

Residential New Construction Program Impact Evaluation Report

Energy Efficiency Plan: Program Year 2021 (1/1/2021-12/31/2021)

Prepared for:

Nicor Gas

Final

May 10, 2022

Prepared by:

Andrew Johnson Guidehouse Charles Ampong Guidehouse

guidehouse.com



Submitted to:

Nicor Gas Company 1844 Ferry Road Naperville, IL 60563

Submitted by:

Guidehouse 150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606

Contact:

Ed Balbis Partner 561.644.9407 ebalbis@guidehouse.com Stu Slote Director 802.526.5113 stu.slote@guidehouse.com Kevin Grabner Associate Director 608.616.5805 kevin.grabner@guidehouse.com

Disclaimer: This report was prepared by Guidehouse for Nicor Gas based upon information provided by Nicor Gas and from other sources. Use of this report by any other party for whatever purpose should not, and does not, absolve such party from using due diligence in verifying the report's contents. Neither Guidehouse nor any of its subsidiaries or affiliates assumes any liability or duty of care to such parties, and hereby disclaims any such liability.



Table of Contents

| 1. Introduction | 1 |
|--|---|
| 2. Program Description | 1 |
| 3. Program Savings Detail | 2 |
| 4. Program Savings by Measure | 2 |
| 5. Impact Analysis Findings and Recommendations | 3 |
| 5.1 Impact Parameter Estimates | 3 |
| Appendix A. Impact Analysis Methodology | 6 |
| Appendix B. Program Specific Inputs for the Illinois TRC | 7 |

List of Tables

| Table 2-1. | 2021 Volumetric Findings Detail | 1 |
|------------|---|---|
| Table 3-1. | 2021 Annual Energy Savings Summary | 2 |
| Table 4-1. | 2021 Annual Energy Savings by Measure | 2 |
| Table 5-1. | Verified Gross Savings Parameters | 3 |
| Table 5-2. | Gas High Efficiency Furnace Savings Discrepancies | 4 |
| Table 5-3. | 75 Gallon Water Heater Research | 5 |
| | | |
| Table B-1. | Verified Cost Effectiveness Inputs | 7 |



1. Introduction

This report presents the results of the impact evaluation of the Nicor Gas 2021 Residential New Construction (RNC) Program. It presents a summary of the energy impacts for the total program and broken out by relevant measure and program structure details. The appendix presents the impact analysis methodology. Program year 2021 covers January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021.

2. Program Description

The objective of the Residential New Construction (RNC) program is to obtain energy savings by increasing the energy efficiency of new construction single-family detached homes and townhomes. The program provides participating new home builders a financial incentive to either a) exceed state and local building code requirements regarding duct and air sealing, along with the installation of specific high-efficiency equipment, or b) install prescriptive high-efficiency equipment only.

The RNC program had 2,003 participants in 2021 as shown in Table 2-1.

| Participation | Unit | Quantity |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Participants | Unique VendorProjectIDs | 2,003 |
| Advanced Thermostat | Installed | 1,868 |
| Gas High Efficiency Furnace* | Installed | 2,025 |
| Duct Insulation and Sealing | Projects | 1,277 |
| Gas Water Heater | Installed | |
| Storage Water Heater | | 1,407 |
| On-Demand Water Heater | | 396 |
| Air Sealing | Projects | 1,277 |

Table 2-1. 2021 Volumetric Findings Detail

* The quantity of Gas High Efficiency Furnaces may be greater than the number of participants because larger homes may install two furnaces for more efficient air distribution. *Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.*



3. Program Savings Detail

Table 3-1 summarizes the energy savings the RNC Program achieved in 2021.

| Program Path | Ex Ante Gross Savings (Therms) | Verified Gross RR* | Verified Gross Savings (Therms) | NTG† | Verified Net Savings (Therms) |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|------|--|
| Residential New Construction | 618,134 | 104% | 645,334 | 0.82 | 529,461 |
| Total or Weighted Average | 618,134 | 104% | 645,334 | 0.82 | 529,461 |

Table 3-1. 2021 Annual Energy Savings Summary

* Realization Rate (RR) is the ratio of verified gross savings to ex ante gross savings, based on evaluation research findings. † A deemed value. Available on the SAG web site: https://www.ilsag.info/evaluator-ntg-recommendations-for-2021/.

Source: Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.

4. Program Savings by Measure

The program includes five measures as shown in Table 4-1. The Gas High Efficiency Furnace and Advanced Thermostat measures contributed the most savings.

| End-use | Research Category | Ex Ante Gross Savings (Therms) | Verified Gross RR | Verified Gross Savings (Therms) | NTG | Verified Net Savings (Therms) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|------|--|
| HVAC | Duct Insulation and Sealing | 76,530 | 100% | 76,529 | 0.80 | 61,223 |
| HVAC | Gas High Efficiency Furnace | 287,230 | 100% | 287,363 | 0.80 | 229,890 |
| HVAC | Advanced Thermostat | 104,608 | 126% | 131,935 | 0.90 | 118,742 |
| Hot Water | Gas Water Heater | 67,016 | 100% | 66,756 | 0.80 | 53,405 |
| Shell | Air Sealing | 82,751 | 100% | 82,751 | 0.80 | 66,201 |
| Total or Weighted Average | | 618,134 | 104% | 645,334 | 0.82 | 529,461 |

Table 4-1. 2021 Annual Energy Savings by Measure

Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.

5. Impact Analysis Findings and Recommendations

5.1 Impact Parameter Estimates

Table 5-1 shows the unit therm savings and realization rate findings by measure from Guidehouse's review. The realization rate is the ratio of the verified savings to the ex ante savings. Following the table, we provide findings and recommendations, including discussion of all measures with realization rates other than 100%. Appendix A provides a description of the impact analysis methodology. Appendix B shows the Total Resource Cost (TRC) cost-effectiveness analysis inputs available at the time of producing this impact evaluation report.

| Measure | Unit Basis | Ex Ante Gross Average (therms/unit) | Verified Gross Average (therms/unit) | Realization Rate | Data Source(s)* |
|--|---------------|--|---|---------------------|---|
| Duct Insulation and Sealing | Project | 59.93 | 59.93 | 100% | Illinois TRM v9.0 (TRM)† – Section 5.3.4; Illinois Energy Code‡ |
| Gas High Efficiency Furnace (average) | Each | 141.86 | 141.89 | 100% | TRM v9.0 – Section 5.3.7 |
| Advanced Thermostat – Programmable Baseline | Each | 56.0 | 71.4 | 127% | TRM v9.0 – Section 5.3.16 Errata |
| Gas Water Heater | Each | Varies | Varies | 100% | TRM v9.0 – Section 5.4.2 and Section 4.3.1. |
| Air Sealing | Project | 64.80 | 64.80 | 100% | TRM v9.0 – Section 5.6.1; Illinois Energy Code |

Table 5-1. Verified Gross Savings Parameters

* Program Tracking Data (PTD) provided by Nicor Gas, extract dated January 28, 2022.

† State of Illinois Technical Reference Manual version 9.0 from http://www.ilsag.info/technical-reference-manual.html.

‡ Illinois Energy Conservation Code, July 1, 2018.

https://www2.illinois.gov/cdb/business/codes/IllinoisAccessibilityCode/Documents/2018%20Illinois%20Specific%20Amendments%20with%20M odifications%20Shown.pdf

5.1.1 Gas High Efficiency Furnace

The evaluation team found 8 gas high efficiency furnace installations with realization rates other than 100%. Five of these measures (Project IDs 173889, 175426, 176206, 181472, 183359) have realization rates below 100% and three (Project IDs 171210, 176833, 183879) have realization rates above 100%. The evaluation team was unable to identify a cause for the discrepancies in the realization rates for the measures. However, we identified a pattern in that all measure with discrepancies have a quantity of 2. The values used in the calculation are shown in Table 5-2.



| VendorProjectID | EFLH | Input Capacity* | AFUE_eff | AFUE_base | Verified Realization Rate |
|-----------------|------|--------------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|
| 171210 | 976 | 60000 | 0.921 | 0.80 | 146% |
| 173889 | 976 | 110000 | 0.95 | 0.80 | 82% |
| 175426 | 976 | 80000 | 0.972 | 0.80 | 87% |
| 176206 | 976 | 120000 | 0.95 | 0.80 | 77% |
| 176833 | 976 | 80000 | 0.962 | 0.80 | 112% |
| 181472 | 976 | 60000 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 88% |
| 183359 | 976 | 84000 | 0.96 | 0.80 | 92% |
| 183879 | 976 | 85000 | 0.95 | 0.80 | 109% |

Table 5-2. Gas High Efficiency Furnace Savings Discrepancies

* Larger homes may install two furnaces for more efficient air distribution. Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.

Recommendation 1. Consistently confirm the input values used in ex ante savings calculations are accurate and provided as input values in the tracking data.

5.1.2 Advanced Thermostats

The advanced thermostat measure shows a realization rate of 127% due to an update for 2021 provided in the TRM v9.0 Errata. The heating reduction value has changed to 7.1%, increasing savings for each thermostat.

Recommendation 2. Base ex ante calculations on the current year TRM and check for TRM Errata prior to year-end.

There were 19 project sites that had installed two advanced thermostats, and Nicor Gas claimed therm savings for two thermostats at 18 of the sites. TRM v9.0 deems savings for advanced thermostats on a per home basis, regardless of the number of thermostats installed per home. Guidehouse based verified savings on a per home (Project ID) basis.

Recommendation 3. Ensure that savings for only one thermostat is claimed per home, consistent with the TRM savings methodology.

5.1.3 Gas Storage Water Heaters

For gas storage water heaters less than 75 gallons, 38 installations had a realization rate other than 100% when Guidehouse calculated savings based on tracking data inputs. Twelve of these installations reported a tank size of zero in the tracking data and Guidehouse revised these to a 40 gallon or 50 gallon tank using the tracked model number. Guidehouse could not identify a cause for the remaining discrepancies. These discrepancies resulted in slightly less than a 100% verified gross realization rate for the water heater measure overalls.

Recommendation 4. Include tank size (in gallons) in the tracking data for all projects.



One water heater project reported a tank size of 497 gallons in the tracking data. This size is unlikely in a residential setting and was assumed to be a typo. The ex ante savings and model number were consistent with a 50 gallon storage tank.

Recommendation 5. Check that all tracked savings inputs are consistent with claimed savings.

The evaluation team found 40 projects that had installed 75 gallon storage water heaters. The Btu per hour (Btu/hr) input rating for these water heaters is more than 75,000 Btu/hr (typically 76,000 Btu/hr) and these are covered under the non-residential TRM measure 4.3.1 as a high-input residential-duty commercial equipment type. Therefore, to calculate verified therms for 75-gallon tanks, the evaluation team used the algorithm for TRM measure 4.3.1.

To determine the appropriate UEFeff, UEFbaseline, and draw pattern for these residential-duty commercial water heaters, Guidehouse conducted secondary research based on the tracking data manufacturer and model names. Using the 75 gallon tank size and the first hour rating for the models shown in Table 5-3, the UEFbaseline value was calculated to be 0.5922.

| Manufacturer | Model | First Hour Rating (gallons) | Source |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| AO Smith | GPVX-75L 310 | 116 | https://www.hotwater.com/support/gpvx-75l/ |
| Bradford White | RG2PV75H6N | 121 | https://www.bradfordwhite.com/uniform- energy-factor-water-heaters/ |
| State | GS6-75-YRVHTL 310 | 116 | https://www.statewaterheaters.com/support/ gs6-75-yrvhtl/ |

Table 5-3. 75 Gallon Water Heater Research

Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.

Recommendation 6. Include draw pattern or first hour rating in the tracking data



Appendix A. Impact Analysis Methodology

Guidehouse followed algorithms outlined in the Illinois Technical Reference Manual (TRM) v9.0 to calculate verified gross savings for residential programs. The evaluation team verified that these algorithms and appropriate deemed input parameters were correctly applied and validated custom parameters that were used. Baseline assumptions were derived from Illinois energy code¹ or the TRM.

Guidehouse calculated verified net savings by multiplying verified gross savings by a net-togross (NTG) of 0.90 for advanced thermostats and 0.80 for all other measures, as deemed by the Illinois Stakeholder Advisory Group (SAG) for 2021.

¹ Illinois Energy Conservation Code, July 1, 2018.

https://www2.illinois.gov/cdb/business/codes/IllinoisAccessibilityCode/Documents/2018%20Illinois%20Specific%20A mendments%20with%20Modifications%20Shown.pdf



Appendix B. Program Specific Inputs for the Illinois TRC

Table B-1 shows the Total Resource Cost (TRC) cost-effectiveness analysis inputs available at the time of producing this impact evaluation report. Additional required cost data (e.g., measure costs, program level incentive and non-incentive costs) are not included in this table and will be provided to the evaluation team later. Guidehouse will include annual and lifetime water savings and greenhouse gas reductions in the end of year summary report.

| End Use | Research Category | Units | Quantity | Effective Useful Life | Ex Ante Gross Savings (Therms) | Verified Gross Savings (Therms) | Verified Net Savings (Therms) |
|-------------|--|---------|----------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| HVAC | Duct Insulation and Sealing | Each | 1,277 | 20.0 | 76,530 | 76,529 | 61,223 |
| HVAC | Gas High Efficiency Furnace | Each | 2,025 | 20.0 | 287,230 | 287,363 | 229,890 |
| HVAC | Advanced Thermostat – Programmable Baseline | Each | 1,868 | 11.0 | 104,608 | 131,935 | 118,742 |
| Hot Water | Gas Water Heater | Each | 1,800 | 13.0 | 67,016 | 66,756 | 53,405 |
| Shell | Air Sealing | ΔCFM | 1,277 | 20.0 | 82,751 | 82,751 | 66,201 |
| Total or We | 17.4 | 618,134 | 645,334 | 529,461 | | | |

Table B-1. Verified Cost Effectiveness Inputs

Source: Nicor Gas tracking data and Guidehouse evaluation team analysis.