## Illinois EE Stakeholder Advisory Group Small Group SAG Meeting

Friday, November 13, 2020 2:00 – 4:00 pm

## **Teleconference Meeting: Discuss Evaluation Questions**

Webinar registration: <a href="https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/7933358335270375948">https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/7933358335270375948</a>

Call-In Number: (213) 929-4212

Access code: 761-067-314 (audio PIN shown after joining)

Participants may also use their computer's microphone and speakers (VoIP).

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
2:00 – 2:10 pm	Opening and Introductions  Purpose of November 13 <sup>th</sup> meeting:  1. To discuss evaluation treatment of business closures, an issue raised during a June SAG meeting to discuss COVID-19 evaluation impacts.	Celia Johnson, SAG Facilitator
	<ol><li>To discuss questions raised by Guidehouse on negative savings and determine next steps.</li></ol>	
2:10 – 3:05 pm	<ul> <li>Background on issue + recap of June small group SAG discussion</li> <li>Questions to consider:         <ol> <li>What is the correct policy treatment in evaluation of business closures on a regular basis?</li> <li>Should that same policy treatment be applied to the current COVID-19 driven economy, or, similar to the conversation around estimation of savings during COVID-19, should a separate treatment be considered?</li> <li>Overview of possible approaches and potential issues</li> <li>Discussion, Q&amp;A and Next Steps</li> </ol> </li> <li>Purpose: To discuss the treatment of business closure in estimating lifetime savings; answer</li> </ul>	Jeff Erickson and Rick Berry, Guidehouse Zach Ross, Opinion Dynamics
3:05 – 3:55 pm	<ul> <li>questions and feedback; determine next steps.</li> <li>Evaluation Questions: Negative Savings</li> <li>Background on memo to ComEd + definition of "negative savings" and "heating penalties"</li> <li>Discussion will focus on the questions in red font. Other questions will be addressed in 2021.</li> </ul>	Jeff Erickson and Rick Berry, Guidehouse

Time	Agenda Item	Discussion Leader
	Guidehouse Questions / Requests for Clarification	
	How should evaluation treat measure	
	installations that result in negative savings?	
	2. How should evaluation treat projects that result	
	in negative savings due to unexpected customer	
	or trade ally action?	
	3. How should evaluation treat projects that result	
	in negative savings due to custom analysis?	
	4. How should evaluation treat projects that result	
	in negative savings due to actions taken to meet code?	
	5. The TRM's approach to fuel-switching heat	
	pumps intention appears inconsistent. It applies	
	a negative impact for increased load to the gas	
	savings but not the electric savings (i.e., the	
	electric utility does not account for the added	
	electric load). Was this intentional or an	
	oversight? – SAG Facilitator Note: This will be	
	addressed in 2021 Fuel Switching Working	
	Group.	
	How should evaluation treat electric heating	
	penalties? Should they continue to be added to	
	the verified savings?	
	7. How should evaluation treat gas heating	
	penalties with respect to converted gas savings?	
	Should these be netted out at the project level.	
	program level, portfolio level, or at all?	
	8. How should more complex heating systems be	
	categorized for TRM application? – SAG	
	Facilitator note: This will be addressed in IL-TRM	
	Version 10.0 update process, in 2021.	
	Discussion, Q&A and Next Steps	
	Purpose: To discuss Guidehouse questions on the	
	treatment of negative electric and gas savings by	
	evaluation for ComEd; answer questions; determine	
	next steps.	
3:55 – 4:00 pm	Closing and Next Steps	Celia Johnson, SAG
'		Facilitator
		racilitator

## **Meeting Materials**

- Guidehouse and Opinion Dynamics Memo: Treatment of Business Closure in Estimating Lifetime Savings (Sept. 10, 2020)
- Guidehouse Memo: Treatment of Negative Electric and Gas Savings by Evaluation (Aug. 4, 2020)
- Guidehouse and Opinion Dynamics Presentation: Treatment of Business Closure
- Guidehouse Presentation: Negative Savings Questions