, By Housing Unit Type, 2009" which is for the whole of the US, scaled to IL air conditioning prevalence compared to US average)

¹⁰³⁰ Unknown is weighted average of interior v exterior (assuming 5% exterior lighting based on distribution of LEDs from on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study) and SF v MF interior based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

¹⁰³¹ Based on the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

¹⁰³² Based on lighting logger study conducted as part of the PY5/6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation. the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs was unable to provide coincidence factors for screw-based omnidirectional LEDs in exterior applications.

¹⁰³³ Based on a weighted average of coincidence factors in interior and exterior applications, assuming 5% exterior lighting. The distribution of LEDs is based on the on-site lighting inventory conducted as part of the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study.

For example, a 9W Connected LED is purchased through a ComEd upstream program.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{1st \text{ year installs}} &= (((9/1000) * 0.3 * 1.093)) * 0.9 * (1 - 0.008) \\ &= 0.0026 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

NA

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

NA

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

NA

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDC-V01-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.6 Shell End Use

5.6.1 Air Sealing

DESCRIPTION

Thermal shell air leaks are sealed through strategic use and location of air-tight materials. Leaks are detected and leakage rates measured with the assistance of a blower-door. The algorithm for this measure can be used when the program implementation does not allow for more detailed forecasting through the use of residential modeling software.

Prescriptive savings are provided for use only where a blower door test is not possible (for example in large multifamily buildings).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Air sealing materials and diagnostic testing should meet all eligibility program qualification criteria. The initial and final tested leakage rates should be performed in such a manner that the identified reductions can be properly discerned, particularly in situations wherein multiple building envelope measures may be implemented simultaneously.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing air leakage should be determined through approved and appropriate test methods using a blower door. The baseline condition of a building upon first inspection significantly impacts the opportunity for cost-effective energy savings through air-sealing.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹⁰³⁴

The expected measure life of prescriptive shrink-fit window film is assumed to be 1 year.

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹⁰³⁵ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate

¹⁰³⁴ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹⁰³⁵ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
	= 68% ¹⁰³⁶
CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
	= 72% ¹⁰³⁷
CF_{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
	= 46.6% ¹⁰³⁸

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Preferred methodology unless blower door testing is not possible.

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} + \Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$	= If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to air sealing
	= $\frac{(((CFM50_{existing} - CFM50_{new})/N_{cool}) * 60 * 24 * CDD * DUA * 0.018)}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool}) * LM * ADJ_{AirSealingCool}} * IE_{NetCorrection} * \%Cool$
$CFM50_{existing}$	= Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door before air sealing.
	= Actual
$CFM50_{new}$	= Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door after air sealing.
	= Actual
N_{cool}	= Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
	= Dependent on location and number of stories: ¹⁰³⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_cool (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
1 (Rockford)	39.5	35.0	32.1	28.4
2 (Chicago)	38.9	34.4	31.6	28.0
3 (Springfield)	41.2	36.5	33.4	29.6

¹⁰³⁶ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹⁰³⁷ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹⁰³⁸ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹⁰³⁹ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc".

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_cool (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
4 (St Louis, MO)	40.4	35.8	32.9	29.1
5 (Paducah, KY)	43.6	38.6	35.4	31.3

60 * 24 = Converts Cubic Feet per Minute to Cubic Feet per Day

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹⁰⁴⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
1 (Rockford)	820
2 (Chicago)	842
3 (Springfield)	1,108
4 (Belleville)	1,570
5 (Marion)	1,370

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75¹⁰⁴¹

0.018 = Specific Heat Capacity of Air (Btu/ft³*°F)

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η Cool = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹⁰⁴²

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand¹⁰⁴³

¹⁰⁴⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

¹⁰⁴¹ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹⁰⁴² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹⁰⁴³ Derived by calculating the sensible and total loads in each hour. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEARResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc".

Climate Zone (City based upon)	LM
1 (Rockford)	3.3
2 (Chicago)	3.2
3 (Springfield)	3.7
4 (St Louis, MO)	3.6
5 (Paducah, KY)	3.7

$ADJ_{AirSealingCool}$ = Adjustment for cooling savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹⁰⁴⁴

Measure	$ADJ_{AirSealingCool}$
Air sealing and attic insulation	121%
Air sealing without attic insulation	100%

$IE_{NetCorrection}$ = 100% if not income eligible or air sealing is installed without attic insulation.
= 110% if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using $ADJ_{AirSealingCool}$ of 121%¹⁰⁴⁵

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁴⁶	66%

$\Delta kWh_{heatingElectric}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to air sealing

$$= \left[\left(\left(CFM50_{existing} - CFM50_{new} \right) / N_{heat} \right) * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018 \right] / (\eta_{Heat} * 3,412)] * \%ElectricHeat$$

N_{heat} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

¹⁰⁴⁴ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, see Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company.

These adjustment factors are based on a consumption data analysis using matching to non-participants. The values are therefore between net and gross with respect to free ridership. Like all consumption data analyses, they are net with respect to participant spillover and gross with respect to non-participant spillover. For more detail, see Table 5-3 in Volume 4 of the IL-TRM. Consistent with Section 7.2 of the Illinois EE Policy Manual, applicable net-to-gross adjustments to the savings will be determined as part of the annual SAG net-to-gross process.

¹⁰⁴⁵ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

¹⁰⁴⁶ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

= Based on climate zone, building height and exposure level:¹⁰⁴⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N _{heat} (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
1 (Rockford)	23.8	21.1	19.3	17.1
2 (Chicago)	23.9	21.1	19.4	17.2
3 (Springfield)	24.2	21.5	19.7	17.4
4 (St Louis, MO)	25.4	22.5	20.7	18.3
5 (Paducah, KY)	27.8	24.6	22.6	20.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹⁰⁴⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate)..

If not available refer to default table below:¹⁰⁴⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate)= (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁵⁰	N/A	N/A	1.28

¹⁰⁴⁷ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc".

¹⁰⁴⁸ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F.

¹⁰⁴⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹⁰⁵⁰ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

%ElectricHeat = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁵¹	13%

For example: energy savings from air sealing. Energy savings for attic insulation are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.5: Ceiling/Attic Insulation.

Assume a 2 story single family non-income eligible home in Chicago completes air sealing, installs attic insulation, has 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2 (1.92 including distribution losses), and has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\
 &= [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 31.6) * 60 * 24 * 842 * 0.75 * 0.018) / (1000 * 10.5) * 3.2 * 121\%] * 100\% \\
 &\quad * 100\% + [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 19.4) * 60 * 24 * 5113 * 0.018) / (1.92 * 3,412)] * 100\% \\
 &= 220 + 1,199 \\
 &= 1,419 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heatingGas}}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3 * \text{ADJ}_{\text{AirSealingHeatFan}} * \text{IE}_{\text{NetCorrection}}$$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
= 3.14%¹⁰⁵²

29.3 = kWh per therm

$\text{ADJ}_{\text{AirSealingHeatFan}}$ = Adjustment for fan savings during heating season to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹⁰⁵³

Measure	$\text{ADJ}_{\text{AirSealingHeatFan}}$
Air sealing and attic insulation	107%
Air sealing without attic insulation	100%

¹⁰⁵¹ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹⁰⁵² F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

¹⁰⁵³ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, see Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company. These adjustment factors are based on a consumption data analysis using matching to non-participants. The values are therefore between net and gross with respect to free ridership. Like all consumption data analyses, they are net with respect to participant spillover and gross with respect to non-participant spillover. For more detail, see Table 5-3 in Volume 4 of the IL-TRM. Consistent with Section 7.2 of the Illinois EE Policy Manual, applicable net-to-gross adjustments to the savings will be determined as part of the annual SAG net-to-gross process.

$$IE_{\text{NetCorrection}} = 100\% \text{ if not income eligible or air sealing is installed without attic insulation}$$

$$= 110\% \text{ if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using } ADJ_{\text{AirSealingHeatFan}} \text{ of } 107\%^{1054}$$

For example: energy savings from air sealing. Energy savings for attic insulation are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.5: Ceiling/Attic Insulation.

Assume a well shielded, 2 story non-income eligible single family home in Chicago completes air sealing, installs attic insulation, has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, and has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250 (see therm calculation in Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingGas}} = 76.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 * 107\% * 100\%$$

$$= 75.1 \text{ kWh}$$

Methodology 2: Prescriptive Infiltration Reduction Measures¹⁰⁵⁵

Savings shall only be calculated via Methodology 2 if a blower door test is not feasible. Cooling savings are not quantified using Methodology 2.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} = (\Delta kWh_{\text{gasket}} * n_{\text{gasket}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} * sf_{\text{windows}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{sweep}} * n_{\text{sweep}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{sealing}} * If_{\text{sealing}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{WX}} * If_{\text{WX}}) * ADJ_{\text{RxAirsealing}} * ISR$$

Where:

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{gasket}} = \text{Annual kWh savings from installation of air sealing gasket on an electric outlet}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{gasket}} / \text{gasket}$	
	Electric Resistance	Heat Pump
1 (Rockford)	10.5	5.3
2 (Chicago)	10.2	5.1
3 (Springfield)	8.8	4.4
4 (Belleville)	7.0	3.5
5 (Marion)	7.2	3.6

$$n_{\text{gasket}} = \text{Number of gaskets installed}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} = \text{Annual kWh savings from installation of Shrink-Fit Window Kit}^{1056}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} / sf$ Electric Resistance	$\Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} / sf$ Heat Pump
1 (Rockford)	4.0	2.1

¹⁰⁵⁴ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

¹⁰⁵⁵ Prescriptive savings are based upon "Evaluation of the Weatherization Residential Assistance Partnership and Helps Programs (WRAP/Helps)." Middletown, CT: KEMA, 2010. Accessed July 30, 2015, and adjusted for relative HDD of Bridgeport/Hartford CT with the IL climate zones. See 'Rx Airsealing HDD adjustment.xls' for more information.

¹⁰⁵⁶ Prescriptive savings are based upon "Cost Benefit Analysis for 2018, Annual Report submitted to Virginia Natural Gas, Inc., submitted by Nexant." July 31, 2018. Adjusted for relative HDD of Virginia Beach VA with the IL climate zones. See "Window Film Savings Calculation.xlsx" for more information.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} / sf$ Electric Resistance	$\Delta kWh_{\text{windows}} / sf$ Heat Pump
2 (Chicago)	3.9	2.0
3 (Springfield)	3.3	1.7
4 (Belleville)	2.5	1.3
5 (Marion)	2.6	1.3

sf_{windows} = square footage of shrink-fit window film

$\Delta kWh_{\text{sweep}}$ = Annual kWh savings from installation of door sweep

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{sweep}} / \text{sweep}$	
	Electric Resistance	Heat Pump
1 (Rockford)	202.4	101.2
2 (Chicago)	195.3	97.6
3 (Springfield)	169.3	84.7
4 (Belleville)	134.9	67.5
5 (Marion)	137.9	68.9

n_{sweep} = Number of sweeps installed

$\Delta kWh_{\text{sealing}}$ = Annual kWh savings from foot of caulking, sealing, or polyethylene tape

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{sealing}} / ft$	
	Electric Resistance	Heat Pump
1 (Rockford)	11.6	5.8
2 (Chicago)	11.2	5.6
3 (Springfield)	9.7	4.8
4 (Belleville)	7.7	3.9
5 (Marion)	7.9	3.9

lf_{sealing} = linear feet of caulking, sealing, or polyethylene tape

ΔkWh_{wx} = Annual kWh savings from window weatherstripping or door weatherstripping

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh_{\text{wx}} / ft$	
	Electric Resistance	Heat Pump
1 (Rockford)	13.5	6.7
2 (Chicago)	13.0	6.5
3 (Springfield)	11.3	5.6
4 (Belleville)	9.0	4.5
5 (Marion)	9.2	4.6

lf_{wx} = Linear feet of window weatherstripping or door weatherstripping

$ADJ_{\text{RxAirsealing}}$ = Adjustment for air sealing savings to account for prescriptive estimates overclaiming savings¹⁰⁵⁷
= 80%

¹⁰⁵⁷ Though we do not have a specific evaluation to point to, modeled savings have often been found to overclaim. Further VEIC reviewed these deemed estimates and consider them to likely be a high estimate. As such an 80% adjustment is applied, and this could be further refined with future evaluations.

ISR = In service rate of weatherization kits dependant on install method as listed in table below.

Selection	ISR
Distributed School Weatherization Kits	0.58 ¹⁰⁵⁸
Other Weatherization Kits	0.87 ¹⁰⁵⁹
Direct Install, Retail	1.0

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:¹⁰⁶⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 68%¹⁰⁶¹

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
 = 72%¹⁰⁶²

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
 = 46.6%¹⁰⁶³

Other factors as defined above.

¹⁰⁵⁸ ILLUME Advising LLC. School-Based Energy Education Programs: Goals, Challenges, and Opportunities. October 2015. See result for AEP Ohio Weather stripping/door sweep/gaskets kit in table on page 17.

¹⁰⁵⁹ For residential showerheads and aerators in the IL-TRM, the ratio of ISRs for opt-in kits to ISRs for distributed school kits vary from 1.9 to 2.4. For weatherization kits, opt-in ISRs are estimate at 1.5 times the distributed school ISR.

¹⁰⁶⁰ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH.

¹⁰⁶¹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹⁰⁶² Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹⁰⁶³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

For example: energy savings from air sealing. Energy savings for attic insulation are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.5: Ceiling/Attic Insulation.

Assume a well shielded, 2 story non-income eligible single family home in Chicago completes air sealing, installs attic insulation, has 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2.0, and has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{SSP} &= 220 / 570 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.26 \text{ kW} \\ \Delta kW_{PJM} &= 220 / 570 * 0.466 \\ &= 0.18 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Methodology 1: Blower Door Test

Preferred methodology unless blower door testing is not possible.

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((\text{CFM50}_{\text{existing}} - \text{CFM50}_{\text{new}}) / N_{\text{heat}}) * 60 * 24 * \text{HDD} * 0.018) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{AirSealingGasHeat}} * \text{IE}_{\text{NetCorrection}}$$

Where:

N_{heat} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
= Based on climate zone and building height:¹⁰⁶⁴

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
1 (Rockford)	23.8	21.1	19.3	17.1
2 (Chicago)	23.9	21.1	19.4	17.2
3 (Springfield)	24.2	21.5	19.7	17.4
4 (St Louis, MO)	25.4	22.5	20.7	18.3
5 (Paducah, KY)	27.8	24.6	22.6	20.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days
= dependent on location:¹⁰⁶⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438

¹⁰⁶⁴ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc".

¹⁰⁶⁵ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004..

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).¹⁰⁶⁶ If not available, use 72% for existing system efficiency.¹⁰⁶⁷

$ADJ_{\text{AirSealingGasHeat}}$ = Adjustment for gas heating savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms:¹⁰⁶⁸

Measure	$ADJ_{\text{AirSealingGasHeat}}$
Air sealing and attic insulation	72%
Air sealing without attic insulation	100%

$IE_{\text{NetCorrection}}$ = 100% if not income eligible or air sealing is installed without attic insulation
 = 110% if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using $ADJ_{\text{AirSealingGasHeat}}$ of 72%¹⁰⁶⁹

$\%_{\text{GasHeat}}$ = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	$\%_{\text{GasHeat}}$
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁷⁰	87%

Other factors as defined above.

¹⁰⁶⁶ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (see 'BPI Distribution Efficiency Table') or by performing duct blaster testing.

¹⁰⁶⁷ Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

¹⁰⁶⁸ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, see Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company. These adjustment factors are based on a consumption data analysis using matching to non-participants. The values are therefore between net and gross with respect to free ridership. Like all consumption data analyses, they are net with respect to participant spillover and gross with respect to non-participant spillover. For more detail, see Table 5-3 in Volume 4 of the IL-TRM. Consistent with Section 7.2 of the Illinois EE Policy Manual, applicable net-to-gross adjustments to the savings will be determined as part of the annual SAG net-to-gross process.

¹⁰⁶⁹ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

¹⁰⁷⁰ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

For example: energy savings from air sealing. Energy savings for attic insulation are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.5: Ceiling/Attic Insulation.

Assume a 2 story non-income eligible single family home in Chicago completes air sealing, installs attic insulation, has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, and has pre and post blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (((3,400 - 2,250)/19.4) * 60 * 24 * 5113 * 0.018) / (0.72 * 100,000) * 72\% * 100\% \\ &= 78.5 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

Methodology 2: Prescriptive Infiltration Reduction Measures¹⁰⁷¹

Savings shall only be calculated via Methodology 2 if a blower door test is not feasible.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{therms} &= (\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{gasket}} * n_{\text{gasket}} + \Delta\text{therms}_{\text{windows}} * \text{sf}_{\text{windows}} + \Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sweep}} * n_{\text{sweep}} + \Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sealing}} \\ &\quad * \text{lf}_{\text{sealing}} + \Delta\text{therms}_{\text{WX}} * \text{lf}_{\text{WX}}) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{RxAirsealing}} * \text{ISR}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{gasket}}$ = Annual therm savings from installation of air sealing gasket on an electric outlet

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{gasket}} / \text{gasket}$ Gas Heat
1 (Rockford)	0.49
2 (Chicago)	0.47
3 (Springfield)	0.41
4 (Belleville)	0.33
5 (Marion)	0.33

n_{gasket} = Number of gaskets installed

$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{windows}}$ = Annual therm savings from installation of Shrink-Fit Window Kit:¹⁰⁷²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{windows}} / \text{sf}$ Gas Heat
1 (Rockford)	0.191
2 (Chicago)	0.183
3 (Springfield)	0.156
4 (Belleville)	0.121
5 (Marion)	0.123

$\text{sf}_{\text{windows}}$ = square footage of shrink-fit window film

$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sweep}}$ = Annual therm savings from installation of door sweep

¹⁰⁷¹ Prescriptive savings are based upon "Evaluation of the Weatherization Residential Assistance Partnership and Helps Programs (WRAP/Helps)." Middletown, CT: KEMA, 2010. Accessed July 30, 2015, and adjusted for relative HDD of Bridgeport/Hartford CT with the IL climate zones. See 'Rx Airsealing HDD adjustment.xls' for more information.

¹⁰⁷² Prescriptive savings are based upon "Cost Benefit Analysis for 2018, Annual Report submitted to Virginia Natural Gas, Inc., submitted by Nexant." July 31, 2018. Adjusted for relative HDD of Virginia Beach VA with the IL climate zones. See "Window Film Savings Calculation.xlsx" for more information.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sweep}} / \text{sweep}$ Gas Heat
1 (Rockford)	9.46
2 (Chicago)	9.13
3 (Springfield)	7.92
4 (Belleville)	6.31
5 (Marion)	6.45

n_{sweep} = Number of sweeps installed

$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sealing}}$ = Annual therm savings from foot of caulking, sealing, or polyethylene tape

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sealing}} / \text{ft}$ Gas Heat
1 (Rockford)	0.54
2 (Chicago)	0.52
3 (Springfield)	0.45
4 (Belleville)	0.36
5 (Marion)	0.37

l_{sealing} = linear feet of caulking, sealing, or polyethylene tape

$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{WX}}$ = Annual therm savings from window weatherstripping or door weatherstripping

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{therms}_{\text{sx}} / \text{ft}$ Gas Heat
1 (Rockford)	0.63
2 (Chicago)	0.61
3 (Springfield)	0.53
4 (Belleville)	0.42
5 (Marion)	0.43

l_{WX} = Linear feet of window weatherstripping or door weatherstripping

$\text{ADJ}_{\text{RxAirsealing}}$ = Adjustment for air sealing savings to account for prescriptive estimates overclaiming savings¹⁰⁷³
= 80%

ISR = In service rate of weatherization kits dependent on install method as listed in table below

Selection	ISR
Distributed School Weatherization Kits	0.58 ¹⁰⁷⁴
Other Weatherization Kits	0.87 ¹⁰⁷⁵
Direct Install, Retail	1.0

¹⁰⁷³ Though we do not have a specific evaluation to point to, modeled savings have often been found to overclaim. Further VEIC reviewed these deemed estimates and consider them to likely be a high estimate. As such an 80% adjustment is applied, and this could be further refined with future evaluations.

¹⁰⁷⁴ ILLUME Advising LLC. School-Based Energy Education Programs: Goals, Challenges, and Opportunities. October 2015. See result for AEP Ohio Weather stripping/door sweep/gaskets kit in table on page 17.

¹⁰⁷⁵ For residential showerheads and aerators in the IL-TRM, the ratio of ISRs for opt-in kits to ISRs for distributed school kits vary from 1.9 to 2.4. For weatherization kits, opt-in ISRs are estimate at 1.5 times the distributed school ISR.

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the life time of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹⁰⁷⁶ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AIRS-V09-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

¹⁰⁷⁶ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

5.6.2. Basement Sidewall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to a basement or crawl space. Insulation added above ground in conditioned space is modeled the same as wall insulation. Below ground insulation is adjusted with an approximation of the thermal resistance of the ground. Insulation in unconditioned spaces is modeled by reducing the degree days to reflect the smaller but non-zero contribution to heating and cooling load. Cooling savings only consider above grade insulation, as below grade has little temperature difference during the cooling season.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no basement wall or ceiling insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹⁰⁷⁷

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹⁰⁷⁸ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's

¹⁰⁷⁷ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹⁰⁷⁸ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

capacity market.

CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
	= 68% ¹⁰⁷⁹
CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
	= 72% ¹⁰⁸⁰
CF_{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
	= 46.6% ¹⁰⁸¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} + \Delta kWh_{heatingGas})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= (((1/R_{old_AG} - 1/(R_{added} + R_{old_AG})) * L_{basement_wall_total} * H_{basement_wall_AG} * (1 - Framing_factor) * 24 * CDD * DUA) / (1000 * \eta_{Cool})) * ADJ_{BasementCool} * \%Cool$$

R_{added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

R_{old_AG} = R-value value of foundation wall above grade.

= Actual, if unknown assume 1.0.¹⁰⁸²

$L_{basement_wall_total}$ = Length of basement wall around the entire insulated perimeter (ft)

$H_{basement_wall_AG}$ = Height of insulated basement wall above grade (ft)

$Framing_factor$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing when cavity insulation is used

= 0% if Spray Foam or External Rigid Foam

= 25% if studs and cavity insulation¹⁰⁸³

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

¹⁰⁷⁹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹⁰⁸⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹⁰⁸¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹⁰⁸² ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook, crawl space data from Table 5-5: Initial Effective R-values for Uninsulated Foundation System and Adjacent Soil, 1991.

¹⁰⁸³ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

= Dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:¹⁰⁸⁴

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned CDD 65	Unconditioned CDD 65 ¹⁰⁸⁵
1 (Rockford)	820	263
2 (Chicago)	842	281
3 (Springfield)	1,108	436
4 (Belleville)	1,570	538
5 (Marion)	1,370	570
Weighted Average ¹⁰⁸⁶	947	325

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75¹⁰⁸⁷

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹⁰⁸⁸

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

$ADJ_{BasementCool}$ = Adjustment for cooling savings from basement wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹⁰⁸⁹

= 80%

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	66%

¹⁰⁸⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹⁰⁸⁵ Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp from DegreeDays.net were used in this table because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC used elsewhere are not available at base temps above 72F.

¹⁰⁸⁶ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹⁰⁸⁷ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹⁰⁸⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹⁰⁸⁹ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 80%.

Central Cooling? evaluation only) ¹⁰⁹⁰	%Cool

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingElectric}}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \left[\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{old_AG}}} - \frac{1}{(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old_AG}})} \right) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) \right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{old_BG}}} - \frac{1}{(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old_BG}})} \right) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * (H_{\text{basement_wall_total}} - H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}}) * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) \right) \right] * 24 * \text{HDD} / (3,412 * \eta_{\text{Heat}}) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{BasementHeat}} * \% \text{ElectricHeat}$$

Where

$R_{\text{old_BG}}$ = R-value value of foundation wall below grade (including thermal resistance of the earth)¹⁰⁹¹
 = dependent on depth of foundation ($H_{\text{basement_wall_total}} - H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}}$):
 = Actual R-value of wall plus average earth R-value by depth in table below

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Earth R-value (°F-ft ² -h/Btu)	2.44	4.50	6.30	8.40	10.44	12.66	14.49	17.00	20.00
Average Earth R-value (°F-ft ² -h/Btu)	2.44	3.47	4.41	5.41	6.42	7.46	8.46	9.53	10.69
Total BG R-value (earth + R-1.0 foundation) default	3.44	4.47	5.41	6.41	7.42	8.46	9.46	10.53	11.69

$H_{\text{basement_wall_total}}$ = Total height of basement wall (ft)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:¹⁰⁹²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned HDD 60	Unconditioned HDD 50
1 (Rockford)	5,352	3,322
2 (Chicago)	5,113	3,079
3 (Springfield)	4,379	2,550
4 (Belleville)	3,378	1,789
5 (Marion)	3,438	1,796
Weighted Average ¹⁰⁹³	4,860	2,895

¹⁰⁹⁰ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from “Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009” from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹⁰⁹¹ Adapted from Table 1, page 24.4, of the 1977 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook

¹⁰⁹² National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F for a conditioned basement and 50°F for an unconditioned basement), consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹⁰⁹³ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).
 If not available refer to default table below:¹⁰⁹⁴

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	After 2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁹⁵	N/A	N/A	1.28

$ADJ_{\text{BasementHeat}}$ = Adjustment for basement wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹⁰⁹⁶
 = 60%

$\%ElectricHeat$ = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	$\%ElectricHeat$
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹⁰⁹⁷	13%

¹⁰⁹⁴ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹⁰⁹⁵ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

¹⁰⁹⁶ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 60%.

¹⁰⁹⁷ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= (\Delta\text{kWh_cooling} + \Delta\text{kWh_heating}) \\ &= [((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 281 * 0.75)/(1000 * 10.5)) * 0.8 * 100\%] + [((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1 / (2.25 + 6.42)) - 1 / (13 + 2.25 + 6.42)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1-0))) * 24 * 3079) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.6 * 100\%] \\ &= (39.4 + 860.9) \\ &= 900.3 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh_heatingGas} &= \text{If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time} \\ &= \Delta\text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F_e &= \text{Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption} \\ &= 3.14\%^{1098}\end{aligned}$$

$$29.3 = \text{kWh per therm}$$

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 70% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section :

$$\begin{aligned}&= 78.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 72.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND

$$\Delta\text{kW} = (\Delta\text{kWh_cooling} / \text{FLH_cooling}) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{FLH_cooling} &= \text{Full load hours of air conditioning} \\ &= \text{dependent on location:}^{1099}\end{aligned}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ¹¹⁰⁰	629	564

¹⁰⁹⁸ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

¹⁰⁹⁹ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁰⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

	Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily
CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour) = 68% ¹¹⁰¹
CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour) = 72% ¹¹⁰²
CF_{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period) = 46.6% ¹¹⁰³

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 39.4 / 570 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.047 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 39.4 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.032 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/R_{\text{old_AG}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old_AG}})) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor})) + ((1/R_{\text{old_BG}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old_BG}})) * L_{\text{basement_wall_total}} * (H_{\text{basement_wall_total}} - H_{\text{basement_wall_AG}}) * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}))) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{BasementHeat}} * \% \text{GasHeat}$$

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume 72% for existing system efficiency¹¹⁰⁴

$\% \text{GasHeat}$ = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	$\% \text{GasHeat}$
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁰⁵	87%

Other factors as defined above

¹¹⁰¹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹¹⁰² Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹⁰³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹⁰⁴ Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

¹¹⁰⁵ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 72% efficient furnace:

$$= (((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1/8.67 - 1/(13 + 8.67)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1 - 0))) * 24 * 3079) / (0.72 * 100,000)) * 0.60$$

$$= 78.3 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
ηCool	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
ηHeat	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹⁰⁶ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-BINS-V11-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2025

¹¹⁰⁶ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

5.6.3. Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to the floor above a vented crawl space that does not contain pipes or HVAC equipment. If there are pipes, HVAC, or a basement, it is desirable to keep them within the conditioned space by insulating the crawl space walls and ground. Insulating the floor separates the conditioned space above from the space below the floor, and is only acceptable when there is nothing underneath that could freeze or would operate less efficiently in an environment resembling the outdoors. Even in the case of an empty, unvented crawl space, it is still considered best practice to seal and insulate the crawl space perimeter rather than the floor. Not only is there generally less area to insulate this way, but there are also moisture control benefits. There is a “Basement Insulation” measure for perimeter sealing and insulation. This measure assumes the insulation is installed above an unvented crawl space and should not be used in other situations.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no insulation on any surface surrounding a crawl space.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹¹⁰⁷

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹⁰⁸ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate

¹¹⁰⁷ As recommended in Navigant ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018.

¹¹⁰⁸ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)
	= 68% ¹¹⁰⁹
CF_{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
	= 72% ¹¹¹⁰
CF_{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
	= 46.6% ¹¹¹¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} + \Delta kWh_{heatingGas})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$	= If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation
	= $\frac{(((1/R_{old} - 1/(R_{added} + R_{old})) * Area * (1 - Framing_factor)) * 24 * CDD * DUA) / (1000 * \eta_{Cool}))}{ADJ_{FloorCool} * \%Cool}$
R_{old}	= R-value value of floor before insulation, assuming 3/4" plywood subfloor and carpet with pad
	= Actual. If unknown assume 3.53 ¹¹¹²
R_{added}	= R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.
Area	= Total floor area to be insulated
Framing_factor	= Adjustment to account for area of framing
	= 12% ¹¹¹³
24	= Converts hours to days
CDD	= Cooling Degree Days

¹¹⁰⁹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹¹¹⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹¹¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹¹² Based on 2005 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals: assuming ¾" subfloor, ½" carpet with rubber pad, and accounting for a still air film above and below: 0.68 + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68 = 3.53

¹¹¹³ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned CDD ¹¹¹⁴
1 (Rockford)	263
2 (Chicago)	281
3 (Springfield)	436
4 (Belleville)	538
5 (Marion)	570
Weighted Average ¹¹¹⁵	325

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75¹¹¹⁶

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹¹¹⁷

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

$ADJ_{FloorCool}$ = Adjustment for cooling savings from floor to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹¹¹⁸

= 80%

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹¹⁹	66%

¹¹¹⁴ Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp from DegreeDays.net were used in this table because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC used elsewhere are not available at base temps above 72F.

¹¹¹⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹¹⁶ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹¹¹⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹¹¹⁸ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 80%.

¹¹¹⁹ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region,

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingElectric}}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= (((1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old}})) * \text{Area} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (3,412 * \eta_{\text{Heat}})) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{FloorHeat}} * \% \text{ElectricHeat}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days:¹¹²⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned HDD
1 (Rockford)	3,322
2 (Chicago)	3,079
3 (Springfield)	2,550
4 (Belleville)	1,789
5 (Marion)	1,796
Weighted Average ¹¹²¹	2,895

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:¹¹²²

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹²³	N/A	N/A	1.28

$\text{ADJ}_{\text{FloorHeat}}$ = Adjustment for floor insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹¹²⁴

= 60%

Divisions, and States, 2009” from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹²⁰ National Climatic Data Center, Heating Degree Days with a base temp of 50°F to account for lower impact of unconditioned space on heating system. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹²¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹²² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹¹²³ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”, using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

¹¹²⁴ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo “Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis”, dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 60%.

%ElectricHeat = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹²⁵	13%

Other factors as defined above.

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\
 &= (((1/3.53 - 1/(30+3.53)) * (20*25) * (1-0.12) * 24 * 281 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) * 0.8 * 1 + \\
 &\quad (((1/3.53 - 1/(30+3.53)) * (20*25) * (1-0.15) * 24 * 3079) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.6 * 1) \\
 &= (42.9 + 729.1) \\
 &= 772 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$ = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time
 $= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 $= 3.14\%^{1126}$

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 70% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= 68.7 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\
 &= 63.2 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:¹¹²⁷

¹¹²⁵ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹²⁶ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

¹¹²⁷ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH. There is a county mapping table Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ¹¹²⁸	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF _{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour) = 68% ¹¹²⁹
CF _{SSP}	= Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour) = 72% ¹¹³⁰
CF _{PJM}	= PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period) = 46.6% ¹¹³¹

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 42.9 / 570 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.051 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 42.9 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.035 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/(R_{\text{added}} + R_{\text{old}})) * \text{Area} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor}) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / ((100,000 * \eta_{\text{Heat}}) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{FloorHeat}} * \% \text{GasHeat})$$

Where

η _{Heat}	= Efficiency of heating system
	= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
	= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume 72% for existing system efficiency. ¹¹³²

¹¹²⁸ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹²⁹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹¹³⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹³¹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹³² Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

%GasHeat = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹³³	87%

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Chicago with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 72% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1 / 3.53 - 1 / (30 + 3.53)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.12) * 24 * 3079) / (100,000 * 0.72) * 0.60 * 1$$

$$= 68.7 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹³⁴ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

¹¹³³ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹³⁴ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-FINS-V12-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2023

5.6.4. Wall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to wall cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹¹³⁵

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹³⁶ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 68\%^{1137} \end{aligned}$$

¹¹³⁵ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹¹³⁶ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

¹¹³⁷ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)

= 72%¹¹³⁸

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)

= 46.6%¹¹³⁹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} + \Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to wall insulation

= $\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}} \right) * A_{wall} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_wall}) \right) * 24 * CDD * DUA \right) / (1000 * \eta_{Cool}) * ADJ_{WallCool} * \%Cool$

R_{wall} = R-value of new wall assembly (including all layers between inside air and outside air).

R_{old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation.

(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)¹¹⁴⁰

A_{wall} = Net area of insulated wall (ft²)

$\text{Framing_factor_wall}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 25%¹¹⁴¹

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= dependent on location:¹¹⁴²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
1 (Rockford)	820
2 (Chicago)	842
3 (Springfield)	1,108
4 (Belleville)	1,570

¹¹³⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹³⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹⁴⁰ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

¹¹⁴¹ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

¹¹⁴² National Climatic Data Center, Cooling Degree Days are based on a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
5 (Marion)	1,370
Weighted Average ¹¹⁴³	947

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

$$= 0.75^{1144}$$

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹¹⁴⁵

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

$ADJ_{WallCool}$ = Adjustment for cooling savings from wall insulation to account for inaccuracies in prescriptive engineering algorithms¹¹⁴⁶

$$= 80\%$$

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁴⁷	66%

kWh_heatingElectric = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to wall insulation

$$= (((1/R_{old} - 1/R_{wall}) * A_{wall} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_wall}) * 24 * HDD) / (\eta_{Heat} * 1000))$$

¹¹⁴³ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁴⁴ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹¹⁴⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹¹⁴⁶ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 80%.

¹¹⁴⁷ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

$$3412)) * ADJ_{WallHeat} * \%ElectricHeat$$

HDD

= Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹¹⁴⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ¹¹⁴⁹	4,860

 η_{Heat}

= Efficiency of heating system

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If not available refer to default table below:¹¹⁵⁰

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁵¹	N/A	N/A	1.28

3412

= Converts Btu to kWh

 $ADJ_{WallHeat}$

= Adjustment for heating savings to account for inaccuracies in prescriptive engineering algorithms.¹¹⁵²

= 60%

¹¹⁴⁸ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁴⁹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁵⁰ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹¹⁵¹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

¹¹⁵² As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 60%.

%ElectricHeat = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁵³	13%

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1-0.25)) * 842 * 0.75 * 24) / (1000 * 10.5)) * 80\% * 100\%) + \\ &\quad (((((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1-0.25)) * 5113 * 24) / (1.92 * 3412)) * 60\% * 100\%) \\ &= 93.5 + 910 \\ &= 1,004 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{1154}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11 with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{heatingGas} &= 90.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 83.1 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location as below:¹¹⁵⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506

¹¹⁵³ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹⁵⁴ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

¹¹⁵⁵ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ¹¹⁵⁶	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
= 68%¹¹⁵⁷

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
72%¹¹⁵⁸

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
= 46.6%¹¹⁵⁹

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 93.5 / 570 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.11 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 93.5 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.08 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/R_{\text{wall}}) * A_{\text{wall}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_wall}) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000 \text{ Btu/therm})) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{WallHeat}} * \% \text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days
= Dependent on location:¹¹⁶⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352

¹¹⁵⁶ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁵⁷ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹¹⁵⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹⁵⁹ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹⁶⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ¹¹⁶¹	4,860

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).¹¹⁶² If unknown assume 72% for existing system efficiency.¹¹⁶³
 $\%_{\text{GasHeat}}$ = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	$\%_{\text{GasHeat}}$
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁶⁴	87%

Other factors as defined above.

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-11, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66%:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/5 - 1/11) * 990 * (1 - 0.25)) * 24 * 5113) / (0.66 * 100,000) * 60\% * 100\%$$

$$= 90.4 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace	76.5% AFUE

¹¹⁶¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁶² Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (see 'BPI Distribution Efficiency Table') or by performing duct blaster testing.

¹¹⁶³ Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

¹¹⁶⁴ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
	90% AFUE * 0.85	
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹⁶⁵ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V10-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹¹⁶⁵ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

5.6.5. Ceiling/Attic Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to attic. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be little or no attic insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹¹⁶⁶

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹¹⁶⁷ See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 68\%^{1168} \end{aligned}$$

¹¹⁶⁶ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹¹⁶⁷ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

¹¹⁶⁸ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
= 72%¹¹⁶⁹

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 46.6%¹¹⁷⁰

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heatingElectric}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heatingGas}})$$

Where

$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to ceiling/attic insulation
= $\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{old}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{attic}}} \right) * A_{\text{attic}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_attic}) \right) * 24 * \text{CDD} * \text{DUA} \right) / (1000 * \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{AtticCool}} * \text{IE}_{\text{NetCorrection}} * \%_{\text{Cool}}$

R_{attic} = R-value of new attic assembly (including all layers between inside air and outside air).

R_{old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation.

(Minimum of R-3 for uninsulated assemblies)¹¹⁷¹

A_{attic} = Total area of insulated ceiling/attic (ft²)

$\text{Framing_factor_attic}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 7%¹¹⁷²

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= dependent on location:¹¹⁷³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
1 (Rockford)	820
2 (Chicago)	842
3 (Springfield)	1,108
4 (Belleville)	1,570
5 (Marion)	1,370

¹¹⁶⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹⁷⁰ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹⁷¹ Component estimate of airfilm above and below, sheathing and sheet rock, (0.68+0.5+0.45+0.68 = 2.3) is rounded up to R-3.

¹¹⁷² Ibid.

¹¹⁷³ National Climatic Data Center, Cooling Degree Days are based on a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Weighted Average ¹¹⁷⁴	947

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75 ¹¹⁷⁵

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹¹⁷⁶

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

ADJ_{AtticCool} = Adjustment for cooling savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹¹⁷⁷

= 121%

IE_{NetCorrection} = 100% if not income eligible or attic insulation is installed without air sealing
= 110% if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using ADJ_{AtticCool} of 121%¹¹⁷⁸

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

¹¹⁷⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁷⁵ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹¹⁷⁶ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹¹⁷⁷ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, see Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company. Adjustment factor was derived from a consumption data regression analysis with an experimental design that does not require further net savings adjustment for non-income eligible populations.

¹¹⁷⁸ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁷⁹	66%

kWh_heatingElectric = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to attic insulation

$$= (((1/R_{old} - 1/R_{attic}) * A_{attic} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_attic})) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 3412)) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{AtticElectricHeat}} * \% \text{ElectricHeat}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹¹⁸⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ¹¹⁸¹	4,860

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If not available refer to default table below:¹¹⁸²

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate)= (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁸³	N/A	N/A	1.28

¹¹⁷⁹ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹⁸⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁸¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁸² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹¹⁸³ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration,

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

$ADJ_{AtticElectricHeat}$ = Adjustment for electric heating savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹¹⁸⁴

= 60%

$\%ElectricHeat$ = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	$\%ElectricHeat$
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹¹⁸⁵	13%

For example: energy savings from ceiling/attic insulation. Energy savings for air sealing are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.1: Air Sealing.

Assume a non-income eligible single family home in Chicago installs 700 ft² of attic insulation, completes air sealing, has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump, and has pre and post attic insulation R-values of R-5 and R-38, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\
 &= (((((1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1-0.07)) * 842 * 0.75 * 24) / (1000 * 10.5)) * 121\% * 100\% * 100\%) + \\
 &\quad (((((1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1-0.07)) * 5113 * 24) / (1.92 * 3412)) * 60\% * 100\%) \\
 &= 197 + 1,271 \\
 &= 1,468 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$ = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3 * ADJ_{AtticHeatFan} * IE_{NetCorrection}$$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%¹¹⁸⁶

29.3 = kWh per therm

$ADJ_{AtticHeatFan}$ = Adjustment for fan savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹¹⁸⁷

2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

¹¹⁸⁴ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company.

¹¹⁸⁵ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹¹⁸⁶ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

¹¹⁸⁷ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, see Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company. Adjustment factor was derived from a consumption data regression analysis with an experimental design that does not require further net savings adjustment for non-income eligible populations.

= 107%

$IE_{NetCorrection}$ = 100% if not income eligible or attic insulation is installed without air sealing
 = 110% if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using $ADJ_{AtticHeatFan}$ of 107%¹¹⁸⁸

For example: energy savings from ceiling/attic insulation. Energy savings for air sealing are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.1: Air Sealing.

Assume a non-income eligible single family home in Chicago installs 700 ft² of attic insulation, completes air sealing, has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section), and has pre and post attic insulation R-values of R-5 and R-38, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= 147 * 0.0314 * 29.3 * 107\% * 100\% \\ &= 145 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location as below:¹¹⁸⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ¹¹⁹⁰	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 68%¹¹⁹¹

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)

¹¹⁸⁸ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

¹¹⁸⁹ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁹⁰ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁹¹ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

$$72\%^{1192}$$

$$CF_{PJM} = \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)}$$

$$= 46.6\%^{1193}$$

For example: energy savings from ceiling/attic insulation. Energy savings for air sealing are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.1: Air Sealing.

Assume a non-income eligible single family home in Chicago installs 700 ft² of attic insulation, has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump, and has pre and post attic insulation R-values of R-5 and R-38, respectively:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 197 / 570 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.24 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 168 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.16 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/R_{\text{old}} - 1/R_{\text{attic}}) * A_{\text{attic}} * (1 - \text{Framing_factor_attic})) * 24 * \text{HDD}) / (\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000 \text{ Btu/therm}) * \text{ADJ}_{\text{AtticGasHeat}} * \text{IE}_{\text{NetCorrection}} * \% \text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

$$\text{HDD} = \text{Heating Degree Days}$$

$$= \text{Dependent on location:}^{1194}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
1 (Rockford)	5,352
2 (Chicago)	5,113
3 (Springfield)	4,379
4 (Belleville)	3,378
5 (Marion)	3,438
Weighted Average ¹¹⁹⁵	4,860

$$\eta_{\text{Heat}} = \text{Efficiency of heating system}$$

$$= \text{Equipment efficiency} * \text{distribution efficiency}$$

$$= \text{Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).}^{1196} \text{ If not}$$

¹¹⁹² Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹¹⁹³ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹¹⁹⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹¹⁹⁵ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹¹⁹⁶ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (see 'BPI Distribution Efficiency Table') or by performing duct blaster testing.

available, use 72% for existing system efficiency.¹¹⁹⁷

$ADJ_{AtticGasHeat}$ = Adjustment for gas heating savings to account for inaccuracies in engineering algorithms¹¹⁹⁸

= 72%

$IE_{NetCorrection}$ = 100% if not income eligible or attic insulation is installed without air sealing
 = 110% if installing air sealing and attic insulation in income eligible projects with a deemed NTG value of 1.0 to offset net savings adjustment inherent when using $ADJ_{AtticGasHeat}$ of 72%¹¹⁹⁹

$\%GasHeat$ = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	$\%GasHeat$
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹²⁰⁰	87%

Other factors as defined above.

For example: energy savings from ceiling/attic insulation. Energy savings for air sealing are included in a separate example in Section 5.6.1: Air Sealing.

Assume a non-income eligible single family home in Chicago installs 700 ft² of attic insulation, has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66%, and has pre and post attic insulation R-values of R-5 and R-38, respectively:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/5 - 1/38) * 700 * (1 - 0.07)) * 24 * 5113) / (0.66 * 100,000) * 72\% * 100\% * 100\% \\ = 151 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump	2.04 COP

¹¹⁹⁷ Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

¹¹⁹⁸ As demonstrated in air sealing and insulation research by Navigant, Navigant (2018). *ComEd and Nicor Gas Air Sealing and Insulation Research Report*. Presented to Commonwealth Edison Company and Nicor Gas Company. Adjustment factor was derived from a consumption data regression analysis with an experimental design that does not require further net savings adjustment for non-income eligible populations.

¹¹⁹⁹ The additional value of 10% was selected to acknowledge that some portion of the regression-derived adjustment factors accounts for gross impact effects, and that removing net effects embedded in the adjustment factors would increase savings to some degree. A review of historical NTG values for air sealing and insulation measures in non-income eligible populations did not provide definitive guidance for estimating the net component of the adjustment factors. Historically, free ridership has ranged from 9% to 26% for like measures, and spillover has ranged from 1% to 14%, while NTGs have ranged from 0.75 to 1.05. The midpoint of the NTG range would be 0.90, a 10% reduction from 1.0.

¹²⁰⁰ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
	$(8.2\text{HSPF}/3.413) * 0.85$	
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹²⁰¹ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AINS-V03-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

¹²⁰¹ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

5.6.6. Rim/Band Joist Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (either rigid or spray foam) to rim/band joist cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure requires a member of the implementation staff or a participating contractor to evaluate the pre and post R-values and measure surface areas. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities and little or no attic insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹²⁰²

Note a mid-life adjustment to account for replacement of HVAC equipment during the measure life should be applied after 10 years or 13 years for boilers¹²⁰³. See section below for detail.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the *average* savings over the defined summer peak period, and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 68\%^{1204} \end{aligned}$$

¹²⁰² As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

¹²⁰³ This is intentionally longer than the assumptions found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes that will not be targeted for early replacement HVAC systems.

¹²⁰⁴ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
= 72%¹²⁰⁵

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)
= 46.6%¹²⁰⁶

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heatingElectric}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heatingGas}})$$

Where

$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{Old}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{Rim}}} \right) * A_{\text{Rim}} * (1 - \text{FramingFactor}_{\text{Rim}}) * \text{CDD} * 24 * \text{DUA} * \text{ADJ}_{\text{BasementCool} * \% \text{Cool}}}{(1000 * \eta_{\text{Cool}})}$$

R_{Rim} = R-value of new rim/band joist assembly (including all layers between inside air and outside air).

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation.
(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)¹²⁰⁷

A_{Rim} = Net area of insulated rim/band joist (ft²)

FramingFactor_{Rim} = Adjustment to account for area of framing
= 5%¹²⁰⁸

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
= dependent on location:¹²⁰⁹

¹²⁰⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹²⁰⁶ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹²⁰⁷ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

¹²⁰⁸ Assumes the average framing factor for joists running from front-to-back (0.094) and from side-to-side (0). The front-to-back FF was calculated based on 1.5" joists for every 16" (1.5"/16" = 0.094). The side-to-side FF is 0 since joists are continuous and uninterrupted.

¹²⁰⁹ National Climatic Data Center, Cooling Degree Days are based on a base temp of 65°F. There is a county mapping table Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned CDD 65	Unconditioned CDD 75 ¹²¹⁰
1 (Rockford)	820	263
2 (Chicago)	842	281
3 (Springfield)	1,108	436
4 (Belleville)	1,570	538
5 (Marion)	1,370	570
Weighted Average ¹²¹¹	947	325

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

= 0.75¹²¹²

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:¹²¹³

Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Before 2006	10
2006 - 2014	13
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only)	10.5

$ADJ_{BasementCool}$ = Adjustment for cooling savings from basement wall and rim/band joist insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹²¹⁴

= 80%

%Cool = Percent of homes that have cooling

Central Cooling?	%Cool
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹²¹⁵	66%

¹²¹⁰ Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp from DegreeDays.net were used in this table because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC used elsewhere are not available at base temps above 72F.

¹²¹¹ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹²¹² This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

¹²¹³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

¹²¹⁴ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 80%.

¹²¹⁵ Percentage of homes in Illinois that have central cooling from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

kWh_heatingElectric = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{BasementHeat} * \%ElectricHeat}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:¹²¹⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned HDD 60	Unconditioned HDD 50
1 (Rockford)	5,352	3,322
2 (Chicago)	5,113	3,079
3 (Springfield)	4,379	2,550
4 (Belleville)	3,378	1,789
5 (Marion)	3,438	1,796
Weighted Average ¹²¹⁷	4,860	2,895

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).
If not available, refer to default table below:¹²¹⁸

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate)= (HSPF/3.413)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown (for use in program evaluation only) ¹²¹⁹	N/A	N/A	1.28

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

¹²¹⁶ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F for a conditioned basement and 50°F for an unconditioned basement, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹²¹⁷ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹²¹⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹²¹⁹ Calculation assumes 35% Heat Pump and 65% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls", using average for East North Central Region. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% from 2006-2014. Program or evaluation data should be used to improve this assumption if available.

$ADJ_{\text{BasementHeat}}$ = Adjustment for basement wall and rim/band joist insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings¹²²⁰
 = 60%

$\%ElectricHeat$ = Percent of homes that have electric space heating

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹²²¹	13%

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 100 ft² of uninsulated rim joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}}) \\
 &= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.05) * 281 * 24 * 0.75 * 1) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.05) * 3079 * 24 * 0.60 * 1) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\
 &= 5.6 + 79.1 \\
 &= 84.7 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heatingGas}}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time
 = $\Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%¹²²²

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 100 ft² of uninsulated rim joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= 7.85 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\
 &= 7.2 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} / FLH_{\text{cooling}}) * CF$$

Where:

¹²²⁰ As demonstrated in two years of metering evaluation by Opinion Dynamics, see Memo "Results for AIC PY6 HPwES Billing Analysis", dated February 20, 2015. TAC negotiated adjustment factor is 60%.

¹²²¹ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹²²² F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location as below:¹²²³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily
1 (Rockford)	512	467
2 (Chicago)	570	506
3 (Springfield)	730	663
4 (Belleville)	1,035	940
5 (Marion)	903	820
Weighted Average ¹²²⁴	629	564

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during system peak hour)
 = 68%¹²²⁵

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)
 72%¹²²⁶

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during peak period)
 = 46.6%¹²²⁷

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 100 ft² of uninsulated rim joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\Delta kW_{SSP} = 5.6 / 570 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.0067 \text{ kW}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = 5.6 / 570 * 0.466$$

$$= 0.0046 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}} \right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{BasementHeat} * \%GasHeat}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

¹²²³ Based on Full Load Hours from ENERGY STAR with adjustments made in a Navigant Evaluation, other cities were scaled using those results and CDD. There is a county mapping table in Volume 1, Section 3.7 providing the appropriate city to use for each county of Illinois.

¹²²⁴ Weighted based on number of occupied residential housing units in each zone.

¹²²⁵ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹²²⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹²²⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate).¹²²⁸ If not available, use 72% for existing system efficiency.¹²²⁹

%GasHeat = Percent of homes that have gas space heating

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel (for use in program evaluation only) ¹²³⁰	87%

Other factors as defined above.

For example, a single family home in Chicago with 100 ft² of uninsulated rim joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 66%:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1 - 0.05) * 3079 * 24 * 0.60 * 1) / (0.66 * 100,000)$$

$$= 7.85 \text{ therms}$$

Mid-Life adjustment

In order to account for the likely replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment during the lifetime of this measure, a mid-life adjustment should be applied. To calculate the adjustment, re-calculate the savings above using the following new baseline system efficiency assumptions:

Efficiency Assumption	System Type	New Baseline Efficiency
η_{Cool}	Central AC	13 SEER
	Heat Pump	14 SEER
η_{Heat}	Electric Resistance	1.0 COP
	Heat Pump (8.2HSPF/3.413)*0.85	2.04 COP
	Furnace 90% AFUE * 0.85	76.5% AFUE
	Boiler	82% AFUE

This reduced annual savings should be applied following the assumed remaining useful life of the existing equipment, estimate to be 10 years or 13 years for boilers.¹²³¹ Note if the existing equipment efficiency is greater than the new baseline efficiency listed above, do not apply a mid-life adjustment.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

¹²²⁸ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (see 'BPI Distribution Efficiency Table') or by performing duct blaster testing.

¹²²⁹ Based on average Nicor PY4 nameplate efficiencies derated by 15% for distribution losses.

¹²³⁰ Based on Illinois data from "Table HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009" from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey

¹²³¹ This is intentionally longer than the assumption found in the early replacement measures as the application of this measure will occur in a variety of homes and will not be targeting those homes appropriate for early replacement HVAC systems.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-RINS-V03-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.6.7. Low-E Storm Window

DESCRIPTION

Emissivity is a measure of thermal radiation emitted by an object's surface. Emissivity values range from 0 to 1 with 1 being the emissivity of a black body. Low emissivity (low-e) storm window inserts reduce the rate of thermal radiation of the window assembly through the interaction of multiple properties. The low-e surface of the insert means that the window will transfer heat at a reduced rate. The newly created air gap between the window and the insert combined with the low emissivity of the insert improves thermal performance of the window assembly. The inserts include weather-stripping as a means of sealing the connection which reduces air infiltration. This measure offers benefits during both heating and cooling seasons, for both natural gas and electricity. In addition to energy benefits, this measure offers non-energy benefits including increased comfort and noise reduction.

The calculation of savings presented in this section apply to single and multifamily residential applications with no portable window air conditioners. Small commercial applications with operating characteristics similar to a residential profile are also eligible for the savings presented here.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is a window insert installed over either the interior or exterior of the baseline window. The insert must be ENERGY STAR certified and meet the ENERGY STAR storm windows key product criteria.

ENERGY STAR key product criteria for storm windows¹²³²

Climate Zone	Emissivity	Solar Transmission
1 - Rockford	≤ 0.22	> 0.55
2 - Chicago		
3 - Springfield		
4 - Belleville		Any
5 – Marion		

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an existing single-pane or double-pane window with clear glass and any frame type: metal, vinyl, or wood.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 20 years.¹²³³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$7.85 per square foot material cost. Applications using professional window installers should include an additional \$30 per window installation cost.¹²³⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R08 - Residential Cooling

¹²³² ENERGY STAR Storm Windows Key Product Criteria, accessed February 2020.

¹²³³ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy, "Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01-5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones," September 2013: page 5.

¹²³⁴ Ibid.

Loadshape R09 - Residential Electric Space Heat

Loadshape R10 - Residential Electric Heating and Cooling

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for cooling is provided in two different ways below. The first is used to estimate peak savings during the utility peak hour and is most indicative of actual peak benefits, and the second represents the average savings over the defined summer peak period and is presented so that savings can be bid into PJM's capacity market.

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)} \\ &= 68\%^{1235} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{SSP} &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)} \\ &= 72\%^{1236} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CF_{PJM} &= \text{PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)} \\ &= 46.6\%^{1237} \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} + \Delta kWh_{heatingGas}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = CS_{cz} * Area_{window}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heatingElectric} = EHS_{cz} * Area_{window}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heatingGas} = \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

$$CS_{cz} = \text{Annual cooling savings per area of window by climate zone, see table below.}$$

Cooling savings per window area by climate zone and baseline window condition¹²³⁸

¹²³⁵ Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹²³⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹²³⁷ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹²³⁸ Based on savings modeled by EPA, "ES Storm Windows RESFEN Data and Calculations.xlsx", April 2017. Whole House Cooling energy values from the "Raw Data-Exterior Storm Windows" and "Raw Data-Interior Storm Windows," Climate Zone 5, Location IL Chicago, wood frame, single pane, exterior low-E (0.148 panel) and interior low-E (0.148 panel) were used to calculate savings. EPA only reported single pane modeling results. In order to estimate impacts for double pane windows, ratios of double pane to single pane cooling energy was applied as reported by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy, "Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01-5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones," September 2013. Values from Appendix C, table C.8 for Chicago, Illinois were used to calculate the ratio of double pane to single pane cooling energy. See "Low E Window Workpaper Supporting Calculations.xlsx" for reference. The was data modified for different heating zones of Illinois.

Climate Zone	Single Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)	Double Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)
1 - Rockford	0.46	0.33
2 - Chicago	0.47	0.34
3 - Springfield	0.62	0.45
4 - Belleville	0.88	0.64
5 - Marion	0.77	0.56

EHS_{cz} = Annual electric heating savings per area of window by climate zone, see table below. **Error! Reference source not found..**

Heating savings per window area by climate zone, heating type, and baseline window condition¹²³⁹

Climate Zone	Electric Resistance Heat		Electric Heat Pump	
	Single Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)	Double Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)	Single Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)	Double Pane Base Window (kWh/ft ²)
1 - Rockford	16.84	1.90	9.31	1.05
2 - Chicago	16.09	1.81	8.89	1.00
3 - Springfield	13.78	1.55	7.61	0.86
4 - Belleville	10.63	1.20	5.87	0.66
5 - Marion	10.82	1.22	5.98	0.67

$Area_{window}$ = Total area of installed window inserts. Use site specific value.

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm savings from gas heating as calculated below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption, 3.14%¹²⁴⁰

29.3 = Conversion factor, kWh per therm

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left(\frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} \right) * CF$$

¹²³⁹ Based on savings modeled by EPA, "ES Storm Windows RESFEN Data and Calculations.xlsx", April 2017. Whole House Heating energy values from the "Raw Data-Exterior Storm Windows" and "Raw Data-Interior Storm Windows," Climate Zone 5, Location IL Chicago, wood frame, single pane, exterior low-E (0.148 panel) and interior low-E (0.148 panel) were used to calculate savings. EPA only reported single pane modeling results. In order to estimate impacts for double pane windows, ratios of double pane to single pane cooling energy was applied as reported by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy, "Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01-5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones," September 2013. Values from Appendix C, table C.8 for Chicago, Illinois were used to calculate the ratio of double pane to single pane heating energy. See "Low E Window Workpaper Supporting Calculations.xlsx" for reference. To convert from "Furnace" savings to electric, it is assumed a furnace efficiency of 72%, electric resistance of 100% and heat pump of 1.81 (average of pre-2006 and 2006-2014 federal standard).

¹²⁴⁰ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning based on climate zone.

= Dependent on location:¹²⁴¹

Climate Zone	Single Family	Multifamily
1 - Rockford	512	467
2 - Chicago	570	506
3 - Springfield	730	663
4 - Belleville	1,035	940
5 - Marion	903	820

Use Multifamily if: Building has shared HVAC or meets utility's definition for multifamily

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (during utility peak hour)

= 68%¹²⁴²

CF_{SSP} = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Heat Pumps (during system peak hour)

= 72%¹²⁴³

CF_{PJM} = PJM Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for Central A/C (average during PJM peak period)

= 46.6%¹²⁴⁴

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = GHS_{cz} * Area_{window}$$

Where:

GHS_{cz} = Annual gas heating savings per area of window by climate zone, see table below
Error! Reference source not found..

Heating savings per window area by climate zone and baseline window condition¹²⁴⁵

Climate Zone	Single Pane Base Window (therms/ft ²)	Double Pane Base Window (therms/ft ²)
1 - Rockford	0.80	0.09
2 - Chicago	0.76	0.09
3 - Springfield	0.65	0.07
4 - Belleville	0.50	0.06

¹²⁴¹ Full load hours for Chicago, Moline and Rockford are provided in "Final Evaluation Report: Central Air Conditioning Efficiency Services (CACES), 2010, Navigant Consulting", p.33. An average FLH/Cooling Degree Day (from NCDC) ratio was calculated for these locations and applied to the CDD of the other locations in order to estimate FLH.

¹²⁴² Based on metering of 24 homes with central AC during PY4 and PY5 in Ameren Illinois service territory.

¹²⁴³ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5 coincident with AIC's 2010 system peak; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

¹²⁴⁴ Based on analysis of Itron eShape data for Missouri, calibrated to Illinois loads, supplied by Ameren. The average AC load over the PJM peak period (1-5pm, M-F, June through August) is divided by the maximum AC load during the year.

¹²⁴⁵ Based on savings modeled by EPA, "ES Storm Windows RESFEN Data and Calculations.xlsx", April 2017. Whole House Heating energy values from the "Raw Data-Exterior Storm Windows" and "Raw Data-Interior Storm Windows," Climate Zone 5, Location IL Chicago, wood frame, single pane, exterior low-E (0.148 panel) and interior low-E (0.148 panel) were used to calculate savings. EPA only reported single pane modeling results. In order to estimate impacts for double pane windows, ratios of double pane to single pane cooling energy was applied as reported by the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy, "Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01-5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones," September 2013. Values from Appendix C, table C.8 for Chicago, Illinois were used to calculate the ratio of double pane to single pane heating energy. See "Low E Window Workpaper Supporting Calculations.xlsx" for reference.

Climate Zone	Single Pane Base Window (therms/ft ²)	Double Pane Base Window (therms/ft ²)
5 - Marion	0.51	0.06

$Area_{window}$ = Total area of installed window inserts. Use site specific value.

For example, a single family gas heated residence in Rockford installs 10 window inserts over single pane windows. Each window is 12 square feet for a total window area of 120 square feet.

$$\Delta Therms = 0.80 * 120 = 95.81 \text{ therms}$$

$$\Delta kWh = 0.46 * 120 + 95.81 * 0.0314 * 29.3 = 143.37 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kW_{PJM} = \left(\frac{143.37}{512} \right) * 0.466 = 0.13 \text{ kW}$$

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-LESW-V01-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2024

5.7 Miscellaneous

5.5.1 High Efficiency Pool Pumps

DESCRIPTION

Conventional residential outdoor pool pumps are single speed, often oversized, and run frequently at constant flow regardless of load. Single speed pool pumps require that the motor be sized for the task that requires the highest speed. As such, energy is wasted performing low speed tasks at high speed. Two speed and variable speed pool pumps reduce speed when less flow is required, such as when filtering is needed but not cleaning, and have timers that encourage programming for fewer on-hours. Variable speed pool pumps use advanced motor technologies to achieve efficiency ratings of 90% while the average single speed pump will have efficiency ratings between 30% and 70%.¹²⁴⁶ This measure is the characterization of the purchasing and installing of an efficient two speed or variable speed residential pool pump motor in place of a standard single speed motor of equivalent horsepower.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency equipment is a two speed or variable speed residential pool pump meeting the ENERGY STAR minimum qualifications for either in-ground or above ground pools. ENERGY STAR version 2.0 specification takes effect on January 1, 2019, and version 3.0 has an effective date of July 19, 2021.

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	ENERGY STAR Version 2.0 Energy Efficiency Level (Effective 1/1/2019)	ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 Energy Efficiency Level (Effective 7/19/2021)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 7.60	WEF ≥ 13.40
	Small (hhp > 0.13 and < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -1.30 x ln (hhp) + 4.95	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
	Standard Size (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.30 x ln (hhp) + 6.59	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 4.92	WEF ≥ 4.92
	Standard Size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF ≥ -1.00 x ln (hhp) + 3.85	WEF ≥ -1.00 x ln (hhp) + 3.85

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a single speed residential pool pump.

Per the Code of Federal Regulation, new energy conservation standards for dedicated-purpose pool pumps manufactured on or after July 19, 2021 go into effect.¹²⁴⁷ These appliance efficiency standards cover both self-priming and non-self-priming pumps. In order to account for retailers and dealers clearing back-logged inventory, these new federal energy codes will not be adopted as baseline until January 1, 2022.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life for a two speed or variable speed pool pump is 7 years.¹²⁴⁸

¹²⁴⁶ U.S. DOE, 2012. Measure Guideline: Replacing Single-Speed Pool Pumps with Variable Speed Pumps for Energy Savings. Report No. DOE/GO-102012-3534.

¹²⁴⁷ Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Dedicated-Purpose Pool Pumps, Docket ID: EERE-2015-BT-STM-0008.

¹²⁴⁸ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental costs for in-ground pool pumps are estimated as \$235 for a two speed motor and \$549 for a variable speed motor.¹²⁴⁹

The incremental costs for above ground pool pumps are estimated as \$200 for a two speed motor and \$1,130 for a variable speed motor.¹²⁵⁰

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R15 – Residential Pool Pumps

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 0.831.¹²⁵¹

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS¹²⁵²**

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh two speed} &= (((\text{Hrs/Day}_{\text{base}} * \text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * 60)/\text{EF}_{\text{base}}) - (((\text{Hrs/Day}_{2\text{spH}} * \text{GPM}_{2\text{spH}} * 60) + (\text{Hrs/Day}_{2\text{spL}} * \text{GPM}_{2\text{spL}} * 60))/\text{WEF}_{2\text{sp}}))/1000 * \text{Days} \\ \Delta \text{kWh variable speed} &= (((\text{Hrs/Day}_{\text{base}} * \text{GPM}_{\text{base}} * 60)/\text{EF}_{\text{base}}) - (((\text{Hrs/Day}_{\text{vsH}} * \text{GPM}_{\text{vsH}} * 60) + (\text{Hrs/Day}_{\text{vsL}} * \text{GPM}_{\text{vsL}} * 60))/\text{WEF}_{\text{vs}}))/1000 * \text{Days}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

Hrs/Day _{base}	= run hours of single speed pump
	= 11.4 hours for in-ground pools
	= 7.0 hours for above ground pools
GPM _{base}	= flow of single speed pump (gal/min)
	= 64.4 gal/min for in-ground pools
	= 36 gal/min for above ground pools
60	= minutes per hour
EF _{base}	= Energy Factor of baseline single speed pump (gal/Wh)
	= 2.1
Hrs/Day _{2spH}	= run hours of two speed pump at high speed
	= 2 hours for in-ground pools

¹²⁴⁹ ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator.

¹²⁵⁰ CEE Efficient Residential Swimming Pool Initiative, December 2012, page 18.

¹²⁵¹ Based on assumptions of daily load pattern through pool season. Assumption was developed for Efficiency Vermont but is considered a reasonable estimate for Illinois.

¹²⁵² The methodology and all assumptions are sourced from the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator and assume a nameplate horsepower of 1.5 and a pool size of 22,000 gallons, with 2.0 turnovers per day in the base case and 1.6 turnovers per day in the efficient case. For above ground pools, the turnover ratios were kept the same with the pool size being 7,540 gallons. The volume of the above ground pool is sourced from the California Urban Water Council Evaluation of Potential Best Management Practices for Pools, Spas, and Fountains for the average above ground residential pool.

	= 1.2 hours for above ground pools
GPM_{2spH}	= flow of two speed pump at high speed (gal/min)
	= 56 gal/min for in-ground pools
	= 31 gal/min for above ground pools
Hrs/Day_{2spL}	= run hours of two speed pump at low speed
	= 15.7 hours for in-ground pools
	= 9.6 hours for above ground pools
GPM_{2spL}	= flow of two speed pump at low speed (gal/min)
	= 31 gal/min for in-ground pools
	= 17 gal/min for above ground pools
WEF	= Weighted Energy Factor of the efficient pump (gal/Wh), dependent on the pool application and motor designation, as detailed in the table below: ¹²⁵³

Pump Sub-Type	Motor Design	ENERGY STAR Version 2.0 WEF (gal/Wh)	ENERGY STAR Version 3.0 WEF (gal/Wh)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed (WEF_{2sp})	5.31	8.44
	Variable-speed (WEF_{vs})	6.6	11.05
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed (WEF_{2sp})	3.55	3.55
	Variable-speed (WEF_{vs})	4.21	4.21

Hrs/Day_{vsH}	= run hours of variable speed pump at high speed
	= 2 hours for in-ground pools
	= 1.2 hours for above ground pools
GPM_{vsH}	= flow of variable speed pump at high speed (gal/min)
	= 50 gal/min for in-ground pools
	= 28 gal/min for above ground pools
Hrs/Day_{vsL}	= run hours of variable speed pump at low speed
	= 16 hours for in-ground pools
	= 9.8 hours for above ground pools
GPM_{vsL}	= flow of variable speed pump at low speed (gal/min)
	= 30.6 gal/min for in-ground pools
	= 17 gal/min for above ground pools
Days	= Number of days per year that the swimming pool is operational
	= 125 ¹²⁵⁴

¹²⁵³ The efficient Weighted Energy Factor is sourced from a weighted average of products meeting the ENERGY STAR minimum qualifications and listed on their Qualified Products List (QPL), as accessed on 04/26/2018. As pump applications were not designated in the ENERGY STAR QPL, equipment sizes and horsepower were assumed similar between aboveground and inground pools.

¹²⁵⁴ Assumes 50% of pools operated from Memorial Day through Labor Day (100 days) and 50% of pools operate for a longer span, typically the 5 month period between May and September (150 days), due to their ability to heat the pool.

Based on the pool/pump application and the motor designation, the annual energy savings (ΔkWh) are detailed in the table below:

Pump Sub-Type	Motor Design	Annual Energy Savings (ΔkWh) ENERGY STAR Version 2.0	Annual Energy Savings (ΔkWh) ENERGY STAR Version 3.0
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed	1,776	2,090
	Variable-speed	1,952	2,222
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed	465	465
	Variable-speed	539	539

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS¹²⁵⁵

$$\Delta\text{kW two speed} = ((\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{base}})/(\text{Hrs}/\text{day}_{\text{base}}) - (\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{2\text{sp}})/(\text{Hr}/\text{day}_{2\text{sp}})) * \text{CF}$$

$$\Delta\text{kW variable speed} = ((\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{base}})/(\text{Hrs}/\text{day}_{\text{base}}) - (\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{vr}})/(\text{Hr}/\text{day}_{\text{vr}})) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

$\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{base}}$ = daily energy consumption of baseline pump, as defined above

= 20.98 kWh/day for in-ground pools

= 7.19 kWh/day for above ground pools

$\text{Hrs}/\text{day}_{\text{base}}$ = daily run hours of single speed pump

= 11.4 hours for in-ground pools

= 7.0 hours for above ground pools

kWh/day = daily energy consumption of the efficient pump, dependent on the pool application and motor designation, as detailed in the table below:

Pump Sub-Type	Motor Design	Daily Energy Consumption (kWh/day) ENERGY STAR Version 2.0	Daily Energy Consumption (kWh/day) ENERGY STAR Version 3.0
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed ($\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{2\text{sp}}$)	6.76	4.26
	Variable-speed ($\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{vs}}$)	5.36	3.20
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed ($\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{2\text{sp}}$)	3.47	3.47
	Variable-speed ($\text{kWh}/\text{day}_{\text{vs}}$)	2.88	2.88

$\text{Hr}/\text{day}_{2\text{sp}}$ = run hours of two speed pump

= 17.7 hours for in-ground pools

= 10.9 hours for above ground pools

$\text{Hr}/\text{day}_{\text{var}}$ = run hours of variable speed pump

= 18 hours for in-ground pools

= 11 hours for above ground pools

¹²⁵⁵ The methodology and all assumptions are sourced from the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator and assume a nameplate horsepower of 1.5 and a pool size of 22,000 gallons, with 2.0 turnovers per day in the base case and 1.5 turnovers per day in the efficient case.

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
 = 0.831¹²⁵⁶

Based on the pool/pump application and the motor designation, the summer coincident peak demand savings (ΔkW) are detailed in the table below:

Pump Sub-Type	Motor Design	Summer Peak Coincident Demand Savings (ΔkW) ENERGY STAR Version 2.0	Summer Peak Coincident Demand Savings (ΔkW) ENERGY STAR Version 3.0
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed	1.211	1.329
	Variable-speed	1.282	1.381
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Multi-speed	0.589	0.589
	Variable-speed	0.638	0.638

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-MSC-RPLP-V02-190101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹²⁵⁶ Based on assumptions of daily load pattern through pool season. Assumption was developed for Efficiency Vermont but is considered a reasonable estimate for Illinois.

5.5.2 Low Flow Toilets

DESCRIPTION

The first federal standards dealing with water consumption for toilets was the Energy Policy Act of 1992. It specified a gallon per flush (gpf) standard for both fixtures. These standards are used to define the baseline equipment for this measure. The Subsequent U.S. EPA WaterSense program in 2009 set even tighter standards for plumbing fixtures, including toilets. These standards are used to define the efficient equipment for this measure.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency equipment is a U.S. EPA WaterSense certified residential toilet fixture.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a toilet that has a maximum gallons per flush outlined by the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life for this measure is assumed to be 25 years.¹²⁵⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental costs for both are \$0.¹²⁵⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R03 - Residential Electric DHW

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

The following savings should be included in the total savings for this measure, but should not be included in TRC tests to avoid double counting the economic benefit of water savings.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= \Delta\text{Water} / 1,000,000 * E_{\text{water total}} \\ E_{\text{water}} &= \text{IL Total Water Energy Factor (kWh/Million Gallons)} \\ &= 5,010 \text{ for measures installed in all areas except Cook County}^{1259}\end{aligned}$$

¹²⁵⁷ http://www.metrohome.us/information_kit_files/life.pdf and ATD Home Inspection:

<http://www.atdhomeinspection.com/advice/average-product-life/> is 50 years. 25 years is used to be conservative.

¹²⁵⁸ Measure cost assumption from City of Fort Collins, "Green Building Practice Summary," March 21, 2011, page 2. The document states "Information from the EPA WaterSense web site: WaterSense® labeled toilets are not more expensive than regular toilets. MaP testing results have shown no correlation between price and performance. Prices for toilets can range from less than \$100 to more than \$1,000. Much of the variability in price is due to style, not functional design."

¹²⁵⁹ This factor includes 2571 kWh/MG for water supply based on Illinois energy intensity data from a 2012 ISAWWA study and 2439 kWh/MG for wastewater treatment based on national energy intensity use estimates. For more information please review Elevate Energy's 'IL TRM: Energy per Gallon Factor, May 2018 paper'.

= 2,937 for measures installed in Cook County^{1260,1261}

Toilet Calculation

For example, a low flow toilet is installed in a single family home with unknown occupancy.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= 1495 / 1,000,000 * 5,010 \\ &= 7.5 \text{ kWh/year}\end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta \text{Water} = (\text{GPF}_{\text{Base}} - \text{GPF}_{\text{Eff}}) * \text{NFPD} * \text{Household} * \text{ADPY}$$

Where:

GPF_{Base}	= Baseline equipment gallons per flush = 1.6 for toilets ¹²⁶²
GPF_{Eff}	= Efficient equipment gallons per flush = 1.28 for toilets ¹²⁶³
NFPD	= Number of flushes per day per occupant = 5 ¹²⁶⁴
Household	= Number of people in the household. = Actual. If unknown assume average number of people per household:

¹²⁶⁰ Supply (2,571) + 15% of wastewater (2,439*15% = 366) = 2,937 kWh/million gallons. Assumes that over 10MW wastewater treatment plant customers consume approximately 85% of the energy for treating wastewater in Cook County and as per Section 8-103B statute, savings are not allowed to be claimed from customers who are over 10MW customers.

¹²⁶¹ The TRM Administrator is not an expert in determining the definitive applicability of IL Statute (220 ILCS 5/8-103B) to these secondary electric savings. The calculation reported above is based on what the TRM Administrator believes to be a reasonable interpretation of the Statute: that savings for exempt customers (retail customers of an electric utility that serves more than 3,000,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 30 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts, or any retail customers of an electric utility that serves less than 3,000,000 retail customers but more than 500,000 retail customers in the State and whose total highest 15 minute demand was more than 10,000 kilowatts) will not be used in the establishment of annual energy sales or the utility's achievement of the cumulative persisting annual savings goals. In the case that a definitive interpretation of the Statute's applicability under these circumstances leads to a different conclusion, this treatment can be reconsidered.

¹²⁶² U. S. EPA WaterSense. "Water Efficiency Management Guide – Bathroom Suite" (EPA 832-F-17-016d), Nov 2017.

¹²⁶³ U. S. EPA WaterSense. "Water Efficiency Management Guide – Bathroom Suite" (EPA 832-F-17-016d), Nov 2017.

¹²⁶⁴ U.S. EPA WaterSense, "Water Specification for Flushing Urinals Supporting Statement." Appendix B: References for Calculation Assumptions.

Household Unit Type ¹²⁶⁵	Household
Single-Family - Deemed	2.56 ¹²⁶⁶
Multi-Family - Deemed	2.1 ¹²⁶⁷
Household type unknown	2.42 ¹²⁶⁸
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ¹²⁶⁹

Use Multifamily if: Building meets utility's definition for multifamily

ADPY = Annual days per year
= 365 for residential

Toilet Calculation

For example, a low flow toilet is installed in a single family home with unknown occupancy.

$$\Delta \text{Water} = [(1.6 - 1.28) \times 5 \times 2.56 \times 365]$$

$$= 1495 \text{ gal/year}$$

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-MSC-LFTU-V01-200101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹²⁶⁵ If household type is unknown, as may be the case for time of sale measures, then single family deemed value shall be used.

¹²⁶⁶ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program citing 2006-2008 American Community Survey data from the US Census Bureau for Illinois cited on p. 17 of the PY2 Evaluation report. 2.75 * 93% evaluation adjustment

¹²⁶⁷ ComEd PY3 Multifamily Evaluation Report REVISED DRAFT v5 2011-12-08.docx

¹²⁶⁸ Unknown is based on statewide weighted average of 69% single family and 31% multifamily, based on IL data from 2009 RECS Table HC2.9 Structural and Geographic Characteristics of Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009.

¹²⁶⁹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

5.5.3 Level 2 Electric Vehicle Charger

DESCRIPTION

The measure is for the purchase of a Level 2 electric vehicle charger consistent with the ENERGY STAR specification for Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) installed for residential household use. Networked chargers enable access to online energy management tools through an EVSE network. Non-networked chargers are standalone units that are not connected to other units through an EVSE network.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

An ENERGY STAR qualified networked or non-networked level 2 electric vehicle charger.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A non-ENERGY STAR networked or non-networked level 2 electric vehicle charger.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life for the EV charger is assumed to be 10 years.¹²⁷⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for the EV charger is assumed to be \$57.¹²⁷¹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape R19 - Residential Electric Vehicle Charger

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

Coincidence factor is embedded in deemed demand reduction savings estimate, so the coincidence factor is assumed to be 1.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (((\text{Hours_PS} + \text{Hours_US}) * \text{SP_base}) - (\text{Hours_PS} * \text{SP_EEp} + \text{Hours_US} * \text{SP_EEu})) / 1000)$$

Where:

Hours_C = Annual Active Charging Hours

¹²⁷⁰ Based on Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Regional Technical Forum workbook for Level 2 Electric Vehicle Charger version 1.1. approved May 2019. <https://rtf.nwcouncil.org/measure/level-2-electric-vehicle-charger>

¹²⁷¹ Weighted average incremental cost based on limited data provided in Northwest Power and Conservation Council, Regional Technical Forum workbook for Level 2 Electric Vehicle Charger version 1.1. approved May 2019. <https://rtf.nwcouncil.org/measure/level-2-electric-vehicle-charger>. Recommend this assumption be reviewed in future versions.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \text{EV_kWh} / \text{Steady State Charger Output Capacity (kW)} \\
 &= \text{EV_kWh} / 8.2^{1272} \\
 &= 336 \text{ hours} \\
 \text{EV_kWh} &= \text{Annual Driving Energy Consumed at Home (kWh)} \\
 &= \text{VMT} * \text{EV_ee} / 100 * \% \text{Home_Charging} \\
 \text{VMT} &= \text{Annual vehicle miles traveled of the vehicle measure.} \\
 &= 10,690^{1273} \\
 \text{EV_ee} &= \text{Actual nameplate operation efficiency for electric vehicle expressed} \\
 &\quad \text{in kWh per 100 miles.} \\
 &= 30 \text{ kWh per 100 miles}^{1274} \\
 \% \text{Home_Charging} &= \text{Percent of charging that is done at home} \\
 &= 86\%^{1275} \\
 &= 2,758 \text{ kWh} \\
 \text{Hours_P} &= \text{Total Annual Hours Plugged In} \\
 &= \text{Annual \# of Charging Sessions} * \text{Average EV Plug in Time per Charging Session (Hrs)} \\
 &= (\text{EV_kWh} / 7.4^{1276}) * 14.7^{1277} \\
 &= 5,479 \text{ hours} \\
 \text{Hours_PS} &= \text{Annual Standby Hours Plugged In} \\
 &= \text{Hours_P} - \text{Hours_C} \\
 &= 5,143 \text{ hours} \\
 \text{Hours_US} &= \text{Annual Standby Hours Unplugged} \\
 &= 8760 - \text{Hours_P} \\
 &= 3,281 \text{ hours} \\
 \text{SP_base} &= \text{Baseline Average Standby Power (W)} \\
 &= 3.7 \text{ for non-networked, 9.9 for networked}^{1278} \\
 \text{SP_EEp} &= \text{Efficient Average Standby Power (W) with vehicle plugged in}
 \end{aligned}$$

¹²⁷² Analysis of WA and OR Cumulative EV Registrations through 2018 paired with Vehicle Maximum Power Acceptance (kW) data from Chargehub <https://chargehub.com/en/find-the-right-charging-station-power.html>

¹²⁷³ Average annual vehicle miles traveled estimated based on Stateside average of data from the 2017 National Household Transportation survey, accessed 07/2020.

¹²⁷⁴ Average electric vehicle efficiency based on light-duty vehicle miles per gallon from Annual Energy Outlook 2019. U.S. Energy Information Administration.

¹²⁷⁵ Assumption consistent with RTF characterization based on 2014 Idaho National Laboratory study.

¹²⁷⁶ Avista Docket No. UE-160082 – Avista Utilities Semi-Annual Report on Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Pilot Program (November 2018) Table 13 Avg. kWh Consumed per Session

¹²⁷⁷ Based on data provided by Avista. Total hours EV is plugged into charging station including both charge and standby time.

¹²⁷⁸ INL charger testing <https://avt.inl.gov/evse-type/ac-level-2> and ENERGY STAR Market and Industry Scoping Report Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) September 2013 (source data is from INL).

SP_EEu = 4.3 for non-networked, 6.4 for networked¹²⁷⁹
 = Efficient Average Standby Power (W) in no vehicle mode
 = 2.1 for non-networked, 3.2 for networked¹²⁸⁰

$\Delta\text{kWh per non-networked charger} = (((5,143 + 3,281) * 3.7) - (5,143 * 4.3 + 3,281 * 2.1)) / 1000$
 = 2.2 kWh

$\Delta\text{kWh per networked charger} = (((5,143 + 3,281) * 9.9) - (5,143 * 6.4 + 3,281 * 3.2)) / 1000$
 = 40.0 kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{kW} = -\text{kW}_{\text{vehicle}} * \text{CF}$$

Where:

kW_vehicle = Summer peak electric demand of the electric vehicle.
 = 0.28 kW¹²⁸¹
 CF = Summer peak coincidence factor
 = 1¹²⁸²

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-MSC-L2CH-V01-210101

REVIEW DEADLINE: 1/1/2022

¹²⁷⁹ 2019 ENERGY STAR QPL of Residential EVSE. No Residential units, used commercial as a proxy. Averaged Partial On Mode Input Power (W) and Idle Mode Input Power (W)

¹²⁸⁰ 2019 ENERGY STAR QPL of Residential EVSE. No Residential units, used commercial as a proxy. Averaged Partial On Mode Input Power (W) and Idle Mode Input Power (W).

¹²⁸¹ Summer peak demand impacts are a deemed value based on EV Charging Station Pilot Evaluation Report. Xcel CO. May 2015. Page 5.

¹²⁸² kW_Vehicle accounts for the estimated average kW draw during the system peak.